Geophysical and soil gas monitoring methods for the characterization of CO₂ degassing sites – What can we learn from natural analogues?
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Several research and development projects on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage (CCS) have been initiated in the last few years, and demonstration projects are currently being implemented all over the world (FISCHEDIK et al., 2007). Furthermore, governments in different countries are stimulating the commercial deployment of CCS (e.g. USA, UK and Australia). However, uncertainties still exist concerning large-scale implementation of this emergent technology. Until now, both the assessment of environmental & safety risks and the validation of available monitoring technologies to monitor leakage paths are still missing. A main issue when attempting to gain public acceptance of this new method is ensuring provision of appropriate monitoring practices, aimed at delivering health, safety and environmental risk assessment, so that potential risks that may arise from CO₂ storage are minimized.

The goal of the MONACO project (Monitoring approach for geological CO₂ storage sites using a hierarchic observation concept; funded by GEOTECHNOLOGIEN Program), which was initiated in September 2011, is the development of reliable tools to work on different usable scales at geological CO₂ storage sites, both during and after operational phases. The project aims to develop monitoring technologies, especially to identify CO₂ migration paths and leakages from the shallow subsurface into the atmosphere.

Successful monitoring depends on the tools selected and requires the identification of appropriate methods to provide necessary information in real time. Within the frame of this project, an integrative hierarchic monitoring concept is proposed, with the aim of reliably detecting and assessing possible leakages from storage formations into the shallow subsurface (including aquifers and unsaturated zones, plus degassing of CO₂ into the atmosphere). As part of this concept, several methods and technologies from different disciplines (such as chemistry, hydrogeology, and geophysics) will be either combined or used complementary to one another. This hierarchical approach – with method developments and applications ranging from remote sensing, to regional measurements, to local insitu measurements (Fig. 1) – will allow large spatial areas to be consistently covered, and for efficient increase in spatial and temporal resolution to occur e.g. as required for the investigation of suspected or critical CO₂ degassing zones.
There are two main processes which should be monitored. Firstly, dissolved volatile CO$_2$ in the pore space has an impact on resistivity, which could be measured with Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) and Electromagnetic Induction (EMI) methods. Secondly, fluid movements may lead to the occurrence of electro-kinetic effects (streaming potentials), measurable with self-potential (SP) mapping or monitoring. BÝRDÝNA et al., 2009 showed that measured SP and electrical resistivity tomography results are related to the permeable fracture zone serving as a preferential pathway for soil gases and water. The combination of various kinds of geophysical information (such as resistivity, self-potential with surface-based measurements of CO$_2$ concentration and CO$_2$ flux) will provide more reliable insights, in order to constrain the extent of potential leakage systems and to understand patterns of fluid flow (FINIZÓLA et al., 2009).

Naturally occurring CO$_2$ deposits provide unique natural analogues for evaluating and validating methods used for the detection and monitoring of CO$_2$ spreading and degassing into the atmosphere.

The investigation of natural CO$_2$ release sites can facilitate the attainment of valuable information that helps improve our understanding of the chemical and physical processes taking place and thus provide significant information for the development of new monitoring and assessment tools.

Furthermore, natural analogues are useful for providing dependable insights into processes related to CO$_2$ migration, trapping and leakage. Geological and hydrological structures of the Cheb Basin (NW Bohemia, Czech Republic), situated in the western part of the tectonically active Eger Rift, represent such a natural analogue for CO$_2$ leakage and offer a perfect location for the
verification of monitoring tools, concerning the direct investigation of processes along preferential migration paths (Figure 2).

**Fig. 2** (a): The border region Vogtland/NW Bohemia is one of the most tectonically active regions in Central Europe. The Cheb Basin is situated in the western Eger rift. The most important deep-reaching N-S trending faults regarding the Cheb Basin are the Mariánské Lázně fault (MLF) and the Počatky-Plesná fault zone (PPZ) (after BANKWITZ et al., 2003). The elevation map is derived from STRM 90m Digital Elevation Data Base (JARVIS et al., 2008).

(b): Deep processes are responsible for the occurrence of CO₂ in the Cheb Basin (simplified according to GEISSLER et al., 2005). The CO₂-rich mineral springs and mofettes along the PPZ and MLF are an indication of preferential CO₂ migration pathways.

