



## *Editorial Note*

# **A case of plagiarism: “Modelling of the wave fields by the modification of the matrix method in anisotropic media” published in Solid Earth Discuss., 6, 1–19, 2014**

**F. Storti**

Università degli Studi di Parma, Dipartimento di Fisica e Scienze della Terra Campus Universitario – Parco Area delle Scienze 157/A, 43124 Parma, Italy

*Correspondence to:* F. Storti (fabrizio.storti@unipr.it)

Published: 26 March 2014

The EGU open access journal Solid Earth (SE) was launched in 2010 to publish “multidisciplinary research on the composition, structure and dynamics of the Earth from the surface to the deep interior at all spatial and temporal scales”. SE aims at becoming a prestigious journal in its broad subject area, helping geoscientists to find and publish high quality and innovative research. Any form of plagiarism, including self-plagiarism, is strongly condemned as an offense to the genuine intellectual honesty of our community, to whom SE reviewers and editors dedicate their work. According to the EGU publication ethics, SE is “committed to only publishing original material that was not published before, except in the form of an abstract or proceedings-type publication (including electronic preprints and discussion papers), or that is considered for publication elsewhere. Authors have to agree on that in a consent form”.

It was sad for me to verify that, as promptly pointed out by the corresponding Topical Editor, the manuscript “Modelling of the wave fields by the modification of the matrix method in anisotropic media” by A. Pavlova (Solid Earth Discuss., 6, 467–485, 2014) has very strong content similarities with the paper “The modification of the matrix method for the modelling of propagation of the body waves” by A. Pavlova, 2014 (Earth Science, 3, 1–8, doi:10.11648/j.earth.20140301.11). One of the reviewers also noted that almost exactly the same manuscript had been recently submitted to another scientific journal. I took the author’s choice to avoid replying to our invitation to clarify the problem as additional evidence supporting the interpretation of a self-plagiarism case, and, consequently, the manuscript was immediately rejected. By putting this case on the public domain, we want to once again discourage authors from future attempts to violate our rules of publication ethics.