



Corrigendum to

“Using MODIS land surface temperatures and the Crocus snow model to understand the warm bias of ERA-Interim reanalyses at the surface in Antarctica” published in The Cryosphere, 8, 1361–1373, 2014

H. Fréville¹, E. Brun¹, G. Picard^{2,3}, N. Tatarinova¹, L. Arnaud^{2,3}, C. Lanconelli⁴, C. Reijmer⁵, and M. van den Broeke⁵

¹CNRM-GAME UMR3589, Météo-France and CNRS, Toulouse, France

²University Grenoble Alpes, LGGE UMR5183, 38041 Grenoble, France

³CNRS, LGGE UMR5183, 38041 Grenoble, France

⁴Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate, Bologna, Italy

⁵Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Correspondence to: H. Fréville (helene.freville@meteo.fr)

In the manuscript “Using MODIS land surface temperatures and the Crocus snow model to understand the warm bias of ERA-Interim reanalyses at the surface in Antarctica” published in The Cryosphere, 8, 1361–1373, 2014, the y-axis in panel b of Fig. 8 was not shown. Please see the corrected figure here.




Figure 8. (a) Comparison between different observations of surface temperature at the South Pole: BSRN T_s (solid black curve), Crocus T_s (solid pink curve), ERA-i T_s (red point), LST MODIS (solid blue curve) and ERA-i/land T_s (green point). (b) Comparison between thermal radiations: BSRN LW_{down} (solid black curve), ERA-i LW_{down} (red point) and ERA-i LW_{down_cloud} (blue point). ERA-i LW_{down_cloud} was obtained by the difference between ERA-i LW_{down} and ERA-i clear-sky LW_{down} . (c) Comparison between turbulent fluxes of sensible heat: Crocus H (violet point), ERA-i H (red point) and ERA-i sub-surface flux (blue point).