This shallow basin of Tertiary age is characterized by up to 300 m thick Neogene sediment fillings and several tectonic active faults. The CO₂-rich mineral springs and mofettes along the major faults are an indication of preferential CO₂ migration pathways. They are supplied by fluids from a deep magmatic reservoir in the lithospheric mantle. The gas (up to 99,99 % CO₂) ascends via
tectonic fault zones directly from the upper mantle to the surface (BRÄUER et al., 2008, 2011). In this area, both focused small-scale CO₂ degassing sites (so-called mofettes) and larger areas with diffuse degassing behavior (see Figure 3b) are present.

Fig. 3 (a): Surface CO₂ concentration patterns near the village Hartousov. Maximum CO₂ concentrations of up to 75 % are observable in shallow soil horizons. The degassing area is supposed to be aligned along the trace of the Počatky-Plesná fault zone segment. The geoelectrical line (ERT profile) crosses the diffuse degassing anomaly in the northern region of the test site. (Source aerial photo: Google Earth).
(b): Diffuse CO₂ degassing structure is often traceable due to anomalies in vegetation vitality.
(c): Focused degassing can be observed at the main mofette, seasonally filled with groundwater (SCHÜTZE et al., 2012).

At the test site in Hartouchov, we consider two anomaly zones – anomaly zone 1 with focused release and anomaly zone 2 with diffuse release. At both anomaly zones, CO₂ concentration measurements with a mobile gas analyzer ANSYCO GA94, CO₂ flux measurements with the Automated Soil CO₂ Flux System LI-8100A (LI-COR Biosciences), SP and ERT measurements were performed. Table 1 gives an overview of the first results of the measurements.

The SP measurements show a strong correlation between CO₂ degassing zones and SP anomalies. However, there are negative anomalies in the zone with focused release and positive anomalies in
the zone with diffuse release, which poses a significant challenge for interpretation. SP signal can be influenced by a combination of electrokinetic and junction potentials (Maineult et al., 2006), due to the presence of flow and concentration gradients, dissolution of volatile CO₂ in groundwater and movements of fluids (CO₂ and water). In further investigations, the main question relating to the basic self-potential driving processes must be solved.

Tab. 1: General outcomes at the two degassing zones considered in our study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anomaly zone 1 – focused release</th>
<th>Anomaly zone 2 – diffuse release</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ concentration measurements</td>
<td>soil gas concentration up to 100%</td>
<td>soil gas concentration up to 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ flux rates</td>
<td>flux rates up to 5000 g m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
<td>flux rates up to 3800 g m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP measurement</td>
<td>negative SP anomaly minima of -30 mV</td>
<td>large positive SP anomaly up to 15 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERT</td>
<td>ERT - anomaly with decreased resistivities</td>
<td>ERT – resistivity variations especially in near surface horizon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site-specific near surface geological features seem to exert great influence upon the degassing pattern. In this current study, the distribution and thickness of lowly permeable sediments at surface level are able to impede CO₂ discharge into the atmosphere. ERT data shows two distinct locations where the upper clay layer is only a few decimeters thin or nearly non-existent. Highest soil CO₂ concentrations and flux rates were observed at these locations. Furthermore, a correlation between the thickness of the upper clay layer and the flux rates needs to be discussed. It should be noted that agricultural usage can possibly create migration paths in the surface layer and therefore higher CO₂ concentrations can be observed.

Fig. 4: Detailed soil gas and geoelectrical investigations characterizing a distinct degassing anomaly. Variations are observable in the CO₂ concentration values. This may be caused by the features of the upper soil horizons, in particular the pore water content, due to different meteorological situations in May and July. The structural features of the shallow subsurface (down to a depth of 5 m) can be described by a clay layer (lower resistivities) with variable thickness, followed by a gravel and sand layer (higher resistivities) (Schütze et al., 2012).
The first measurements indicate that the hierarchic monitoring approach represents a multidisciplinary modular concept working in different scales and resolutions. Soil gas surveys in combination with geoelectrical investigations have been proven to be a valuable tool for the characterization of structural near surface features controlling the degassing process. It is a non-destructive technique, which is relatively inexpensive to perform. Depending on the required spatial and temporal resolution, method combination is recommended for the characterization and observation of medium-scale to small-scale areas.

Natural analogues provide different geological and hydrological situations to test, adapt and validate appropriate monitoring technologies. Results of geoelectric and soil gas measurements clearly indicate subsurface structures and dynamic behavior of degassing areas. However, comprehensive investigations (including those on site-specific and temporal environmental conditions) will be necessary, so that a better understanding of leakage processes can be gained.
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