



Corrigendum to

“A database of plagioclase crystal preferred orientations (CPO) and microstructures – implications for CPO origin, strength, symmetry and seismic anisotropy in gabbroic rocks” published in Solid Earth, 4, 511–542, 2013

T. Satsukawa^{1,2,*}, B. Ildefonse², D. Mainprice², L. F. G. Morales³, K. Michibayashi^{1,4}, and F. Barou²

¹Graduate School of Science and Technology, Shizuoka University, Ohya 836, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan

²Géosciences Montpellier, Université Montpellier 2 and CNRS, CC 060, 34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France

³Helmholtz Zentrum Potsdam, Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum (GFZ), Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

⁴Institute of Geosciences, Shizuoka University, Ohya 836, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan

* present address: ARC Center of Excellence for Core to Crust Fluid Systems (CCFS) and GEMOC National Key Centre, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia

Correspondence to: T. Satsukawa (takako.satsukawa@mq.edu.au)

In the paper “A database of plagioclase crystal preferred orientations (CPO) and microstructures – implications for CPO origin, strength, symmetry and seismic anisotropy in gabbroic rocks” by T. Satsukawa et al. (Solid Earth, 4, 511–542, doi:10.5194/se-4-511-2013, 2013) an error occurred in the second paragraph of Sect. 5.7. The correct paragraph should be as follows:

In the present study, there is a clear variation of the (010) and [100] pole figures from point maxima to girdle distributions, with associated changes in the (001) pole figures. We introduce the BA-index (similar to the LS index used Ulrich and Mainprice (2005) to describe variations between the symmetry of (010) and [001] pole figures in omphacite) to characterize the symmetry variation of the (010) and [100] pole figures, which is defined as $1/2[2 - P_{(010)}/(G_{(010)} + P_{(010)}) - G_{[100]}/(G_{[100]} + P_{[100]})]$. P and G are defined in Sect. 3.2 above.