Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 559–573, 2014 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/18/559/2014/ doi:10.5194/hess-18-559-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





Sr isotopic characteristics in two small watersheds draining silicate and carbonate rocks: implication for studies on seawater Sr isotopic evolution

W. H. Wu¹, H. B. Zheng², J. H. Cao³, and J. D. Yang⁴

¹Key Laboratory of Surficial Geochemistry, Ministry of Education; School of Earth Sciences and Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, China
²School of Geography Science, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210046, China

³Institute of Karst Geology, Chinese Academy of Geological Science, Guilin, 541004, China

⁴Center of Modern Analysis, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, China

Correspondence to: W. H. Wu (wuwh@nju.edu.cn)

Received: 30 May 2013 – Published in Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.: 21 June 2013 Revised: 23 November 2013 – Accepted: 31 December 2013 – Published: 13 February 2014

Abstract. We systematically investigated the Sr isotopic characteristics of a small silicate watershed, the Xishui River a tributary of the Yangtze River, and a small carbonate watershed, the Guijiang River a tributary of the Pearl River. The results show that the two rivers have uncommon Sr isotopic characteristics compared with most small watersheds. Specifically, the silicate watershed (Xishui River) has relatively high Sr concentrations (0.468 to $1.70 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$ in summer and 1.30 to $3.17 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$ in winter, respectively) and low $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (0.708686 to 0.709148 in summer and 0.708515 to 0.709305 in winter). The carbonate watershed (Guijiang River) has low Sr concentrations (0.124 to $1.098 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$) and high $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (0.710558 to 0.724605).

As the ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios in the Xishui River are lower than those in seawater, the ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio of seawater will decrease after the river water is transported to the oceans. Previous studies have also shown that some basaltic watersheds with extremely high chemical weathering rates reduced the seawater Sr isotope ratios. In other words, river catchments with high silicate weathering rates do not certainly transport highly radiogenic Sr into oceans. Therefore, the use of the variations in the seawater ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio to indicate the continental silicate weathering intensity may be questionable.

In the Guijiang River catchment, the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of carbonate rocks and other sources (rainwater, domestic and industrial waste water, and agricultural fertilizer) are lower

than 0.71. In comparison, some non-carbonate components, such as sand rocks, mud rocks, and shales, have relatively high Sr isotopic compositions. Moreover, granites accounted for only 5% of the drainage area have extremely high 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios with an average of greater than 0.8. Therefore, a few silicate components in carbonate rocks obviously increase the Sr isotopic compositions of the river water.

1 Introduction

By analyzing the Sr isotopic compositions of marine limestones and their shells, the evolution curve of the seawater ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio in the Phanerozoic was well established (Veizer and Compston, 1974; Brass, 1976; Burke et al., 1982; Elderfield and Gieskes, 1982; DePaolo and Ingram, 1985; Palmer and Elderfield, 1985; DePaolo, 1986; Hess et al., 1986; Richter and DePaolo, 1987, 1988; Raymo et al., 1988; Veizer, 1989; Capo and DePaolo, 1990; Hodell et al., 1991; Richter et al., 1992; Veizer et al., 1999; Korte et al., 2006; Melezhik et al., 2009). The Sr isotope budget of the oceans is dominated by its supplies via rivers, hydrothermal vent waters, and diagenesis of deep-sea sediments, and the dissolved Sr flux to the modern oceans via rivers is far more than those via the latter two (Palmer and Edmond, 1989). In particular, the Ganges and Brahmaputra, originating in the southern Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, are characterized by both high Sr concentrations and high 87 Sr/86 Sr ratios and thus have a major influence on the increase in the Sr isotopic composition of seawater (e.g., Krishnaswami et al., 1992; Harris, 1995; Derry and France-Lanord, 1996; Quade et al., 1997; Singh et al., 1998, 2006; Galy et al., 1999; English et al., 2000; Dalai et al., 2003). Therefore, many researchers attribute the steady increase in the 87Sr/86Sr ratio in the oceans since the Cenozoic mainly to the uplifting of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, which caused increased silicate weathering and highly radiogenic Sr flux to the oceans. As the silicate rocks in the southern Himalayas have both high ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios and high Sr concentrations, the rise of the Sr isotopic composition of seawater since the Cenozoic can be used as a proxy of intensified silicate weathering (Palmer and Elderfield, 1985; Raymo et al., 1988; Edmond, 1992; Krishnaswami et al., 1992; Richter et al., 1992; Harris, 1995; Blum, 1997; Galy et al., 1999; Chesley et al., 2000; English et al., 2000; Bickle et al., 2005). However, other studies have shown that the unusual metamorphic evolution of the Himalayas was enriched with carbonates, resulting in abnormally high ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios, and that the weathering of such carbonates might control the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of river water (e.g., Edmond, 1992; Palmer and Edmond, 1992; Quade et al., 1997; Blum et al., 1998; Harris et al., 1998; English et al., 2000; Karim and Veizer, 2000; Jacobson and Blum, 2000; Bickle et al., 2001; Jacobson et al., 2002a). If much of the radiogenic Sr in the rivers is derived from carbonate weathering, then changes in the seawater Sr isotopic composition would not be a proxy of the continental silicate weathering intensity.

To better understand the contribution of silicate and carbonate weathering to the Sr isotopic composition of river water, we selected two small watersheds: one was the Xishui River draining silicate rocks, a tributary of the Yangtze River, and another was the Guijiang River draining carbonate rocks, a tributary of the Pearl River. In the two river catchments, silicate and carbonate rocks account for approximately 95 % of the respective drainage area. By analyzing the Sr isotopic compositions of river waters, we will discuss the Sr isotope characteristics and their controlling factors in small watersheds and investigate the relationship between silicate, carbonate weathering, and the Sr isotope evolution of seawater.

2 Studied areas

The Xishui River is a small tributary of the Yangtze River located at $115^{\circ}07'-116^{\circ}05'$ E and $30^{\circ}20'-31^{\circ}09'$ N. This river originates from south of the Dabie Mountain (elevation 1600 m), has a length of 157 km and a drainage area of 2670 km². Its headwater is composed of the Donghe River and Xihe River, which converge in Yishan County. The Xishui River merges into the Yangtze River at Lanxi (Fig. 1). The Xishui River catchment belongs to a subtropical monsoon climate with a mean temperature of 29 °C in the summer and 4 °C in the winter and an annual mean rainfall



Fig. 1. Map of the Xishui River catchment and sampling locations (filled red circles).

of approximately 1350 mm. The Xishui River mainly flows across the Dabie Mountain early Proterozoic metamorphic zone, which is altered by multiphase tectonism and regional metamorphism, forming a variety of metamorphic rocks and complete metamorphic facies. The catchment is covered with ultrahigh-pressure metamorphic rocks, mainly composed of eclogite, gneiss, and some granite (Fig. 2) (Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Hubei Province, 1990; China Geological Survey, 2004).

The Guijiang River, a tributary of the Pearl River, rises in the Miaoershan Mountain with an elevation of 2142 m. This river has a length of 438 km and a drainage area of 18790 km². The upper reaches are upstream of Rongjiang Town, and the middle reaches are from the confluence with the Lingqu River to Pingle County, also called "the Lijiang River", and then merge into the Pearl River at Wuzhou City (Fig. 3). The annual rainfall and evaporation are approximately 2000 mm and 1100 to 1200 mm, respectively, and the annual average temperature is ~ 20 °C in the Guijiang River catchment. Silurian granites, Ordovician-Cambrian shales, and mud rocks intercalate carbonate rocks and are mainly exposed in the headwater and upper reaches. The middle reaches are almost entirely covered with Devonian carbonate rocks, and the lower reaches flow across Cambrian terrain composed largely of carbonate rocks in intercalated shales (Fig. 4) (China Geological Survey, 2004).



Fig. 2. Geological map of the Xishui River catchment (modified from the China Geological Survey, 2004).

3 Sampling and analysis

From the river mouths to the source areas of the Xishui River and Guijiang River, 57 samples of river water, 1 sample of rain water, 1 sample of snow, 27 samples of riverbed sediment, and 2 samples of soil were collected in July 2010, December 2010, and July 2011 (Figs. 1 and 3, Table 1). A portable water quality analyzer was used to measure the temperature, pH, and conductivity in situ. Flow measurement was used to measure the flow velocity in the field and to estimate the water discharge. All of the samples were collected from the river bank or midstream away from towns, avoiding contamination from anthropogenic activities, and were stored in pre-cleaned polyethylene bottles free of air. The water samples were filtered through a 0.45 µm mixed cellulose esters Millipore filter. An aliquot of the filtered water was acidified to pH < 2 with ultrapure grade 1:1 nitric acid. Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Sr, and Si were measured in the filtered and acidified water using an inductively coupled plasma spectrometer (ICP-AES, Jarrell-Ash1100) at the Center of Modern Analysis of Nanjing University. The anions (F^- , Cl^- , NO_3^- , and SO_4^{2-}) in the filtered and un-acidified samples were measured using an ion chromatograph (Dionex series 1100) at the Key Laboratory of Surficial Geochemistry, Ministry of Education, School of Earth Sciences and Engineering, Nanjing University. The alkalinity was measured in the unfiltered water samples using a digital titrator (Hach 16900). The measurement reproducibility was determined by repeat analyses of samples



Fig. 3. Map of the Guijiang River catchment and sampling locations (filled red circles).

and standards, which showed ± 2 % precision for the cations and ± 5 % for the anions. For most of the water samples, the total cation charge (TZ⁺ = Ca²⁺ + Mg²⁺ + Na⁺ + K⁺ in meq L⁻¹) balanced the total anion charge (TZ⁻ = HCO₃⁻ + Cl⁻ + SO₄²⁻ in meq L⁻¹) within the analytical uncertainties, and the normalized inorganic charge balance (NICB = (TZ⁺ - TZ⁻)/TZ⁺ × 100 %) was within ± 5 % (Wu et al., 2013). For the Sr isotope ratio analysis, the Sr was separated from the samples using standard ion exchange techniques. The Sr isotopic compositions were measured using a Finnigan Triton thermal ionization mass spectrometer at the State Key Laboratory for Mineral Deposits Research, Nanjing University. The reproducibility and accuracy of the Sr isotope runs were periodically checked by



Fig. 4. Geological map of the Guijiang River catchment (modified from the China Geological Survey, 2004). ϵ : Cambrian mixed layer; ϵ_{1-2} : limestones/dolomites intercalated shales; ϵ_{2-3} : limestones intercalated shales; O: Ordovician mixed layer; O_1 : shales, mud rocks, limestones, and dolomites; D_1 : sand rocks, mud rocks, and a few carbonate rocks; D_2 : carbonate rocks and detrital rocks; D_{2-3} : carbonate rocks, sand rocks, and mud rocks; D_3 : carbonate rocks, carbonate rocks, and mud rocks; D_-C : Devonian–Carboniferous mixed layer; C: Carboniferous mixed layer; C_1 : carbonate rocks, and mud rocks intercalated coals.

running the Standard Reference Material NBS 987, with a mean ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio of 0.710248 ± 20 (2σ external standard deviation, n = 15). The Sr isotopic ratios were normalized to ${}^{86}\text{Sr}/{}^{88}\text{Sr} = 0.1194$. The analytical blank was < 1 ng for Sr.

Only the $< 63\mu$ m fine-grained fractions of the riverbed sediment and soil samples were used. The calcite in the samples was selectively dissolved with purified acetic acid (0.5 mol L⁻¹) at room temperature for up to 8 h, and only the silicate fractions were investigated. All of the pretreated samples were cleaned in pure water, powdered in an agate mill, and then digested with a mixture of HCl + HNO₃ + HClO₄ + HF. The analysis of Sr concentrations and isotopic compositions is the same as for the water samples.

