



# Forchheimer flow to a well-considering time-dependent critical radius

Q. Wang<sup>1</sup>, H. Zhan<sup>1,2</sup>, and Z. Tang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Environmental Studies, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, Hubei, 430074, PR China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geology and Geophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3115, USA

Correspondence to: H. Zhan (zhan@geos.tamu.edu)

Received: 11 October 2013 – Published in Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.: 19 November 2013

Revised: 21 April 2014 – Accepted: 12 May 2014 – Published: 27 June 2014

**Abstract.** Previous studies on the non-Darcian flow into a pumping well assumed that critical radius ( $R_{CD}$ ) was a constant or infinity, where  $R_{CD}$  represents the location of the interface between the non-Darcian flow region and Darcian flow region. In this study, a two-region model considering time-dependent  $R_{CD}$  was established, where the non-Darcian flow was described by the Forchheimer equation. A new iteration method was proposed to estimate  $R_{CD}$  based on the finite-difference method. The results showed that  $R_{CD}$  increased with time until reaching the quasi steady-state flow, and the asymptotic value of  $R_{CD}$  only depended on the critical specific discharge beyond which flow became non-Darcian. A larger inertial force would reduce the change rate of  $R_{CD}$  with time, and resulted in a smaller  $R_{CD}$  at a specific time during the transient flow. The difference between the new solution and previous solutions were obvious in the early pumping stage. The new solution agreed very well with the solution of the previous two-region model with a constant  $R_{CD}$  under quasi steady flow. It agreed with the solution of the fully Darcian flow model in the Darcian flow region.

## 1 Introduction

Darcy's law indicates a linear relationship between the fluid velocity and the hydraulic gradient (Bear, 1972), which is a basic assumption used to handle a great deal of problems related to flow in porous and fractured media. However, many evidences from the laboratory and field experiments show that this linear law may be invalid in some situations, especially when the groundwater flow velocity is sufficiently high or sufficiently low, where non-Darcian flow prevails

(Basak, 1977; Bordier and Zimmer, 2000; Engelund, 1953; Forchheimer, 1901; Izbash, 1931; Liu et al., 2012; Soni et al., 1978).

Darcy's law considers kinematic forces but excludes inertial forces of flow. However, the inertia forces become significant with respect to the kinematic forces when the velocity is great, leading to non-Darcian flow (Engelund, 1953; Forchheimer, 1901; Irmay, 1959; Izbash, 1931). Forchheimer (1901) proposed a heuristic Forchheimer law describing the non-Darcian flow, which was an extension of Darcy's law by adding a second-order velocity term, representing the inertial effect. To verify the applicability of the Forchheimer law, many approaches were introduced, such as the dimensional analysis (Ward, 1964), the capillary model (Dullien and Azzam, 1973), the hybrid mixture theory (Hassanizadeh and Gray, 1987), and the volume averaging method (Whitaker, 1996). Recently, Giorgi (1997) and Chen et al. (2001) analytically derived the Forchheimer law from the Navier–Stokes equation. Another widely used model describing the non-Darcian flow was the Izbash equation (Izbash, 1931). This equation was a fully empirical power-law function obtained through analyzing experimental data. The Izbash equation was preferred for modeling purposes, since the power index in the Izbash equation can be parameterized depending on flow conditions (Basak, 1977). George and Hansen (1992) demonstrated that the Forchheimer and Izbash equations were identical for some cases.

Due to the high velocities, non-Darcian flow is likely to occur near pumping/injecting wells (Yeh and Chang, 2013; Wen et al., 2008b). Several studies showed that the non-Darcian effect had significant influence on hydraulic parameter estimations. For instance, Theis solution cannot be used

to explain the pumping test data in the Chaj-Doab area near Gujrat water distributary in Pakistan (Ahmad, 1998), while Birpinar and Sen (2004) and Wen et al. (2011) found that the Forchheimer law worked very well. Quinn et al. (2013) demonstrated that non-Darcian flow effect increased as the initial applied head differential increased in a series of slug tests. Specifically, Quinn et al. (2013) showed that the hydraulic conductivity was underestimated by Darcy's law when the initial applied head differentials were greater than 0.2 m. They pointed out that Darcian flow conditions can be maintained in the sandstone when the initial applied head differentials were less than 0.2 m (Quinn et al., 2013). Mathias and Todman (2010) showed that the Jacob method, based on Darcy's law, cannot fit the step-drawdown tests of van Tonder et al. (2001) when the pumping rate was greater than  $10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ . However, the Forchheimer law could fit the step-drawdown tests data very well (Mathias and Todman, 2010). In this study, we will focus on the non-Darcian flow into a pumping well by the Forchheimer law.