4 Results

The Sr concentrations and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of the water samples in the Xishui and Guijiang rivers are listed in Table 2. The Sr concentrations of the Xishui River are 0.468 to $1.70 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$ in the summer and 1.30 to $3.17 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$ in the winter, reflecting a dilution effect from high runoff in the summer. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios range from 0.708686 to 0.709148 in the summer and from 0.708515 to 0.709305 in the winter, and do not exhibit obvious seasonal variations. A snow sample from Lanxi has a Sr concentration of $0.879\,\mu mol\,L^{-1}$ and a $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ ratio of 0.709495. The Sr concentrations are 0.124 to $1.098 \,\mu mol \, L^{-1}$ and $\frac{87}{Sr}$ /86Sr ratios are 0.710558 to 0.724605 in the Guijiang River. The rainwater sample from Zhaoping has a Sr concentration of 0.11 μ mol L⁻¹ and a ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio of 0.710416. Compared with the Xishui River, the Guijiang River has lower Sr concentrations but higher ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios. A plot of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr vs. 1/Sr shows that the silicate watershed Xishui River is characterized by carbonate weathering with low ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios and high Sr concentrations, and the carbonate watershed Guijiang River is closer to the silicate endmember with high ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios and low Sr concentrations (Fig. 5). It is surprising that each of the rivers exhibits entirely opposite Sr isotope characteristics than those of classic silicate and carbonate weathering. These reasons will be analyzed in Sect. 5.

Table 2 also contains some major ion concentrations in the Xishui River (Wu et al., 2013). For the Guijiang River, we did not analyze major ions, and some data from other researchers are listed in Table 2 for reference.

The Sr characteristics of the riverbed sediments and soils are given in Table 3. The ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of the Xishui riverbed sediments are 0.707058 to 0.712616. The Guijiang riverbed sediments have ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios ranging from 0.735172 to 0.775952, and two soil samples GJ15 and GJ19, have ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of 0.744095 and 0.749902, respectively. The ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios in the Guijiang River catchment are much higher than those in the Xishui River, reflecting obvious differences in the Sr isotopic compositions of the underlying bedrock in the two catchments.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Num.	River basins	Locations	Date	Longitude	Latitude	Ele.	Temp.	pН	EC (us cm ⁻¹)	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				The V	Jahui Diyan		(111)	(0)		(µ0 • • • • • • • •	
					lisiiui Kivei						
$\begin{split} & Xs-0!^{a} Xishui R. Lanxi 25 Dec 2010 115°08'40' 30°21'25'' 15 9 7.7 183 \\ & Xs-02'' Xishui R. Bailianhe 25 Dec 2010 115°25'13'' 30°372'4'' 43 10.3 7.9 125 \\ & Xs-03'' Xishui R. Bailianhe 25 Dec 2010 115°26'13'' 30°373'4'' 61 10.7 7.8 122 \\ & Xs-04'' Xishui R. Bailianhe 25 Dec 2010 115°38'31'' 30°43'38'' 101 8.2 7.9 109 \\ & Xs-06'' Donghe R. Yangliuwang 26 Dec 2010 115°378'3'' 30°43'13'' 131 9.2 7.8 155 \\ & Xs-04'' Xishe R. Shirouzui 26 Dec 2010 115°44'52'' 30°47'13'' 131 9.2 7.8 155 \\ & Xs-04'' Xishe R. Shirouzui 26 Dec 2010 115°47'10'' 31°01'05'' 198 4.5 7.8 99.1 \\ & Xs-04'' Xishe R. Shirouzui 26 Dec 2010 115°48'13''' 30°32'24'' 43 23 142 \\ & Xs-04'' Xishe R. Gamkou 9 Jul 2011 115°08'40'' 31°04'28'' 22 \\ \hline \\ & Summer \\ & Xs-04 Xishui R. Gamkou 9 Jul 2011 115°26'30'' 30°32'24'' 43 23 142 \\ & Xs-03 Shenjia R. Bailianhe 9 Jul 2011 115°25'29'' 30°37'14'' 61 22 140 \\ & Xs-04 Xishui R. Bailianhe 9 Jul 2011 115°26'30'' 30°35'24'' 43 23 142 \\ & Xs-03 Shenjia R. Bailianhe 9 Jul 2011 115°26'30'' 30°35'42'' 43 23 142 \\ & Xs-04 Xishui R. Bailianhe 9 Jul 2011 115°26'30'' 30°35'42'' 43 22 140 \\ & Xs-05 Xihe R. Yinshan 9 Jul 2011 115°37'33'' 30°37'45'' 13 24 160 \\ & Xs-07 Xihe R. Jinjiapu 9 Jul 2011 115°37'33'' 30°47'13'' 131 24 160 \\ & Xs-07 Xihe R. Jinjiapu 9 Jul 2011 115°47'10'' 31°01'05'' 198 20 109 \\ & Xs-08 Xihe R. Shitouzui 9 Jul 2011 115°47'10'' 25°33'48'' 184 28 7.99 236 \\ & GJ-02^a Darong R. Rongjiang 26 Jul 2010 110°27'8'' 25°33'48'' 184 28 7.99 236 \\ & GJ-04^a Li R. Rongjiang 26 Jul 2010 110°27'8'' 25°33'48'' 184 28 7.59 7.73 71 \\ & GJ-04 Gantang R. Rongjiang 26 Jul 2010 110°27'8'' 25°33'48'' 184 28 7.99 236 \\ & GJ-04^a Li R. Guilin 27 Jul 2010 110°27'8'' 25°33'48'' 184 28 7.99 236 \\ & GJ-04^a Li R. Guilin 27 Jul 2010 110°27'8'' 25°33'48'' 184 28 7.73 71 \\ & GJ-04 Gantang R. Rongjiang 26 Jul 2010 110°27'8'' 25°33'48'' 184 28 7.73 71 \\ & GJ-04 Gantang R. Rongjiang 26 Jul 2010 110°27'8'' 25°33'48'' 184 28 7.73 71 \\ & GJ-04 Li R. Guinjna 27 Jul 2010 110°27'38'' 25°10'44'' 141 29.3 7.41 316 \\ & GJ-06 Li R. Gui$	Winter										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-01 ^a	Xishui R.	Lanxi	25 Dec 2010	115°08′40″	30°21′25″	15	9	7.7	183	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-02 ^a	Xishui R.	Guankou	25 Dec 2010	115°20′13″	30°32′24″	43	10.3	7.9	125	
XS-04* Xishu R. Builanine 25 Dec 2010 115'26'20' 30'3'34'2' 61 8.2 7.9 109 XS-06* Donghe R. Yangliuwang 25 Dec 2010 115'34'3'2' 30'4'3'3'' 101 8.2 7.9 109 XS-06* Donghe R. Yangliuwang 26 Dec 2010 115'44'52'' 30'25'05'' 126 6.2 7.8 95.1 XS-08* Xihe R. Wujashan 26 Dec 2010 115'49'35' 30'21'25'' 15 28 126 Summer Soow Lanxi 9 Jul 2011 115'08'40'' 30'21'25'' 15 28 126 SX-02 Xishui R. Gaankon 9 Jul 2011 115'26'20'' 30'37'11'' 60 21 131 XS-04 Xishui R. Bailianhe 9 Jul 2011 115'26'20'' 30'37'11'' 61 22 140 XS-05 Xihe R. Yinshan 9 Jul 2011 115'34'38'' 101 28 140 XS-04 Xihe R. Shitouzui 9 Jul 2011 115'34'38'' 101'24''28''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	XS-03 ^a	Shenjia R.	Bailianhe	25 Dec 2010	115°25′29″	30°37′11″	60	9.1	8	183	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$XS-04^a$	Xishui R.	Bailianhe	25 Dec 2010	115°26′20′′	30°35′42″	61	10.7	7.8	122	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-05 ^a	Xihe R.	Yinshan	25 Dec 2010	115°38′31″	30°43′38″	101	8.2	7.9	109	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-06 ^a	Donghe R.	Yangliuwang	26 Dec 2010	115°44′52″	30°47′13″	131	9.2	7.8	155	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-0/a	Xihe R.	Jinjiapu	26 Dec 2010	115° 37′ 53″	30°52′05″	126	6.2	7.86	93.1	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	XS-08 ^a	Xihe R.	Shitouzui	26 Dec 2010	115°47′10″	31°01′05″	198	4.5	7.8	99.1	
Snow Lanxi 25 Dec 2010 115°08°40' 31°04′28' 22 Summer XS-01 Xishui R. Lanxi 9 Jul 2011 115°05′40' 30°21′25'' 15 28 126 XS-02 Xishui R. Bailianhe 9 Jul 2011 115°25′29'' 30°37′11'' 60 21 131 XS-04 Xishui R. Bailianhe 9 Jul 2011 115°26′20'' 30°37′11'' 60 21 131 XS-05 Xihe R. Yinshan 9 Jul 2011 115°37′53'' 30°43′18'' 101 28 140 XS-06 Donghe R. Yangliuwang 9 Jul 2011 115°4′10'' 31°01′05'' 198 20 109 VS-07 Xihe R. Shitouzui 9 Jul 2010 110°28′36'' 25°33′48'' 184 28 7.99 236 GJ-03 ^a Li R. Rongjiang 26 Jul 2010 110°27′26'' 25°33′14''' 179 27.3 7.39 74 GJ-04 Gantang R. Tanxia 27 Jul 2010	XS-09"	Xihe R.	Wujiashan	26 Dec 2010	115°49′35″	31°04′28″	277				
		Snow	Lanxi	25 Dec 2010	115°08′40′′	31°04′28″	22				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Summer										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-01	Xishui R.	Lanxi	9 Jul 2011	115°08′40″	30°21′25″	15	28		126	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-02	Xishui R.	Guankou	9 Jul 2011	115°20′13″	30°32′24″	43	23		142	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-03	Shenjia R.	Bailianhe	9 Jul 2011	115°25′29″	30°37′11″	60	21		131	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-04	Xishui R.	Bailianhe	9 Jul 2011	115°26′20″	30°35′42″	61	22		140	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-05	Xihe R.	Yinshan	9 Jul 2011	115°38′31″	30°43′38″	101	28		140	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-06	Donghe R.	Yangliuwang	9 Jul 2011	115°44′52″	30°47′13″	131	24		160	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XS-07	Xihe R.	Jinjiapu	9 Jul 2011	115°37′53″	30°52′05″	126	22		133	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	XS-08	Xihe R.	Shitouzui	9 Jul 2011	115°47′10″	31°01′05″	198	20		109	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		The Guiiiang River									
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CI 018	L'a D	Denetiene	26 I-1 2010	110020/2//	25022/40//	104	20	7.00	226	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GJ-01"	LIII K. Dorong D	Rongjiang	26 Jul 2010	110°28'30''	25° 35' 48''	184	28	7.99	230	
	GJ-02"	Darong K.	Rongliang	26 Jul 2010	110°28 18	25° 55' 52' 25° 22' 1 4''	180	27.0	7.57	71	
G1-04Gailang R.Faixin27 Jul 2010110 110 19 3825 21 25166257.38132GJ-05Li R.Guilin27 Jul 2010110 10 19 38''25 21 19''15328.57.62170GJ-06Taohua R.Guilin27 Jul 2010110 21 702''25 2 1 3'53''14828.27.62170GJ-07Li R.Guilin27 Jul 2010110 20'88''25 2 1 3'53''14828.17.3172GJ-08Li R.Zhemu27 Jul 2010110 20'88''25 2 1 1'59''14729.87.41166GJ-09aLiangfeng R.Zhemu27 Jul 2010110 23'13''25 2 10'38''14628.77.31166GJ-10aLi R.Majiafang27 Jul 2010110 25'45''25 2 10'38''13630.68.56207GJ-11Chaotian R.Daxu27 Jul 2010110 25'45''25 0'0'38''12830.58.38182GJ-13Underground R.Guanyan27 Jul 2010110 21'25''25 0'0'38''12830.58.31182GJ-14Li R.Guanyan27 Jul 2010110 3'1'0''24°55'20''11829.87.73185GJ-15Li R.Xinping28 Jul 2010110 3'1'5''24°55'04''11829.87.78186GJ-15Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010110 3'1'0''24°55'04''11230.58.14189GJ-18Li R.Yangshuo <td< td=""><td>GJ-05"</td><td>LI K. Contona D</td><td>Kongjiang</td><td>26 Jul 2010</td><td>$110^{\circ} 27^{\circ} 20^{\circ}$</td><td>25° 33 14 25° 27' 25''</td><td>1/9</td><td>27.5</td><td>7.59</td><td>/4</td></td<>	GJ-05"	LI K. Contona D	Kongjiang	26 Jul 2010	$110^{\circ} 27^{\circ} 20^{\circ}$	25° 33 14 25° 27' 25''	1/9	27.5	7.59	/4	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GI 05		Guilin	27 Jul 2010	110 17 20 $110^{\circ} 10' 20''$	25 27 25 $25^{\circ}21'10''$	100	25	7.50	132	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GI 06	LI K. Taohua P	Guilin	27 Jul 2010	110 19 38	25°16'32''	154	20.2	7.02	220	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GI 07		Guilin	27 Jul 2010	110°17'02 110°18'58''	25°13′53″	172	20.5	7.27	172	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GI 08	LIK. LIP	Zhomu	27 Jul 2010	110°20′58″	$25^{\circ}15'55'$	140	20.1	7.5	172	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GL-00 ^a	LI K. Liangfeng P	Zhemu	27 Jul 2010	$110^{\circ}20^{\circ}38^{\circ}$ $110^{\circ}21'04''$	25°11′50″	140	20.7	7.51	316	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GI-10 ^a		Majjafang	27 Jul 2010	110°21'04 110°23'13″	$25^{\circ}10'44''$	147	29.8	7.41	177	
GJ-11Chavian R.Daxa 27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}24^{\circ}5^{\circ}2^{\circ}$ $25^{\circ}03^{\circ}16^{\circ}$ 136° 30.5° 8.30° 207 GJ-12Li R.Guanyan 27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}26^{\circ}2^{\circ\prime}$ $25^{\circ}03^{\circ}16^{\prime\prime}$ 128° 30.5° 8.38° 182° GJ-13Underground R.Guanyan 27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}27^{\prime}25^{\prime\prime}$ $25^{\circ}02^{\prime}57^{\prime\prime}$ 129° 22.3° 8.13° 258° GJ-14Li R.Guanyan 27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}27^{\prime}14^{\prime\prime}$ $25^{\circ}02^{\prime}38^{\prime\prime}$ 128° 30.5° 8.31° 182° GJ-15^{b}Li R.Xinping 27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}27^{\prime}14^{\prime\prime}$ $25^{\circ}02^{\prime}38^{\prime\prime}$ 128° 30.5° 8.31° 182° GJ-16Longjin R.Xinping 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}31^{\prime}07^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}55^{\prime}04^{\prime\prime}$ 119° 8.6° 7.77° 228° GJ-17Li R.Xinping 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}31^{\prime}05^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}65^{\prime}04^{\prime\prime}$ 112° 30.5° 8.14° 189° GJ-18Li R.Yangshuo 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}30^{\prime}00^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}46^{\prime}05^{\prime\prime}$ 112° 30.5° 8.14° 189° GJ-20^{a}Li R.Yangshuo 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}30^{\prime}40^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}46^{\prime}42^{\prime\prime}$ 112° 30.5° 7.98° 191° GJ-21^{a}Li R.Pingle 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}36^{\prime}44^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}37^{\prime}57$	GL-11 ^a	Chaotian P	Davu	27 Jul 2010	110°25'45″	25°10′38″	141	20.5	8 56	207	
GJ-12Li R.Guanyan27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}20^{\circ}2^{\circ}$ $25^{\circ}05^{\circ}10^{\circ}$ 125° 30.5° 6.56° 102° GJ-13Underground R.Guanyan27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}27^{\prime}25^{\prime\prime}$ $25^{\circ}02^{\prime}57^{\prime\prime}$ 129° 22.3° 8.13° 25° GJ-14Li R.Guanyan27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}27^{\prime}25^{\prime\prime}$ $25^{\circ}02^{\prime}38^{\prime\prime}$ 128° 30.5° 8.31° 182° GJ-15^{b}Li R.Xinping27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}27^{\prime}14^{\prime\prime}$ $25^{\circ}02^{\prime}38^{\prime\prime}$ 118° 30.5° 8.31° 182° GJ-16Longjin R.Xinping28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}31^{\prime}07^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}55^{\prime}04^{\prime\prime}$ 119° 28.6° 7.77° 228° GJ-17Li R.Xinping28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}31^{\prime}05^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}54^{\prime}45^{\prime\prime}$ 118° 29.8° 7.78° 186° GJ-18Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}30^{\prime}00^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}46^{\prime}10^{\prime\prime}$ 112° 30.5° 8.14° 189° GJ-20^{a}Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}30^{\prime}40^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}46^{\prime}05^{\prime\prime}$ 112° 30.6° 8.2° 193° GJ-21Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}31^{\prime}02^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}46^{\prime}42^{\prime\prime}$ 112° 30.6° 8.2° 193° GJ-22^{a}Lipu R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}36^{\prime}43^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}37^{\prime}57^{\prime\prime}$ 103°	GL12	Li R	Guanyan	27 Jul 2010	$110^{\circ}25^{\circ}45^{\circ}$	25°03′16″	128	30.5	8 38	182	
GJ-15Childrighound R.Childrighound R	GI-12 GI-13	Underground R	Guanyan	27 Jul 2010	110°20'52 110°27'25″	$25^{\circ}03'10''$ $25^{\circ}02'57''$	120	20.5	8.13	258	
GJ-14Eff R.Vin 27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 21' 14'$ $25' 05' 30''$ $115''$ $50.5''$ $60.5''$ $102'''$ GJ-15 ^b Li R.Xinping27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 31' 07''$ $24^{\circ} 55' 20''$ 118 29.8 7.73 $185''$ GJ-16Longjin R.Xinping28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 31' 35''$ $24^{\circ} 55' 04'''$ $119''$ $28.6'''$ $7.77'''$ $228'''''$ GJ-17Li R.Xinping28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 31' 05'''$ $24^{\circ} 54' 45''''''' 118'''''29.8''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''$	GJ-13 GI-14	Li R	Guanyan	27 Jul 2010	110°27′23 110°27′14″	25°02'38″	129	30.5	8 31	182	
GJ-15Ef R.Xinping 27 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 31^{\circ} 07^{\circ}$ $24^{\circ} 52^{\circ} 07^{\circ}$ 113° 22.8° 7.73° 185° GJ-16Longjin R.Xinping 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 31^{\circ} 35^{\circ}^{\circ}$ $24^{\circ} 55^{\circ} 04^{\prime\prime}$ 119° 28.6° 7.77° 228° GJ-17Li R.Xinping 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 31^{\circ} 05^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ} 54^{\circ} 45^{\prime\prime}$ 118° 29.8° 7.78° 186° GJ-18Li R.Yangshuo 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 00^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ} 46^{\circ} 10^{\prime\prime}$ 112° 30.5° 8.14° 189° GJ-19^{b}Yulong R.Yangshuo 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 40^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ} 46^{\circ} 10^{\prime\prime}$ 112° 31.2° 8.14° 189° GJ-20^{a}Li R.Yangshuo 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 40^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ} 46^{\circ} 42^{\prime\prime}$ 112° 31.2° 8.12° 238° GJ-21Li R.Pingle 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 44^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ} 38^{\circ} 28^{\prime\prime}$ 104° 30.5° 7.98° 191° GJ-22^{a}Lipu R.Pingle 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 40^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 58^{\prime\prime}$ 103° 30.2° 7.72° 159° GJ-23^{a}Li R.Pingle 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 40^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 58^{\prime\prime}$ 104° 30.4° 8.01° 201° GJ-24^{a}Gongcheng R.Pingle 28 Jul 2010 <td< td=""><td>GL15^b</td><td>Li R.</td><td>Vinning</td><td>27 Jul 2010</td><td>110°2/14 110°31/07″</td><td>23°02'30 24°55'20''</td><td>118</td><td>20.5</td><td>7 73</td><td>185</td></td<>	GL15 ^b	Li R.	Vinning	27 Jul 2010	110°2/14 110°31/07″	23°02'30 24°55'20''	118	20.5	7 73	185	
GJ-10Eoligin R.Xinping28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 31'05''$ $24^{\circ} 50'4'$ $115'$ 22.60° $7.77'$ 22.60° GJ-17Li R.Xinping28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 31'05''$ $24^{\circ} 54'45''$ 118 29.8 7.78 186 GJ-18Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30'00''$ $24^{\circ} 46'10''$ 112 30.5 8.14 189 GJ-19 ^b Yulong R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30'40''$ $24^{\circ} 46'05''$ 112 31.2 8.1 238 GJ-20 ^a Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30'40''$ $24^{\circ} 46'42''$ 112 30.6 8.2 193 GJ-21Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 31'02''$ $24^{\circ} 46'42''$ 112 30.6 8.2 193 GJ-21Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 36'44''$ $24^{\circ} 38'28''$ 104 30.5 7.98 191 GJ-22 ^a Lipu R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 36'43''$ $24^{\circ} 37'57''$ 103 30.2 7.72 159 GJ-23 ^a Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 37'40''$ $24^{\circ} 37'58''$ 104 30.4 8.01 201 GJ-24 ^a Gongcheng R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 46'06''$ $24^{\circ} 37'00''$ 102 30.1 7.93 192 GJ-25 ^a Gui R.Guihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 46'06''$ $24^{\circ} 15'31''$ 76 30.4 7.71 190 </td <td>GL16</td> <td>Longijn R</td> <td>Xinping</td> <td>27 Jul 2010</td> <td>110°31′35″</td> <td>24°55′04″</td> <td>110</td> <td>29.0</td> <td>7.75 777</td> <td>228</td>	GL16	Longijn R	Xinping	27 Jul 2010	110°31′35″	24°55′04″	110	29.0	7.75 777	228	
GJ-17Ef R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010110 31 00 $24 ^{\circ} 44 ^{\circ} 5$ 116 $22.3 ^{\circ} 1.76$ 180GJ-18Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30'00''$ $24^{\circ} 46'10''$ 112 30.5 8.14 189GJ-19 ^b Yulong R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30'00''$ $24^{\circ} 46'10''$ 112 31.2 8.1 238 GJ-20 ^a Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30'40''$ $24^{\circ} 46'05''$ 112 30.6 8.2 193GJ-21Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 36'44''$ $24^{\circ} 38'28''$ 104 30.5 7.98 191GJ-22 ^a Lipu R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 36'43''$ $24^{\circ} 37'57''$ 103 30.2 7.72 159GJ-23 ^a Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 37'40''$ $24^{\circ} 37'48''$ 103.5 30.3 7.96 175GJ-24 ^a Gongcheng R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 38'15''$ $24^{\circ} 37'58''$ 104 30.4 8.01 201GJ-25 ^a Gui R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 46'06''$ $24^{\circ} 37'00''$ 102 30.1 7.93 192GJ-26Gui R.Guihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 45'46''$ $24^{\circ} 15'31''$ 76 30.7 7.1 190GL27Guihua RGuihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 45'46''$ $24^{\circ} 15'56'''$ 76 30.7 7.1 164	GL17	Longjin K. Li P	Xinping	28 Jul 2010	110°31′05″	$24^{\circ}53^{\circ}04^{\circ}$	119	20.0	7.77	186	
GJ-19 ^b Yulong R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30' 40''$ $24^{\circ} 46' 05''$ 112 31.2 8.1 238 GJ-20 ^a Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30' 40''$ $24^{\circ} 46' 05''$ 112 31.2 8.1 238 GJ-20 ^a Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 30' 40''$ $24^{\circ} 46' 42''$ 112 30.6 8.2 193 GJ-21Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 36' 44''$ $24^{\circ} 38' 28''$ 104 30.5 7.98 191 GJ-22 ^a Lipu R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 36' 43''$ $24^{\circ} 37' 57''$ 103 30.2 7.72 159 GJ-23 ^a Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 37' 40''$ $24^{\circ} 37' 48''$ 103.5 30.3 7.96 175 GJ-24 ^a Gongcheng R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 38' 15''$ $24^{\circ} 37' 58''$ 104 30.4 8.01 201 GJ-25 ^a Gui R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 40' 10''$ $24^{\circ} 37' 00''$ 102 30.1 7.93 192 GJ-26Gui R.Guihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ} 45' 66''$ $24^{\circ} 15' 31''$ 76 30.7 8.12 164	GI-18	Li R	Yangshuo	28 Jul 2010	110° 30' 00″	24°46′10″	112	30.5	8 14	189	
GJ-20 ^a Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010110 '30 '40'24 '40 '05'112'31.2'8.1'258'GJ-20 ^a Li R.Yangshuo28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}31'02''$ $24^{\circ}46'42''$ 112'30.6'8.2'193'GJ-21Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}36'44''$ $24^{\circ}38'28''$ 104'30.5'7.98''191''GJ-22 ^a Lipu R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}36'43''$ $24^{\circ}37'57'''$ 103'''30.2'''7.72'''159'''GJ-23 ^a Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}37'40''$ $24^{\circ}37'48'''$ 103.5'''30.3'''7.96'''175'''GJ-24 ^a Gongcheng R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}38'15'''$ $24^{\circ}37'58'''$ 104'''30.4''''8.01''''GJ-25 ^a Gui R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}40'10''''$ $24^{\circ}37'00''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''$		Vulong P	Vangshuo	28 Jul 2010	110°30'40″	24 40 10 24°46′05″	112	31.2	0.14 Q 1	238	
GJ-20Ef R.Fangshub28 Jul 2010110 31 0224 4042 11250.06.2173GJ-21Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}36'44''$ $24^{\circ}38'28''$ 10430.57.98191GJ-22 ^a Lipu R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}36'43''$ $24^{\circ}37'57''$ 10330.27.72159GJ-23 ^a Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}37'40''$ $24^{\circ}37'48''$ 103.530.37.96175GJ-24 ^a Gongcheng R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}38'15''$ $24^{\circ}37'58''$ 10430.48.01201GJ-25 ^a Gui R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}40'10''$ $24^{\circ}37'00''$ 10230.17.93192GJ-26Gui R.Guihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}45'46''$ $24^{\circ}15'31''$ 7630.47.71190GL27Guihua RGuihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}45'46''$ $24^{\circ}15'56''$ 7630.78.12164	GL-20 ^a	Lip	Vangshuo	28 Jul 2010	110°31′02″	24 40 05 24°46'42''	112	30.6	8.1	103	
GJ-21Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010110 30 4424 38 2610430.37.96191GJ-22 ^a Lipu R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}36'43''$ $24^{\circ}37'57''$ 10330.27.72159GJ-23 ^a Li R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}37'40''$ $24^{\circ}37'48''$ 103.530.37.96175GJ-24 ^a Gongcheng R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}38'15''$ $24^{\circ}37'58''$ 10430.48.01201GJ-25 ^a Gui R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}40'10''$ $24^{\circ}37'00''$ 10230.17.93192GJ-26Gui R.Guihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}45'46''$ $24^{\circ}15'31''$ 7630.47.71190GL27Guihua RGuihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}45'46''$ $24^{\circ}15'06''$ 7630.78.12164	GL21	LIR.	Dingle	28 Jul 2010	110°36'44"	24 40 42	104	30.0	0.2 7.09	195	
GJ-22Lipu R.Fingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}30^{\circ}43^{\circ}$ $24^{\circ}37^{\circ}48^{\prime\prime}$ 103° 50.2° 7.12° 139° GJ-23^aLi R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}37^{\prime}40^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}37^{\prime}48^{\prime\prime}$ 103.5° 30.3° 7.96° 175° GJ-24^aGongcheng R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}38^{\prime}15^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}37^{\prime}58^{\prime\prime}$ 104° 30.4° 8.01° 201° GJ-25^aGui R.Pingle28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}40^{\prime}10^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}37^{\prime}00^{\prime\prime}$ 102° 30.1° 7.93° 192° GJ-26Gui R.Guihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}45^{\prime}46^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}15^{\prime}51^{\prime\prime}$ 76° 30.4° 7.71° 190° GL27Guihua RGuihua29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}45^{\prime}46^{\prime\prime}$ $24^{\circ}15^{\prime}56^{\prime\prime}$ 76° 30.7° 8.12° 164°	GL22a	Linu P	r ingle Dingle	20 Jul 2010	110 30 44 110°26'72''	24 30 20 21°37′57″	104	30.5	1.70 7.70	151	
GJ-25 Er R. Fingle 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}3740^{\circ}$ $24^{\circ}3746^{\circ}$ 105.5° 50.5° 7.90° 175° GJ-24 ^a Gongcheng R. Pingle 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}38'15''$ $24^{\circ}37'58''$ 104° 30.4° 8.01° 201° GJ-25 ^a Gui R. Pingle 28 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}40'10''$ $24^{\circ}37'00''$ 102° 30.1° 7.93° 192° GJ-26 Gui R. Guihua 29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}46'06''$ $24^{\circ}15'31''$ 76° 30.4° 7.71° 190° GL27 Guihua R Guihua 29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}45'46''$ $24^{\circ}15'06''$ 76° 30.7° 8.12° 164°	GL-22a		r ingle Dingle	20 Jul 2010	110 30 43 110° 37' 40''	24 31 31 21037/18/1	103 5	30.2	7.06	175	
GJ-24 Guigeneng R. Fingle 28 Jul 2010 110 36 15 24 57 56 104 50.4 8.01 201 GJ-25 ^a Gui R. Pingle 28 Jul 2010 $110^\circ 40' 10''$ $24^\circ 37' 00''$ 102 30.1 7.93 192 GJ-26 Gui R. Guihua 29 Jul 2010 $110^\circ 46' 06''$ $24^\circ 15' 31''$ 76 30.4 7.71 190 GL-27 Guihua R Guihua 29 Jul 2010 $110^\circ 45' 46''$ $24^\circ 15' 06''$ 76 30.7 8.12 164	GI-24a	LIN. Gongebang P	r ingle Dingle	20 Jul $201028 Jul 2010$	110 37 40	24 31 40 21037/58//	105.5	30.5	7.90 8.01	201	
GJ-25 Gui R. Fingle 26 Jul 2010 110 40 10 24 57 00 102 50.1 7.95 192 GJ-26 Gui R. Guihua 29 Jul 2010 110°46′06″ 24°15′31″ 76 30.4 7.71 190 GL-27 Guihua R Guihua 29 Jul 2010 110°45′46″ 24°15′06″ 76 30.7 8.12 164	GI 25ª	Gui P	r ingle Dingle	20 Jul 2010	110 30 15	24 37 30 24°27'00"	104	20.4	0.01 7.02	102	
GL27 Guihua R Guihua 29 Jul 2010 110 40 00 24 15 51 70 50.4 7.71 190 GL27 Guihua R Guihua 29 Jul 2010 $110^{\circ}45' A6'' 2A^{\circ}15' 06'' 76 30.7 8.12 164$	GL26	Gui R	Guibua	20 Jul 2010	110 40 10 110°46'06''	24 37 00 24°15′21″	102	30.1	7.95	192	
	GL27	Guihua P	Guihua	29 Jul 2010	110°45'46''	24 13 31 24°15′06″	70	30.4	7.71 8.12	164	