Although many efforts have been devoted to study the non-Darcian flow around the well, the exact solutions have not been obtained due to the non-linearity of the problem (Mathias et al., 2008; Yeh and Chang, 2013). For example, Sen (1990, 2000) employed the Boltzmann transform method to analytically solve the problems related to the non-Darcian flow. This method was showed to be problematic, since both initial and boundary conditions cannot be simultaneously transformed into a form only containing the Boltzmann variable (Camacho and Vasquez, 1992; Wen et al., 2008a). Wen et al. (2008a, b) derived the semi-analytical solutions of the non-Darcian flow model by combining the linearization procedure and the Laplace transform method (LL method), assuming that the flow in the non-Darcian flow region was in quasi steady-state flow. Wen et al. (2008a, b) pointed out that solutions by the Boltzmann transform and the LL method coincided at late time. To test the accuracy of the semi-analytical solutions (Wen et al., 2008a; Sen, 2000), Mathias et al. (2008) and Wen et al. (2009) employed the finite-difference method to study the non-Darcian flow problems, and their results showed that the semi-analytical solution only agreed very well with the numerical solution at late pumping stage.

All above-mentioned investigations assume that the non-Darcian flow occurs over the entire domain, which is called a fully non-Darcian flow (F-ND) model hereinafter. In fact, the regime of the flow to the pumping well can be divided into two regions: non-Darcian flow occurs within a narrow region around well, due to the relatively high velocity of flow there, and Darcian flow prevails over the rest domain. One may think that such two-region flow could be described by the Forchheimer law, which would automatically reduce to the Darcy's law at the location far from the well (because the second-order velocity term in the Forchheimer law will be negligible if velocity approaches zero). However, Forchheimer law (or F-ND model) may not work very

well for moderate velocity under which that Darcian flow prevails. Mackie (1983) demonstrated that the two-region model could fit the experimental data in the laboratory better than the F-ND model. Huyakorn and Dudgeon (1976) employed a two-region model to study flow near a pumping well. Basak (1978) presented analytical solutions of the two-region model for steady-state flow to a fully penetrating well. Sen (1988) and Wen et al. (2008b) derived the analytical solutions of the two-region model for transient flow to a pumping well, and both solutions were valid for the groundwater flow in the quasi steady state.


All researches mentioned above implied that the critical radius is a constant, where the critical radius represents the location separating the non-Darcian and Darcian flows (Sen, 1988; Wen et al., 2008b). For example, the critical radius is infinity for the F-ND model and is zero for the fully Darcian flow model, while it is a finite constant for the two-region model in which the critical radius is determined under the quasi steady-state flow condition (Sen, 1988; Wen et al., 2008b). Actually, the critical radius changes continuously with time for the transient flow, and cannot be determined straightforwardly. For example, the initial critical radius is zero for an initially hydrostatic aquifer, and it gradually increases with time until the system becomes quasi steady state near a constant-rate pumping well. The movement of critical radius may be more complex for the variable-rate pumping tests (Bear, 1972; Mishra et al., 2012), the slug tests (Quinn et al., 2013) or the step-drawdown tests (Louwyck et al., 2010; Mathias and Todman, 2010). Therefore, the two-region model with time-dependent critical radius is more reasonable for transient flow near a pumping well, and it is particularly true when the pumping rate changes greatly.

In this study, we will investigate non-Darcian flow into a fully penetrating pumping well considering a time-dependent critical radius using the finite-difference method. A new iteration procedure will be proposed to estimate the moving critical radius. This new model reduces to the F-ND model when the critical radius is infinite and it becomes the fully Darcian flow model when the critical radius is 0.

## 1.1 Problem statement and mathematic model

### 1.1.1 Location of the critical radius of the two-region model

Previous researches showed that the porous media flow may be divided into four regimes, such as (A) non-Darcy pre-linear laminar flow, (B) Darcy flow, (C) non-Darcy post-linear laminar flow, and (D) non-Darcy post-linear turbulent flow (Basak, 1977; Bear, 1972). For radial flow to a pumping well, the velocity in the aquifer decreases with the distance from the well. Therefore, the radial flow might experience all four-flow regimes. To simplify the problem, we use a two-region model that considers a non-Darcian flow region near the well and a Darcian flow region away from the well. A



**Figure 1.** The schematic diagram of the non-Darcian flow into a fully penetrating pumping well considering the time-dependent critical radius.

unique feature of the two-region model used in this study is that the critical radius is allowed to vary with time, whereas it was assumed to be constant in previous studies (Dudgeon et al., 1972b, a; Huyakorn and Dudgeon, 1976; Mackie, 1983; Sen, 1988; Wen et al., 2008b).

Generally, the start of the non-Darcian flow can be determined by the critical Reynolds number ( $Re_C$ ), where the Reynolds number is defined as

$$Re(r, t) = D_p q(r, t) / \nu, \tag{1}$$

where  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid ( $L^2T^{-1}$ );  $D_p$  is the characteristic grain diameter (L);  $q(r, t)$  is specific discharge ( $LT^{-1}$ ) at distance  $r$  (L) and time  $t$  (T);  $Re$  is Reynolds number which depends on time and space (dimensionless). The critical Reynolds number ( $Re_C$ ) refers to  $Re$  at the start of non-Darcian flow. Up to present, there is still considerable debate on  $Re_C$  for the initiation of non-Darcian flow in porous media. Scheidegger (1974) gave  $Re_C$  to be 0.1 to 75; Zeng and Grigg (2006) suggested the range of  $Re_C$  from 1 to 100.  $Re_C$  will be set to 100 to make sure non-Darcian flow happen in this study. According to Eq. (1), one can see that the specific discharge is in linear relation to  $Re$ . Therefore, the critical specific discharge ( $q_C$ ) can also be used to determine the start of the non-Darcian flow, since one can calculate  $q_C$  for a given  $Re_C$ . When the specific discharge is less than or equal to  $q_C$  (or  $Re \leq Re_C$ ), the flow is considered as Darcian. When the specific discharge is greater than  $q_C$  (or  $Re > Re_C$ ), the flow is taken as non-Darcian. Denoting  $R_C(t)$  as the critical radius at which  $q = q_C$  (or  $Re = Re_C$ ), then it is non-Darcian flow when  $r \leq R_C(t)$  and Darcian flow when  $r > R_C(t)$ , as shown in Fig. 1.

For the quasi steady-state flow around a fully penetrating well in a homogeneous and isotropic formation, one has (Sen, 1988; Wen et al., 2008b)

$$R_C = Q / (2\pi B q_C), \tag{2}$$

where  $B$  is the thickness of the aquifer (L); and  $Q$  is the well discharge ( $L^3T^{-1}$ ). In the case of a constant pumping rate,  $R_C$  is also a constant for a specific  $Re_C$ . This constant  $R_C$  was used in previous two-region models of transient non-Darcian flow (Sen, 1988; Wen et al., 2008b). Actually,  $R_C$  is not a constant for transient flow, and it cannot be determined directly since the velocity distribution changes with time. In this study, a new iteration method will be proposed to determine  $R_C$  as described below.

### 1.1.2 Mathematic model

Figure 1 shows the physical model investigated in this study, where a pumping well fully penetrates a confined aquifer. The origin of the cylindrical coordinate system is at the center of the well. The  $r$  axis is horizontal and outward from the well, and the  $z$  axis is upward vertical. Three assumptions are made in this study. First, the non-Darcian and Darcian flow may coexist and the critical radius is time-dependent, and the non-Darcian flow is governed by the Forchheimer law. Second, the system is hydrostatic before the pumping starts, so  $R_C(t = 0) = 0$ . Third, the aquifer is homogeneous, isotropic, infinitely extensive and with a constant thickness. These assumptions, although quite idealized, are standard in well hydraulic study (Papadopoulos and Cooper, 1967; Sen, 1988; Wen et al., 2008b). Based on these assumptions, the governing equations of the two-region flow model can be described as follows

$$\frac{\partial q_N(r, t)}{\partial r} + \frac{q_N(r, t)}{r} = \frac{S}{B} \frac{\partial s_N(r, t)}{\partial t}, \text{ if } r \leq R_C(t), \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial q_Y(r, t)}{\partial r} + \frac{q_Y(r, t)}{r} = \frac{S}{B} \frac{\partial s_Y(r, t)}{\partial t}, \text{ if } r > R_C(t), \tag{4}$$

where  $s_Y(r, t)$  and  $s_N(r, t)$  are drawdowns (L) at distance  $r$  and time  $t$  in Darcian flow and non-Darcian flow regions, respectively;  $S$  is the aquifer storage coefficient (dimensionless).