Table 1.	The	sampling	information	of the	Xishui	River	and (Guiiiano	River
Table 1.	THC	sampning	mormation	or the	monul	ICI VOI 0	unu	Juijiang	ICIVCI.

Guihua

Zhaoping

Zhaoping

29 Jul 2010

29 Jul 2010

29 Jul 2010

110°47′44″

110°50′20″

 $110^{\circ}50'34''$

 $24^\circ14'46''$

24°11′47″

24°11'30"

75

55

55

Gui R.

Gui R.

Siqin R.

GJ-28

GJ-29

GJ-30^a

30.3

30.3

30

7.78

7.81

8.01

184

176

142

Table	1.	Continued
Lanc	1.	Commucu.

564

Num.	River basins	Locations	Date	Longitude	Latitude	Ele.	Temp.	pН	EC
						(m)	(°C)		$(\mu s cm^{-1})$
GJ-31 ^a	Gui R.	Zhaoping	29 Jul 2010	110°49′57″	24°10′48″	53	28	7.76	178
GJ-32	Gui R.	Majiang	29 Jul 2010	111°02′12″	23°53′44″	33	30.3	7.73	152
GJ-33 ^a	Fuqin R.	Majiang	30 Jul 2010	111°02′16″	23°52′38″	34	29.4	7.64	132
GJ-34 ^a	Gui R.	Majiang	30 Jul 2010	111°01′29″	23°52′07″	32.5	30.4	7.8	152
GJ-35	Gui R.	Changfa	30 Jul 2010	111°05′58″	23°43′01″	23.5	30.3	7.76	145
GJ-36	Longjiang R.	Changfa	30 Jul 2010	111°06′09″	23°41′51″	23	26.9	7.11	36
GJ-37	Gui R.	Changfa	30 Jul 2010	110°07′47″	23°38′35″	21	30.1	7.8	143
GJ-38	Gui R.	Hekou	30 Jul 2010	111°18′17″	23°32′29″	11	29.8	7.69	138
GJ-39	Siliang R.	Hekou	31 Jul 2010	111°18′32″	23°32′04″	11	28.5	6.78	89
GJ-40 ^a	Gui R.	Hekou	31 Jul 2010	111°18′37″	23°31′30″	10	30.5	7.67	138
	Rain	Zhaoping	29 Jul 2010	110°49′57″	$24^\circ 10' 48''$	53			

^a Riverbed sediments were also collected. ^b Riverbed sediments and soils were also collected.



Fig. 5. Plot of the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios vs. 1/Sr in the Xishui and Guijiang Rivers. The filled triangles represent the samples in the Guijiang River, and the filled and open circles represent the samples in the Xishui River in the winter and summer, respectively.

5 Discussion

5.1 Sr isotope characteristics and controlling factors in the Xishui River

Silicate rocks in the Xishui River catchment account for approximately 95% of the drainage area. Among them, gneisses are dominant and granites are mainly distributed in



Fig. 6. Temporal and spatial variations of the Sr concentrations (**a**) and the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios (**b**) in the Xishui River. The filled and open circles represent the samples in the winter and summer, respectively.

the source area and in the Bailianhe Reservoir. Moreover, basic and ultrabasic rocks are exposed in a scattered manner. However, as a silicate watershed, the Xishui River has low ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (< 0.71). The ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of samples in the summer decrease gradually going downwards from the source area and reach the lowest value at Yinshan County (Fig. 6). After flowing across granites, which are widely distributed across the Bailianhe Reservoir, the ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios increase and then gradually decrease from XS3 to XS1. The varying trend of ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios in the winter is very similar to that of the summer with the exception of XS3–XS1,

Table 2. The Sr isotopic compositions and parts of major ion concentrations in the Xishui River and Guiji	ang River.
---	------------