Initial condition is

$$s_Y(r, 0) = s_N(r, 0) = 0. \tag{5}$$

The outer boundary condition is

$$s_Y(\infty, t) = 0. \tag{6}$$

Assuming that the pumping rate is large enough to induce non-Darcian flow near the well, the boundary condition at the wellbore, considering the wellbore storage with a finite diameter well, can be written as

$$2\pi r B q_N(r, t) \Big|_{r \rightarrow r_w} - \pi r_w^2 \frac{ds_w(t)}{dt} = -Q, \tag{7}$$

where  $Q$  is positive for the pumping rate;  $r_w$  is the radius of the well (L);  $s_w$  is the drawdown inside the well (L). Notice that well loss is not considered so the drawdown is continuous across the well screen

$$s_w(t) = s_N(r_w, t). \tag{8}$$

**Table 1.** Dimensionless variables used in this study.

$r_D = \frac{r}{B}$	$r_{wD} = \frac{r_w}{B}$
$R_{CD} = \frac{R_C}{B}$	$t_D = \frac{K_\beta t}{SB}$
$\beta_d = \frac{Q\beta}{2\pi B^2}$	$\lambda = \frac{K}{K_\beta}$
$s_{wD} = \frac{2\pi K_\beta B}{Q} s_w$	$s_{yD} = \frac{2\pi K_\beta B}{Q} s_Y(r, t)$
$s_{nD} = \frac{2\pi K_\beta B}{Q} s_N(r, t)$	$q_{nD} = -\frac{2\pi B^2}{Q} q_N(r, t)$
$q_{yD} = -\frac{2\pi B^2}{Q} q_Y(r, t)$	$q_{cD} = -\frac{2\pi B^2}{Q} q_C$

The drawdown and the discharge from the Darcian flow region into the non-Darcian flow region are continuous at the critical radius

$$s_N[R_C(t), t] = s_Y[R_C(t), t], \quad (9)$$

$$q_N[R_C(t), t] = q_Y[R_C(t), t]. \quad (10)$$

In the non-Darcian flow region, we use the Forchheimer law to describe the flow (Forchheimer, 1901)

$$q_N + \beta q_N |q_N| = K_\beta \frac{\partial s_N}{\partial r}, \quad (11)$$

in which  $\beta$  ( $TL^{-1}$ ) and  $K_\beta$  ( $LT^{-1}$ ) are empirical constants depending on the properties of the medium (Sidiropoulou et al., 2007).  $K_\beta$  is called the apparent hydraulic conductivity and it reduces to the hydraulic conductivity when  $\beta = 0$  (Chen et al., 2001; Sidiropoulou et al., 2007).  $\beta$  is called the inertial force coefficient. Many studies demonstrated that the value of  $\beta$  was related to the porous media and the fluid properties (Scheidegger, 1958; Moutsopoulos et al., 2009). For example, Ergun equation (Ergun, 1952) was widely used to estimate  $\beta$

$$\beta = \frac{1.75 D_p}{150\nu(1-\varphi)}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\varphi$  is porosity. When the kinematic viscosity of water ( $\nu$ ) at 20 °C is  $10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $D_p = 0.001 \text{ m}$ ,  $\varphi = 0.3$ , one has  $\beta = 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ day}^{-1}$ .

In the Darcian flow region, one has

$$q_Y(r, t) = K \frac{\partial s_Y(r, t)}{\partial r}, \quad r > R_C. \quad (13)$$

Equations (3)–(13) can be used to describe the groundwater flow in the aquifer with a time-dependent critical radius  $R_C(t)$ . This new model is an extension of the previous model by Sen (1988). When  $R_C(t) \rightarrow \infty$ , this model becomes the F-ND model. When  $R_C(t) = 0$ , it reduces to the fully Darcian flow model.

### 1.1.3 Dimensionless transformation

Defining the dimensionless variables in Table 1, Eqs. (3)–(13) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial q_{nD}}{\partial r_D} + \frac{q_{nD}}{r_D} = -\frac{\partial s_{nD}}{\partial t_D}, \quad r_D \leq R_{CD}, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial q_{yD}}{\partial r_D} + \frac{q_{yD}}{r_D} = -\frac{\partial s_{yD}}{\partial t_D}, \quad r_D > R_{CD}, \quad (15)$$

$$s_{nD}(r_D, 0) = s_{yD}(r_D, 0) = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$s_{yD}(\infty, t_D) = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$s_{nD}[R_{CD}(t_D), t_D] = s_{yD}[R_{CD}(t_D), t_D], \quad (18)$$

$$q_{nD}[R_{CD}(t_D), t_D] = q_{yD}[R_{CD}(t_D), t_D]. \quad (19)$$

Notice that a negative sign has been used for defining  $q_D$  in Table 1. The subscript “D” stands for the dimensionless variables. The boundary condition with the wellbore storage (Eq. 7) in the dimensionless form is

$$(r_D q_{nD}) \Big|_{r_D \rightarrow r_{wD}} + \frac{r_{wD}^2}{2S} \frac{ds_{wD}(t_D)}{dt_D} = 1. \quad (20)$$