Num.	River	Ca	Mg	К	Na	Cl	HCO_3	SO ₄	NO ₃	Si	Sr	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr	2σ %	NICB
	basilis					μΠ	. D. 9						70	
					1	he Xisi	iui River ^a							
Winter	W 1 ' D	107	201	70.0	204	1.40	1220	110	110	220	2.50	0.700000	2	0.0
XS-01 XS-02	Xishui R. Viahui P	427	201	79.2	384	149	1320	119	112	220	2.59	0.708900	3	0.3
XS-02 XS-03	Alshul K. Shengjiahe R	274 491	233	41.1	201 361	92.8	872 1537	122	40.3 28.9	278	2.10	0.708850	4	-2.9 -1.0
XS-03 XS-04	Xishui R.	289	131	44.8	285	86.9	918	95.4	47.3	220	2.23	0.708873	3	-1.1
XS-05	Xihe R.	252	120	35.1	268	87.9	684	119	35.7	206	2.02	0.708515	4	1.8
XS-06	Donghe R.	345	153	39.4	425	126	930	155	34.2	307	2.32	0.708718	5	3.3
XS-07	Xihe R.	211	93.4	29.8	230	50.2	621	114	51.1	164	1.62	0.709305	3	-1.7
XS-08	Xihe R.	231	109	28.5	218	57.0	690 204	118	39.8	199	1.73	0.709302	2	-2.9
AS-09	Alle K.	150 66 4	54.0 13.1	18.0	38.4	31.8	394 105	70.9 32.4	10.7 03.3	224 4.04	0.308	0.709104	2 4	-2.9 -38.4
Summer	bilow	00.4	15.1	21.1	50.4	50.7	105	52.4	15.5	4.04	0.500	0.707475	7	50.4
XS-01	Xishui R.	268	151	46.2	291	168	730	133	66.8	130	1.52	0.708778	3	-2.1
XS-02	Xishui R.	324	151	47.0	366	155	905	127	51.6	200	1.70	0.708790	5	1.8
XS-03	Shengjiahe R.	287	138	45.4	324	140	801	116	51.1	132	1.51	0.708969	7	2.0
XS-04	Xishui R.	341	146	50.4	351	135	883	124	53.7	179	1.14	0.708999	3	2.2
AS-05 XS-06	Donghe P	313	159	45.5	301 432	155	847 1011	102	55.0 51.6	194 281	0.408	0.708080	3	-1.8
XS-00 XS-07	Xihe R.	258	128	37.7	396	130	765	153	38.7	154	1.48	0.709043	5	-1.3
XS-08	Xihe R.	250	120	33.5	263	109	603	150	32.3	153	1.39	0.709148	8	-0.3
					Т	he Guiji	ang River	b						
CL 01	L:- D					le ouiji					0.951	0.711202	6	
GI-02	Darong R	286	62.6	20	60.9	48.8	370	88 5	131		0.851	0.715849	6	
GJ-02	Li R.	200	02.0	20	00.7	10.0	570	00.0	151		0.389	0.715973	3	
GJ-04	Gantang R.	335	21.0	8.97	21.7	27.9	630	47.3	43.4		0.576	0.712037	4	
GJ-05	Li R.	797	73.3	31.8	141	134	1600	117	129		1.098	0.710558	5	
GJ-06	Taohua R.										0.743	0.712451	5	
GJ-07	Li R.										0.786	0.711876	6	
GJ-08 GI-09	LIK. Liangfeng R										0.757	0.712309	12	
GJ-10	Li R.										0.741	0.711012	50	
GJ-11	Chaotian R.	967	128	17.7	34.4	58.4	2099	79.8	93.1		0.811	0.713659	3	
GJ-12	Li R.										0.754	0.712393	35	
GJ-13	Underground R.										0.743	0.712359	4	
GJ-14 CL 15	Li R.										0.749	0.712077	3	
GI-15 GI-16	LIK.										0.743	0.712084	4	
GJ-17	Li R.										0.738	0.712204	4	
GJ-18	Li R.										0.750	0.712039	4	
GJ-19	Yulong R.	1327	346	20.3	57.8	97.6	3130	135	133		0.694	0.712706	5	
GJ-20	Li R.	893	95.9	31.3	111	107	1754	130	73.7		0.743	0.712200	8	
GJ-21 CL 22	Li R. Linu P	700	190	50.0	107	121	1250	240	67 1		0.746	0.712078	6	
GI-22 GI-23	Lipu K. Li R	700	169	50.8	127	121	1250	249	07.1		0.829	0.711911	4	
GJ-23 GJ-24	Gongcheng R.	705	174	25.9	53.5	63.8	1320	96.1	107		0.702	0.712568	6	
GJ-25	Gui R.										0.727	0.712372	3	
GJ-26	Gui R.										0.711	0.712402	4	
GJ-27	Guihua R.										0.615	0.712943	6	
GJ-28	Gui R.										0.689	0.712483	3	
GI-29 GI-30	Gui R. Sigin P	7/3	163	167	118	114	1688	114	62.4		0.647	0.712709	3	
GJ-30 GJ-31	Gui R	816	134	35.1	99.1	98.4	1655	137	58 7		0.607	0.713242	7	
GJ-32	Gui R.	010	101	55.1	, , , 1	20.1	1000	101	20.7		0.567	0.713365	4	
GJ-33	Fuqin R.	478	145	45.6	82.2	88.6	1100	90.6	60.2		0.697	0.712798	4	
GJ-34	Gui R.										0.576	0.713128	5	
GJ-35	Gui R.	690	138	31.3	87.4	84.9	1450	116	51.0		0.555	0.714357	8	
GJ-36 GL 37	Longjiang R.	/8.5	/4.1	53.8	106	47.4	270	48.6	56.5		0.124	0.724605	5	
GJ-37 GJ-38	Gui R										0.554	0.714018	3	
GJ-39	Siliang R.										0.361	0.720095	3	
GJ-40	Gui R.										0.534	0.716453	4	
Rain	Rain										0.110	0.710416	3	

^a Major ion concentrations in the Xishui River were from a companion paper (Wu et al., 2013). ^b Major ion concentrations in the Guijiang River were cited from H. B. Zhang et al. (2012). Compared with our samples, they were collected at a different time (April 2012), and therefore can only be a reference.

Table 3. Sr isotopic compositions of the Xishui River and Guijiang

 River riverbed sediments and soils.

Num.	$Sr(\mu gg^{-1})$	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr	2σ
Т	he Xishui Rive	r sediments	
XS-01	249	0.710139	2
XS-02	354	0.709188	3
XS-03	255	0.712616	3
XS-04	232	0.711184	4
XS-05	396	0.707058	3
XS-06	423	0.708789	4
XS-07	362	0.709222	3
XS-08	378	0.709050	4
XS-09	448	0.708784	3
Th	e Guijiang Riv	er sediments	
GJ-01	41.6	0.743134	3
GJ-02	43.9	0.757536	4
GJ-03	56.6	0.738083	4
GJ-09	61.6	0.739180	3
GJ-10	54.6	0.742779	2
GJ-11	58.1	0.742161	4
GJ-15	49.1	0.744003	3
GJ-19	63.6	0.737776	3
GJ-20	47.8	0.758427	3
GJ-22	87.0	0.737306	4
GJ-23	77.3	0.737048	4
GJ-24	85.1	0.735172	3
GJ-25	93.8	0.735274	3
GJ-30	55.1	0.748129	4
GJ-31	59.6	0.740296	5
GJ-33	38.3	0.775952	4
GJ-34	52.2	0.761448	4
GJ-40	58.3	0.750115	4
	The Guijiang F	River soils	
GJ-15	55.4	0.744095	5
GJ-19	48.3	0.749902	3

which have a slightly increasing trend. Variations in the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios may be attributed to differences in the underlying bedrock. In the Xishui River catchment, the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of Cretaceous granites are commonly higher than those of metamorphic rocks (Zheng et al., 2000; Ge et al., 2001a, b; Chen et al., 2002). The Sr concentrations in the Xishui River are relatively high and the lower reaches have higher values than do the headwaters. The samples XS8-XS4 in the summer and winter have similar trends of increasing Sr concentrations. However, the varying trend of samples XS3-XS1 between the summer and winter is remarkably different, as reflected in the very high Sr concentrations of samples XS3 and XS1 in the winter. Moreover, the concentrations of the major ions Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and HCO_3^- of samples XS3 and XS1 were also rather high. This result may reflect hydrological control at the basin scale. The river water is **Table 4.** Sr isotopic compositions of underlying bedrocks in theXishui River and Guijiang River catchments.

Lithologies	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr	Data Source								
Т	The Xishui River catchment									
Granitoids	0.708229-0.716990	Ge et al. (2001a)								
Granitoids	0.707934-0.713695	Chen et al. (2002)								
Granitoids	0.707040-0.712890	Zhang et al. (2002)								
Gray Gneisses	0.707482-0.719772	Zheng et al. (2000)								
Pyroxenite/gabbro	0.706839-0.708556	Jahn et al. (1999)								
Mafic-ultramafic Rocks	0.706071-0.703955	Li et al. (1998)								
Eclogites	0.705388-0.710926	Liu et al. (2000)								
Complex	0.707109-0.707611	Wawrzenitz et al. (2006)								
Mafic igneous	0.707791-0.709900	Wang et al. (2005)								
Th	e Guijiang River catchr	nent								
Granitoids	0.72261-0.99180	Zhu et al. (1989)								
Granitoids	0.733000-1.025912	Gu et al. (2006)								
Granitoids	0.738-1.003	Xu and Zhang (1993)								
Granitoids	0.77007-0.89397	Xu et al. (1994)								
Granitoids	0.720065-0.787221	F. F. Zhang et al. (2012)								
Carbonate rocks ^a	0.70589-0.70882	Huang (1997)								
Carbonate rocks ^a	0.708223-0.708907	Zeng et al. (2007)								
Dolomitic limestoneb	0.70775									
Soil ^b	0.71049-0.72266	Liu et al. (2011)								
Soil ^b	0.727317-0.727417	Zhu et al. (2011)								

^a Paleozoic carbonate rocks in the Yangtze Platform.

^b Karst area in the neighboring Guizhou Province.

mainly provided by surface flow during the monsoon period, whereas the proportion of water contributed by the aquifer is higher during the dry period. The 1:200 000 geological map (Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Hubei Province, data not published) shows that Archean marbles are distributed in a stratified manner in the tributary Shenjiahe River and in the Xishui mainstream from Lanxi Town to Xishui County. Therefore, the high concentrations of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , HCO_3^- , and Sr in the two samples may be caused by increasing carbonate dissolution in the shallow aquifer, whereas the river water is typically derived from the upper reaches in the summer (Wu et al., 2013).

Seasonally, the Sr concentrations in the summer are obviously lower than those in winter, reflecting a dilution effect resulting from increasing discharge. Variations in the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios are slight and do not exhibit notable regularity. As the Xishui River only has a length of 157 km, the climate effect (temperature, rainfall, evaporation, etc.) among different samples can be negligible. In field reconnaissance, we found that the vegetation type and coverage were similar in the catchment. Studies on the major ions showed that the water residence time in the Xishui River was far shorter than the typical seasonal variation and therefore did not evidently affect the chemical composition of river water, but that the hydrological properties might affect the seasonal differences (e.g., the influence of an aquifer) (Wu et al., 2013). Due to the lack of data on the Sr isotope in the aquifer, the influence of the aquifer on the seasonal variations of the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios in the Xishui River is unknown. In Table 4, we compile some

	$Sr(\mu gg^{-1})$	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr	Data sources
Irrigation water	0.039	0.71234	
Basal fertilizer	0.017-0.057	0.70827-0.70967	Hosono et al. (2007)
Supplemental fertilizer	0.044 - 0.084	0.70894-0.71020	
fertilizer		0.7083	Négrel and Deschamps (1996)
Liquid fertilizer*	0.948	0.708078	\mathbf{D} (1.(2008))
Solid fertilizer	202	0.703313	Brenot et al. (2008)
Winter sewage*	6.28-10.3	0.70804	$L_{and} = t_{a} t_{a} (2000)$
Summer sewage*	4.91-8.90	0.70800	Lang et al. (2006)
Industrial wastewater*	10.3	0.70766	
Domestic wastewater*	4.57-5.02	0.70762-0.70820	
Farmland spring*	0.92-6.62	0.70794-0.70848	Li et al. (2010)
Residential area spring*	1.26-4.45	0.70818-0.70835	
Cultivated land groundwater*	2.97-5.63	0.70814-0.71097	
Grassland groundwater*	1.93-2.42	0.70758-0.70962	
Construction land groundwater*	3.71-7.63	0.70994-0.71089	Jiang et al. (2009)
Forested land groundwater *	0.82 - 2.05	0.70778-0.70942	
Plants	4.9–222	0.70856-0.71145	Zheng et al. (2008)

Table 5. Sr isotopic compositions of fertilizer, wastewater, groundwater, and plant in different land use patterns in karst area.

* The unit of Sr concentrations is μ mol L⁻¹.

Sr isotopic data of bedrocks in the Xishui River catchment. The 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios of these silicate rocks are low and relatively homogeneous with a small variation range. Therefore, the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios of the underlying bedrock are certainly important factors controlling the Sr isotope characteristics in the Xishui River.

Moreover, different land use (agricultural, industrial, and residential use) introduces new sources of weatherable Sr into rivers. Jiang et al. (2009) and Li et al. (2010) investigated the groundwater in cultivated land, grassland, construction land, and forest land, and the industrial and domestic waste in southwestern China and resulted in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of 0.70762 to 0.71273. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of 24 fertilizers that are commonly used in Spain range from 0.703350 to 0.715216 with an average of 0.70823 (Vitòria et al., 2004). Brenot et al. (2008) measured the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of liquid and solid fertilizers (0.708078 and 0.703313, respectively) in their studies on a small catchment in the Paris Basin. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of 12 plants range from 0.70856 to 0.71145 in Guizhou Province (Zheng et al., 2008) (Table 5). As the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of these potential sources are close to those of the Xishui River water, it is difficult to separate their respective contributions. Studies on the major ions have shown that samples in the summer have higher Na^+ , K^+ , Cl^- , NO_3^- , and SO_4^{2-} concentrations than those in the winter, reflecting the influence of agricultural activities and acid rain (Wu et al., 2013). In the next section, we will use an inversion model to identify the influence of anthropogenic activities.

5.2 Source of Sr in the Xishui River

The Sr in river water is mainly from atmospheric input (Sr_{atm}) , anthropogenic activities – urban sewage (Sr_{urb}) and agricultural activities (Sr_{agr}) – silicate weathering (Sr_{sil}) , carbonate weathering (Sr_{carb}) , and evaporite dissolution (Sr_{ev}) (Galy and France-Lanord, 1999). To quantify the relative contributions of the six endmembers, an inversion model is used (Négrel et al., 1993; Gaillardet et al., 1999; Millot et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2005; Chetelat et al., 2008). The set of mass balance equations is as follows (Negrél et al., 1993; Gaillardet et al., 1993; Gaillardet et al., 1993; Gaillardet et al., 1993; Gaillardet et al., 1993;

$$\left(\frac{X}{\mathrm{Na}}\right)_{\mathrm{river}} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{X}{\mathrm{Na}}\right)_{i} \alpha_{i,\mathrm{Na}} \tag{1}$$

$$\left(\frac{{}^{87}\text{Sr}}{{}^{86}\text{Sr}}\right)_{\text{river}}\left(\frac{\text{Sr}}{\text{Na}}\right)_{\text{river}} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{{}^{87}\text{Sr}}{{}^{86}\text{Sr}}\right)_{i} \left(\frac{\text{Sr}}{\text{Na}}\right)_{i} \alpha_{i,\text{Na}}, \quad (2)$$

where *X* represents Ca, Mg, K, Cl, NO₃, and Sr; *i* represents the six endmembers; and $\alpha_{i,Na}$ represents the respective mass fractions of Na from different sources. The closure equation is as follows:

$$\sum_{i} \alpha_{i,\text{Na}} = 1. \tag{3}$$

Although the Xishui River is a silicate watershed, the lithologies in the catchment are complex, and carbonate rocks are distributed in a stratified and lenticular manner in metamorphic rocks. Therefore, the Na-normalized ratios analyzed in the Xishui River are not suitable for representing the silicate endmember. For the Na-normalized ratios of the silicate endmember, the data of some "truly small watersheds draining

	Ca/Na	Mg/Na	K/Na	Cl/Na	$1000 \times \text{Sr/Na}$	NO ₃ /Na	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr
Rain	2.0-8.0	0.35-0.85	0.3–0.7	1.7-3.9	10-50	0.1-0.9	0.708-0.709
Urban	0.6–1	0.1-0.3	0.09-0.23	0.45-0.75	1.5-2.7	0	0.7101-0.7102
Agriculture	0	0	1 - 1.8	4.0-6.0	0	3.0-5.0	0.707-0.709
Evaporite	0.15-5	0.01 - 0.5	0	1	0.5-5.0	0	0.708-0.709
Carbonate	30-70	10.0-28.0	0	0	6.0-12.0	0	0.708-0.709
Silicate	0.2–1	0.15-0.5	0.1–0.3	0	2.0-4.0	0	0.72-0.73

Table 6. The estimated end member values in the Xishui River.

Table 7. The contributions of different sources to Sr in the Xishui River solved by an inversion model.

	Atmospheric	Urban	Agriculture	Evaporite	Carbonate	Silicate
Winter	$(10.9 \pm 2.9) -$ (57.1 ± 4.2)	$(0.1 \pm 0.1) -$ (0.5 ± 0.3)	0	$(0.2 \pm 0.01) -$ (18.7 ± 2.0)	$(7.5 \pm 1.9) -$ (25.3 ± 6.5)	$(30.8 \pm 2.5) -$ (53.5 ± 2.9)
Summer	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) -$ (22.0 ± 4.1)	$(0.1 \pm 0.05) - (1.3 \pm 0.4)$	0	$(7.3 \pm 2.2) -$ (17.0 ± 4.1)	$(13.2 \pm 2.4) -$ (22.3 ± 4.5)	$(41.5 \pm 3.8) - (65.6 \pm 5.4)$

silicate rocks" (with a drainage area $< 10 \text{ km}^2$) are referenced (Edmond et al., 1994; White and Blum, 1995; Oliva et al., 2003). The ratios are 0.2 to 1.0 for Ca/Na, 0.15 to 0.5 for Mg/Na, 0.1 to 0.3 for K/Na, 0.002 to 0.004 for Sr/Na, and 0 for Cl/Na and NO₃/Na (Wu et al., 2013; Table 6). For the other five endmembers, the Na-normalized ratios in the Yangtze River from other authors are used (Chetelat et al., 2008 and references therein; Table 6). Starting from an a priori set of end-member compositions, $(X/Na)_i$, we iteratively solved for the proportion of those six endmembers in each sample ($\alpha_{i,Na}$) and the endmember compositions themselves, $(X/Na)_i$. The global optimization process within the optimization software package identifies the solution that best predicts the measured compositions in the least-squares sense and propagates the errors (Wu et al., 2013). The standard deviation of the a posteriori values from the a priori ones (relative to the a priori errors) is systematically computed and checked for consistency. The results show that the relative standard deviation of the different $\alpha_{i,\text{Na}}$ is $<\pm5\%$ for the silicate source and $< \pm 20$ % for the evaporite and carbonate sources, whereas for the atmospheric source, it can reach 30%. Due to the low contribution from urban sewage $(\alpha_{\text{urban,Na}} < 1\%)$, the uncertainties can reach up to 100%. The calculated contributions of the different sources of Sr in the river are listed in Table 7.

As shown in Table 7, the Sr in the Xishui River results mainly from silicate weathering, atmospheric input, and carbonate weathering, followed by evaporite dissolution. The influence of anthropogenic activities is negligible. Although the distributed area of carbonate rocks is only < 5% of the drainage area, it has a disproportionately important contribution to the Sr in the Xishui River (average 19%), which is consistent with the conclusion of the studies of the major ions (Wu et al., 2013). Previous studies have indicated that trace

calcite in small watersheds draining silicate rocks could contribute a large proportion of the major ions in the river (Blum et al., 1998; Jacobson et al., 2002b; Oliva et al., 2004). As the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios of silicate rocks in the Xishui River catchment are very close to those of Paleozoic carbonate rocks in the Yangtze Platform (Table 4), even if the contribution to Sr from carbonate weathering far exceeds its distributed area, it still has no obvious decreasing influence on the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios of the river water.

5.3 Sr isotope characteristics and controlling factors in the Guijiang River

The Guijiang River flows across the karst region intercalated by detrital rocks, sand rocks, mud rocks, and shales in southern China; granites are only exposed in the source area Miaoershan Mountain and in the upper reaches of the tributary Siqin River. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios range from 0.719558 to 0.724605, and the Sr concentrations range from 0.124 to $1.098 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$ in the Guijiang River. There is a strong positive correlation between the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios and 1/Sr $(r^2=0.81)$, indicating two-component mixing between silicate and carbonate weathering. Studies from other researchers have shown that granites in the Guijiang River catchment are characterized by extremely high ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios with an average of approximately 0.8 (Table 4). Moreover, the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of the silicate fraction of the riverbed sediments and soils in the Guijiang River catchment range from 0.735172 to 0.775952 with an average of 0.745891, indicating that these silicate components have high Sr isotope values. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of the soils in the karst cave and in the profiles of the neighboring Guizhou Province range from 0.727317 to 0.727417 and 0.71049 to 0.72266, respectively (Liu et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2011). As the groundwater in different land use areas, industrial and

1.2

1.0

domestic waste, fertilizers, and plants has low ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios (almost entirely < 0.71. Table 5), it is not the source of the high Sr isotopic compositions of the Guijiang River water. Therefore, those silicate components in the karst area should be the most important endmembers controlling the Sr isotopic characteristics of the river water. As we lack Sr isotope data of the carbonate rocks in the Guijiang River catchment, the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of the Late Paleozoic marine carbonate rocks in the Yangtze Platform and karst area of the neighboring province are used as other endmembers (0.705890 to 0.708907, Huang, 1997; Zeng et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2011, Table 4). These carbonate rocks are very close in age to those in the Guijiang River catchment, and their ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios are therefore used in many studies in the karst area in southwestern China (e.g., Han et al., 2010; Han and Liu, 2004; Jiang et al., 2009). Moreover, these carbonate rocks in the stable Yangtze Craton did not undergo intense tectonism, and thus have no influence on the radiogenic Sr from the local exchange with silicate rocks.

In the following, we discuss only the spatial variations of the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios due to the strong positive correlation between the 87Sr/86Sr ratio and 1/Sr in the Guijiang River. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios in the mainstream range from 0.710558 to 0.716453 and exhibit an obvious variation trend (Fig. 7). The high values of the headwater samples S1 and S3 (0.715849 and 0.715973, respectively) can be attributed to granites in the Miaoershan Mountain with extremely high ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios (Table 4). With an abundant exposure of carbonate rocks, import of fertilizers, urban runoff, and municipal water with low Sr isotope values (Tables 4 and 5), the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios obviously decrease downwards from the source area. The sample S5 has the lowest ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio in the Guijiang River because the Sr isotopic compositions of the Carboniferous carbonate rocks are the lowest in the Late Paleozoic (Huang, 1997). The mainstream ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios rise remarkably from samples S5 to S10, gradually decrease from S12 to S15, and then fluctuate from S17 to S22. The variation trend is inconsistent with the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of the tributaries and therefore does not result from the confluence of these tributaries. Previous studies on karst water showed that the water draining sand rocks had the highest ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios, followed by dolomites and limestones (Wang and Wang, 2005). Therefore, the variation in the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios in these reaches may be attributed to the subtle differences of the underlying bedrock and/or to anthropogenic influence. After flowing through Pingle County, the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios progressively increase and reach the highest value near the river mouth. The Guijiang River catchment downwards from Pingle flows across Cambrian strata consisting of carbonate rocks intercalated by sand rocks, mud rocks, and shales, which have higher ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios than those of the Devonian and Carboniferous rocks (Burke et al., 1982; Huang, 1997). Considering the low ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of carbonate rocks, vegetation, fertilizers, and industrial and domestic wastewater, the high Sr isotopic values of the



Fig. 7. Spatial variations of the Sr concentrations (**a**) and the ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (**b**) in the Guijiang River. The filled and open circles represent the samples in the mainstreams and tributaries, respectively.

Guijiang River water should be caused by silicate weathering, which is consistent with the high ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of the silicate fraction of the riverbed sediment and soil in the catchment. The tributaries Longjiang River and Siliang River have the highest ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios in the entire Guijiang River. As the two tributaries are small enough, the silicate components exposed in a scattered manner can significantly influence the ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of the river water.

Generally, the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios of the Guijiang River are much higher than those of the upper and middle Pearl River (the Nanpan River of 0.70740 to 0.70856, Xu and Liu, 2007; the Xijiang River of 0.70837 to 0.71049, Wang et al., 2009 and 0.708487 to 0.710336, Wei et al., 2013). These ratios are also higher than those of the tributaries Wujiang River (0.707722 to 0.711037) and Yuanjiang River in the karst region (0.708711 to 0.714479) of the Yangtze River (Han and Liu, 2004). This result suggests that although the Guijiang River is a karst river, a few silicate components, such as granites, sand rocks, mud rocks, and shales, with high Sr isotopic compositions contribute significantly to the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios of the river water.

5.4 Implication for studies of the Sr isotope evolution of seawater

The significance of the rivers for variations in the seawater ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios mainly depends on both the Sr fluxes and their ${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios. This significance can be assessed by calculating an "excess ${}^{87}\text{Sr}$ flux" (${}^{87}\text{Sr}_{ex}$) (Bickle et al., 2003):

$${}^{87}\mathrm{Sr}_{\mathrm{ex}} = \left({}^{87}\mathrm{Sr}/{}^{86}\mathrm{Sr} - 0.70916\right) \times \mathrm{Sr}_{\mathrm{flux}},\tag{4}$$

where Sr_{flux} indicates Sr fluxes transported by rivers and 0.70916 represents the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratio in modern sea-water (Hodell et al., 1990). The 87 Sr_{ex} of the Xishui River at Lanxi is -0.29×10^3 mol yr⁻¹ and -1.6×10^3 mol yr⁻¹ in the winter and summer, respectively. The negative values indicate that the Xishui River will decrease the Sr isotopic compositions of seawater as a silicate watershed. Certainly, only the Xishui River has no visible influence on the Sr isotope evolution of seawater as a small watershed. However, many rivers draining young basalts also have low ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios and cannot contribute to noticeable increases in the Sr isotopic composition of seawater. For example, Yale and Carpenter (1996) observed a correlation between the formation of large basalt provinces and decreases in the Sr isotope ratio of the ocean. Taylor and Lasaga (1999) studied the contribution of chemical weathering of the young Columbia basalts to the Sr isotope evolution of seawater. These authors concluded that sharp decreases in the marine ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios reflected periods of increased global weathering rates, and young and old lithological variations could be among the major controlling factors of the marine Sr isotope record. Allègre et al. (2010) proposed that intensive weathering on volcanic islands, island arcs, and oceanic islands was the missing source of the mantle-derived ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio (0.703) in the seawater Sr isotope balance and represented approximately 60% of the actual mantle-like input of Sr to the oceans. Therefore, considering that many rivers draining basalt province globally, this type of silicate watershed with low ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios may significantly influence the Sr isotope evolution of seawater. Additionally, many recent studies have shown that the global flux of CO_2 consumed by the chemical weathering of basalts represented 30 to 35 % of the consumption flux of the continental silicate (e.g., Gaillardet et al., 1999; Dessert et al., 2003; Dupré et al., 2003). In this case, river catchments with high silicate weathering rates do not transport highly radiogenic Sr into the oceans. Therefore, the use of the Sr isotope ratio variations of seawater to deduce the continental silicate weathering intensity may be questionable

6 Conclusions

As a small silicate watershed, the Xishui River has relatively high Sr concentrations and low ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios. An important reason for these characteristics is that the gneisses and granites that are widely distributed in the catchment have rather low Sr isotope ratios. The ${}^{87}Sr_{ex}$ of the Xishui River at Lanxi is -0.29×10^3 mol yr⁻¹ and -1.6×10^3 mol yr⁻¹ in the winter and summer, respectively, indicating that the Xishui River decreases the Sr isotope values of seawater. Considering the low ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of young basalts, these rocks may also reduce the seawater Sr isotope ratios. However, silicate weathering rates in these river catchments are very high and thus significantly affect the atmospheric CO₂ consumption and the global climate change. In this sense, there is no direct relationship between the silicate weathering intensity and the sea water Sr isotope evolution. In contrast, the Guijiang River has low Sr concentrations and high ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios as a small carbonate watershed, which is mainly attributed to the weathering of the exposed silicate components in the catchment.

Acknowledgements. This study was supported by the China Geological Survey Projects (grant no. 12120113005400), the 863 Projects (grant no. 2009AA06Z112), and the Natural Science Foundation of China (project no. 40830107, 40873001 and 41003001). We thank Zhou Bin, Luo Chao, Zhang Qiang, Zhang Chunlai, as well as others for their help in the field and Pu Wei in the laboratory.

Edited by: A. D. Reeves

References

- Allègre, C. J., Louvat, P., Gaillardet, J., Meynadier, L., Rad, S., and Capmas, F.: The fundamental role of island arc weathering in the oceanic Sr isotope budget, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 292, 51–56, 2010.
- Bickle, M. J., Harris, N. B. W., Bunbury, J., Chapman, H. J., Fairchild, I. J., and Ahmad, T.: Controls on the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of carbonates in the Garwal Himalaya, headwaters of the Ganges, J. Geol., 109, 737–753, 2001.
- Bickle, M. J., Bunbury, J., Chapman, H. J., Harris, N. B. W., Fairchild, I. J., and Ahmad, T.: Fluxes of Sr into the headwaters of the Ganges, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 67, 2567–2584, 2003.
- Bickle, M. J., Chapman, H. J., Bunbury, J., Harris, N. B. W., Fairchild, I. J., Ahmad, T., and Pomiès, C.: Relative contributions of silicate and carbonate rocks to riverine Sr fluxes in the headwaters of the Ganges, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 69, 2221–2240, 2005.
- Blum, J. D.: The effect of late Cenozoic glaciation and tectonic uplift on silicate weathering rates and the marine ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr record, in: Tectonic Uplift and Climate Change, edited by: Ruddiman, W. R., Plenum, New York, 260–288, 1997.

- Blum, J. D., Gazis, C. A., Jacobson, A. D., and Chamberlain, C. P.: Carbonate versus silicate weathering in the Raikhot watershed within the High Himalayan Crystalline Series, Geology, 26, 411– 414, 1998.
- Brass, G. W.: The variation of the marine ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio during Phanerozoic time: Interpretation using a flux model, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 40, 721–730, 1976.
- Brenot, A., Baran, N., Petelet-Giraud, E., and Négrel, P.: Interaction between different water bodies in a small catchment in the Paris basin (Brévilles, France), Tracing of multiple Sr sources through Sr isotopes coupled with Mg/Sr and Ca/Sr ratios, Appl. Geochem., 23, 58–75, 2008.
- Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Hubei Province: Regional Geology of Hubei Province, Beijing, Geological Publishing House, Beijing, 1990.
- Burke, W. H., Denison, R. E., Hetherington, E. A., Koepnick, R. B., Nelson, H. F., and Otto, J. B.: Variation of seawater ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr throughout Phanerozoic time, Geology, 10, 516–519, 1982.
- Capo, R. C. and DePaolo, D. J.: Seawater strontium isotopic variations from 2.5 million years ago to the present, Science, 249, 51–55, 1990.
- Chen, B., Jahn, B. M., and Wei, C. J.: Petrogenesis of Mesozoic granitoids in the Dabie UHP complex, Central China: trace element and Nd–Sr isotope evidence, Lithos, 60, 67–88, 2002.
- Chesley, J. T., Quade, J., and Ruiz, J.: The Os and Sr isotopic record of Himalayan paleorivers: Himalayan tectonics and influence on ocean chemistry, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 179, 115–124, 2000.
- Chetelat, B., Liu, C. Q., Zhao, Z. Q., Wang, Q. L., Li, S. L., and Wang, B. L.: Geochemistry of the dissolved load of the Changjiang Basin rivers: Anthropogenic impacts and chemical weathering, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 72, 4254–4277, 2008.
- China Geological Survey: Geological Map of the People's Republic of China 1: 2 500 000, SinoMaps Press, Beijing, 2004.
- Dalai, T. K., Krishnaswami, S., and Kumar, A.: Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr in the Yamuna River System in the Himalaya: Sources, fluxes, and controls on Sr isotope composition, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 67, 2931–2948, 2003.
- DePaolo, D. J.: Detailed record of the Neogene Sr isotopic evolution of seawater from DSDP Site 590B, Geology, 14, 103–106, 1986.
- DePaolo, D. J. and Ingram, B. L.: High-Resolution Stratigraphy with Strontium Isotopes, Science, 227, 938–941, 1985.
- Derry, L. A. and France-Lanord, C.: Neogene Himalayan weathering history and river ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr: impact on the marine Sr record, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 142, 59–74, 1996.
- Dessert, C., Dupré, B., Gaillardet, J., François, L. M., and Allègre, C. J.: Basalt weathering laws and the impact of basalt weathering on the global carbon cycle, Chem. Geol., 202, 257–273, 2003.
- Dupré, B., Dessert, C., Oliva, P., Goddéris, Y., Viers, J., François, L., Millot, R., and Gaillardet, J.: Rivers, chemical weathering and Earth's climate, C. R. Geosci., 335, 1141–1160, 2003.
- Edmond, J. M.: Himalayan tectonics, weathering processes, and the strontium isotope record in marine limestones, Science, 258, 1594–1597, 1992.
- Edmond, J. M., Palmer, M. R., Measures, C. I., Grant, B., and Stallard, R. F.: Fluvial geochemistry and denudation rate of Guyana Shield, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 59, 3301–3325, 1994.
- Elderfield, H. and Gieskes, J. M.: Sr isotopes in interstitial waters of marine sediments from Deep Sea Drilling Project cores, Nature, 300, 493–497, 1982.

- English, N. B., Quade, J., DeCelles, P. G., and Garzione, C. N.: Geologic control of Sr and major element chemistry in Himalayan rivers, Nepal, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 64, 2549– 2566, 2000.
- Gaillardet, J., Dupré, B., Louvat, P., and Allègre, C. J.: Global silicate weathering and CO₂ consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of large rivers, Chem. Geol., 159, 3–30, 1999.
- Galy, A. and France-Lanord, C.: Weathering processes in the Ganges–Brahmaputra basin and the riverine alkalinity budget, Chem. Geol., 159, 31–60, 1999.
- Galy, A., France-Lanord, C., and Derry, L. A.: The strontium isotopic budget of Himalayan rivers in Nepal and Bangladesh, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 63, 1905–1925, 1999.
- Ge, N. J., Li, H. Y., Hou, Z. H., Bo, L., and Qin, L. P.: Nd-Sr isotope geochemistry of the Baimajian granite in the Dabie Orogen, Geol. Rev., 47, 184–187, 2001a.
- Ge, N. J., Li, H. Y., Qin, L. P., Hou, Z. H., and Bo, L.: Sr, Nd and Pb isotope geochemistry of granulites and TTG gneisses from the North Dabie Mountains, Acta Geol. Sin., 75, 379–384, 2001b.
- Gu, S. Y., Hua, R. M., and Qi, H. W.: Study on zircon LA-ICP-MS U-Pb dating and Sr-Nd isotope of the Guposhan granite in Guangxi, Acta Geol. Sin., 80, 543–553, 2006.
- Han, G. L. and Liu, C. Q.: Water geochemistry controlled by carbonate dissolution: a study of the river waters draining karst– dominated terrain, Guizhou Province, China, Chem. Geol., 204, 1–21, 2004.
- Han, G. L., Tang, Y., and Xu, Z. F.: Fluvial geochemistry of rivers draining karst terrain in Southwest China, J. Asian Earth Sci., 38, 65–75, 2010.
- Harris, N. B. W.: Significance of weathering Himalayan metasedimentary rocks and leucogranites for the Sr-isotope evolution of seawater, Geology, 23, 795–798, 1995.
- Harris, N. B. W., Bickle, M., Chapman, H., Fairchild, I., and Bunbury, J.: The significance of Himalayan rivers for silicate weathering rates: Evidence from the Bhote Kosi tributary, Chem. Geol., 144, 205–220, 1998.
- Hess, J., Bender, M. L., and Schilling, J. G.: Evolution of the ratio of strontium-87 to strontium-86 in seawater from Cretaceous to present, Science, 231, 979–984, 1986.
- Hodell, D. A., Mead, G. A., and Mueller, P. A.: Variation in the strontium isotopic composition of seawater (8 Ma to present) implications for chemical weathering rates and dissolved fluxes to the oceans, Chem. Geol., 80, 291–307, 1990.
- Hodell, D. A., Mueller, P. A., and Garrido, J. R.: Variations in the strontium isotopic composition of seawater during the Neogene, Geology, 19, 24–27, 1991.
- Hosono, T., Nakano, T., Igeta, A., Tayasu, I., Tanaka, T., and Yachi, S.: Impact of fertilizer on a small watershed of Lake Biwa, Use of sulfur and strontium isotopes in environmental diagnosis, Sci. Total Environ., 384, 342–354, 2007.
- Huang, S. J.: A study on carbon and strontium isotopes of late Paleozoic carbonate rocks in the upper Yangtze platform, Acta Geol. Sin., 71, 45–53, 1997.
- Jacobsen, A. D. and Blum, J. D.: Ca/Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr geochemistry of disseminated calcite in Himalayan silicate rocks from Nanga Parbat: Influence on river-water chemistry, Geology, 28, 463–466, 2000.

- Jacobson, A. D., Blum, J. D., Chamberlain, C. P., Poage, M. A., and Sloan, V. F.: Ca/Sr and Sr isotope systematics of a Himalayan glacial chronosequence: Carbonate versus silicate weathering rates as a function of landscape surface age, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 66, 13–27, 2002a.
- Jacobsen, A. D., Blum, J. D., and Walter, L. M.: Reconciling the elemental and Sr isotope composition of Himalayan weathering fluxes: Insights from the carbonate geochemistry of stream waters, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 66, 3417–3429, 2002b.
- Jahn, B. M., Wu, F. Y., Lo, C. H., and Tsai, C. H.: Crust-mantle interaction induced by deep subduction of the continental crust: geochemical and Sr-Nd isotopic evidence from post-collisional mafic-ultramafic intrusions of the northern Dabie complex, central China, Chem. Geol., 157, 119–146, 1999.
- Jiang, Y. J., Wu, Y. X., and Yuan, D. X.: Human impacts on karst groundwater contamination deduced by coupled nitrogen with strontium isotopes in the Nandong underground river system in Yunan, China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 7676–7683, 2009.
- Karim, A. and Veizer, J.: Weathering processes in the Indus River Basin: implications from riverine carbon, sulfur, oxygen, and strontium isotopes, Chem. Geol., 170, 153–177, 2000.
- Korte, C., Jasper, T., Kozur, H. W., and Veizer, J.: ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr record of Permian seawater, Palaegeogr. Palaeocl., 240, 89–107, 2006.
- Krishnaswami, S., Trivedi, J. R., Sarin, M. M., Ramesh, R., and Sharma, K. K.: Strontium isotopes and Rubidium in the Ganga-Brahmaputra river system: Weathering in the Himalaya, fluxes to the Bay of Bengal and contributions to the evolution of oceanic ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 109, 243–253, 1992.
- Lang, Y. C., Liu, C. Q., Zhao, Z. Q., Li, S. L., and Han, G. L.: Geochemistry of surface and ground water in Guiyang, China: Water/rock interaction and pollution in a karst hydrological system, Appl. Geochem., 21, 887–903, 2006.
- Li, S. G., Nie, Y. H., Hart, S. R., and Zhang, Z. Q.: Interaction between subducted continental crust and the mantle – II. Sr and Nd isotopic geochemistry of the syncollisional mafic-ultramafic intrusions in Dabie Mountains, Sci. China Ser. D, 41, 632–638, 1998.
- Li, X. D., Liu, C. Q., Harue, M., Li, S. L., and Liu, X. L.: The use of environmental isotopic (C, Sr, S) and hydrochemical tracers to characterize anthropogenic effects on karst groundwater quality: A case study of the Shuicheng Basin, SW China, Appl. Geochem., 25, 1924–1936, 2010.
- Liu, W. J., Liu, C. Q., Zhao, Z. Q., Li, L. B., Tu, C. L., and Liu, T. Z.: The weathering and soil formation process in karstic area, southwest China: A study on strontium isotope geochemistry of yellow and limestone soil profiles, J. Earth Environ., 2, 331–336, 2011.
- Liu, Y. C., Xu, S. T., Li, S. G., Jiang, L. L., Wu, W. P., Chen, G. B., and Su, W.: Geochemical characteristics, Sr-Nd isotopic compositions and tectonic implications of eclogite in the North Dabie, Sci. China Ser. D, 30, 99–107, 2000.
- Melezhik, V. A., Pokrovsky, B. G., Fallick, A. E., Kuznetsov, A. B., and Bujakaite, M. I.: Constraints on ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of late Ediacaran seawater: insight from Siberian high-Sr limestones, J. Geol. Soc. Lond., 166, 183–191, 2009.
- Millot, R., Gaillardet, J., Dupré, B., and Allègre, C. J.: Northern latitude chemical weathering rates: Clues from the Mackenzie River Basin, Canada, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 67, 1305– 1329, 2003.

- Négrel, P. and Deschamps, P.: Natural and anthropogenic budgets of a small watershed in the Massif Central (France): Chemical and strontium isotopic characterization of water and sediments, Aquat. Geochem., 2, 1–27, 1996.
- Négrel, P., Allègre, C. J., Dupré, B., and Lewin, E.: Erosion sources determined by inversion of major and trace element ratios and strontium isotopic ratios in river water; the Congo Basin case, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 120, 59–76, 1993.
- Oliva, P., Viers, J., and Dupré, B.: Chemical weathering in granitic environments, Chem. Geol., 202, 225–256, 2003.
- Oliva, P., Dupré, B., Martin, F., and Viers, J.: The role of trace minerals in chemical weathering in a high-elevation granitic watershed (Estibere, France): Chemical and mineralogical evidence, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 68, 2223–2244, 2004.
- Palmer, M. R. and Edmond, J. M.: The strontium isotope budget of the modern ocean, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 92, 11–26, 1989.
- Palmer, M. R. and Edmond, J. M.: Controls over the strontium isotope composition of river water, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 56, 2099–2111, 1992.
- Palmer, M. R. and Elderfield, H.: Sr isotope composition of seawater over the past 75 Myr, Nature, 314, 526–528, 1985.
- Quade, J., Roe, L., DeCelles, P. G., and Ojha, T. P.: The late Neogene ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr record of lowland Himalayan rivers, Science, 276, 1828–1831, 1997.
- Raymo, M. E., Ruddiman, W. F., and Froelich, P. N.: Influence of Late Cenozoic mountain building on ocean geochemical cycles, Geology, 16, 649–653, 1988.
- Richter, F. M. and DePaolo, D. J.: Numerical models for diagenesis and the Neogene Sr isotopic evolution of seawater from DSDP Site 590B, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 83, 27–38, 1987.
- Richter, F. M. and DePaolo, D. J.: Diagenesis and Sr isotopic evolution of seawater using data from DSDP 590B and 575, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 90, 382–394, 1988.
- Richter, F. M., Rowley, D. B., and DePaolo, D. J.: Sr isotope evolution of seawater: the role of tectonics, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 109, 11–23, 1992.
- Singh, S. K., Trivedi, J. R., Pande, K., Ramesh, R., and Krishnaswami, S.: Chemical and Sr, O, C, isotopic compositions of carbonates from the Lesser Himalaya: Implications to the Sr isotope composition of the source waters of the Ganges, Ghaghara and the Indus Rivers, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 62, 743–755, 1998.
- Singh, S. K., Kumar, A., and France-Lanord, C.: Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr in waters and sediments of the Brahmaputra river system: Silicate weathering, CO₂ consumption and Sr flux, Chem. Geol., 234, 308–320, 2006.
- Taylor, A. S. and Lasaga, A. C.: The role of basalt weathering in the Sr isotope budget of the oceans, Chem. Geol., 161, 199–214, 1999.
- Veizer, J.: Strontium isotopes in seawater through time, Ann. Rev. Planet. Sci., 17, 141–167, 1989.
- Veizer, J. and Compston, W.: ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr composition of seawater during the Phanerozoic, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 38, 1461– 1484, 1974.
- Veizer, J., Ala, D., Azmy, K., Bruckschen, P., Buhl, D., Bruhn, F., Carden, G. A. F., Diener, A., Ebneth, S., Godderis, Y., Jasper, T., Korte, C., Pawellek, F., Podlaha, O. G., and Strauss, H.: ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O evolution of Phanerozoic seawater, Chem. Geol., 161, 59–88, 1999.

W. H. Wu et al.: Sr isotopic characteristics in two small watersheds draining silicate and carbonate rocks

- Vitòria, L., Otero, N., Soler, A., and Canals, A.: Fertilizer characterization: isotopic data (N, S, O, C, and Sr), Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 3254–3262, 2004.
- Wang, B., Lee, X. Q., Yuan, H. L., Zhou, H., and Zhao, Y. L.: Geochemical characteristics of main ion and Sr isotope in the main channel of Xijiang River, South China, Geochimica, 38, 345– 353, 2009.
- Wang, T. and Wang, Z. Y.: ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr characteristics of karst water in Guilin area, Acta Geosci. Sin., 26 (supplement), 299–302, 2005.
- Wang, Y. J., Fan, W. M., Peng, T. P., Zhang, H. F., and Guo, F.: Nature of the Mesozoic lithospheric mantle and tectonic decoupling beneath the Dabie Orogen, Central China: Evidence from ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology, elemental and Sr-Nd-Pb isotopic compositions of early Cretaceous mafic igneous rocks, Chem. Geol., 220, 165–189, 2005.
- Wawrzenitz, N., Romer, R. L., Oberhänsli, R., and Dong, S. W.: Dating of subduction and differential exhumation of UHP rocks from the Central Dabie Complex (E-China): Constraints from microfabrics, Rb-Sr and U-Pb isotope systems, Lithos, 89, 174– 201, 2006.
- Wei, G. J., Ma, J. L., Liu, Y., Xie, L. H., Deng, W. F., Ren, Z. Y., Zeng, T., and Yang, Y. H.: Seasonal changes in the radiogenic and stable strontium isotopic composition of Xijiang River water: Implications for chemical weathering, Chem. Geol., 343, 67–75, 2013.
- White, A. F. and Blum, A. E.: Effects of climate on chemical weathering in watersheds, Geochim. Gosmochim. Acta, 59, 1729–1747, 1995.
- Wu, L. L., Huh, Y., Qin, J. H., Du, G., and Van Der Lee, S.: Chemical weathering in the Upper Huang He (Yellow River) draining the eastern Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 69, 5279–5294, 2005.
- Wu, W. H., Zheng, H. B., Yang, J. D., Luo, C., and Zhou, B.: Chemical weathering, atmospheric CO₂ consumption, and the controlling factors of small silicate watershed in subtropical zone: implications for the global carbon cycle research, Chem. Geol., 356, 141–150, 2013.
- Xu, W. C. and Zhang, Y. H.: Study on strontium, oxygen, neodymium and lead isotopes of Mt. Miaoershan granite batholith in south China, Guangxi Geol., 6, 15–22, 1993.

- Xu, W. C., Zhang, Y. H., and Liu, Y. B.: Progression in geochronological study and scheme of chronoclassification on Miaoershan granite batholith, Acta Petrol. Sin., 10, 330–337, 1994.
- Xu, Z. F. and Liu, C. Q.: Chemical weathering in the upper reaches of Xijiang River draining the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau, Southwest China, Chem. Geol., 239, 83–95, 2007.
- Yale, L. B. and Carpenter, S. J.: Modeling the effects of large igneous provinces on the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio of seawater, GSA Abst. Prog. A, 28, 428, 1996.
- Zeng, Y. J., Huang, S. J., Yi, T. F., Hao, X. F., Xiong, C. L., and Hu, Z. W.: Sr isotopic characteristics of carbonate rocks in Xinduqiao formation of Xikang group, western Sichuan, China, J. Earth Sci. Environ., 29, 126–129, 2007.
- Zhang, F. F., Wang, Y. J., Zhang, A. M., Fan, W. M., Zhang, Y. Z., and Zi, J. W.: Geochronological and geochemical constraints on the petrogenesis of middle Paleozoic (Kwangsian) massive granites in the eastern south China block, Lithos, 150, 188–208, 2012.
- Zhang, H. B., He, S. Y., Yu, S., Wang, Y. X., and Wang, L. L.: Hydrochemical characteristics and influencing factors of the river water in the Guijiang, Carsologica Sinica, 31, 395–401, 2012.
- Zhang, H. F., Gao, S., Zhong, Z. Q., Zhang, B. R., Zhang, L., and Hu, S. H.: Geochemical and Sr-Nd-Pb isotopic compositions of Cretaceous granitoids: constraints on tectonic framework and crustal structure of the Dabieshan ultrahigh-pressure metamorphic belt, China, Chem. Geol., 186, 281–299, 2002.
- Zheng, H. Y., Liu, C. Q., Wang, Z. L., Yang, C., Chen, S., and Zhu, S. F.: Strontium isotopes as a tracer of plant nutrition element source in yellow soil region of Guizhou Province, J. Beijing Forest. Univ., 30, 72–76, 2008.
- Zheng, X. S., Jin, C. W., Zhai, M. G., and Shi, Y. H.: Approach to the source of the gray gneisses in North Dabie terrain: Sm-Nd isochron age and isotope composition, Acta Petrol. Sin., 16, 194–198, 2000.
- Zhu, J. C., Li, X. D., Shen, W. Z., Wang, Y. X., and Yang, J. D.: Sr, Nd and O isotope studies on the genesis of the Huashan granite complex, Acta Geol. Sin., 3, 225–235, 1989.
- Zhu, X. L., Wang, S. J., and Luo, W. J.: Characteristics of strontium isotopes and their implications in the Qixing Cave of Guizhou, China, Chinese Sci. Bull., 56, 670–675, 2011.