The dimensionless Forchheimer law becomes

$$q_{nD} + \beta_D q_{nD} |q_{nD}| = -\frac{\partial s_{nD}}{\partial r_D}, \quad r_D \leq R_{CD}, \quad (21)$$

where  $\beta_D$  is the dimensionless inertial force coefficient. When the pumping rate is  $0.628 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , aquifer thickness is 10 m, and  $\beta = 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ day}^{-1}$ , one has  $\beta_D = 0.02$  according to the definition of  $\beta_D$ , as shown in Table 1.

When  $r_D > R_{CD}$ , groundwater flow follows the Darcy’s law in the dimensionless format as

$$q_{yD}(r, t) = -\lambda \frac{\partial s_{yD}}{\partial r_D}, \quad r_D > R_{CD}, \quad (22)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the ratio of the hydraulic conductivity and apparent (Sidiropoulou et al., 2007).

## 1.2 Numerical solution

Because of the non-linearity of the problem, it is not easy to obtain the analytical solution of drawdown even if  $R_{CD}(t_D)$  is constant. In this study, we will employ the finite-difference method to investigate the problem considering a time-dependent  $R_{CD}(t_D)$ . Due to the axisymmetric nature of the problem, the numerical simulation will be conducted with a non-uniform grid system, where the spatial steps are smaller near the well and become progressively greater away from the well. Similar to previous studies (Mathias et al., 2008; Wen et al., 2009), we discretize the dimensionless space  $r_D$  logarithmically. The dimensionless space domain  $[r_{wD}, r_{eD}]$  is discretized into  $N$  nodes excluding the two boundary nodes  $r_{wD}$  and  $r_{eD}$ , where  $r_{eD}$  is a relatively large dimensionless distance used to approximate the infinite

boundary (Mathias et al., 2008; Wen et al., 2009). For any node of  $r_i, r_{wD} < r_i < r_{eD}, i = 1, 2 \dots N$ , one has

$$r_i = (r_{i-1/2} + r_{i+1/2})/2, i = 1, 2 \dots N, \tag{23}$$

where  $r_{i+1/2}$  is calculated as follows

$$\log_{10}(r_{i+1/2}) = \log_{10}(r_{wD}) + i \left[ \frac{\log_{10}(r_{eD}) - \log_{10}(r_{wD})}{N} \right], \tag{24}$$

$i = 0, 1 \dots N$ .

After spatial discretization, Eqs. (14)–(15) become

$$\frac{ds_{YD,i}}{dt_D} \approx \frac{r_{i-1/2}q_{YD,i-1/2} - r_{i+1/2}q_{YD,i+1/2}}{r_i(r_{i+1/2} - r_{i-1/2})}, \tag{25}$$

$i = 2, 3 \dots N_s - 1, r_D \leq R_{CD}$ ,

$$\frac{ds_{ND,i}}{dt_D} \approx \frac{r_{i-1/2}q_{ND,i-1/2} - r_{i+1/2}q_{ND,i+1/2}}{r_i(r_{i+1/2} - r_{i-1/2})}, \tag{26}$$

$i = N_s, N_s + 1 \dots N - 1, r_D > R_{CD}$ ,

where  $q_{YD,i}$  and  $s_{YD,i}$  are the dimensionless specific discharge  $q_{YD}$  and dimensionless drawdown  $s_{YD}$  at node  $i$  for the Darcian flow, respectively;  $q_{ND,i}$  and  $s_{ND,i}$  are the dimensionless specific discharge  $q_{ND}$  and dimensionless drawdown  $s_{ND}$  at node  $i$  for the non-Darcian flow, respectively. In terms of the Forchheimer equation of Eq. (21), one can obtain

$$q_{ND,i-1/2} \approx \frac{1}{2\beta_D} \left\{ -1 + \left[ 1 + 4\beta_D \left( \frac{s_{ND,i-1} - s_{ND,i}}{r_i - r_{i-1}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \tag{27}$$

$i = 2, 3 \dots N_s - 1$ ,

and

$$q_{ND,i+1/2} \approx \frac{1}{2\beta_D} \left\{ -1 + \left[ 1 + 4\beta_D \left( \frac{s_{ND,i} - s_{ND,i+1}}{r_{i+1} - r_i} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \tag{28}$$

$i = 2, 3 \dots N_s - 1$ ,

where node  $N_s$  means the location of  $R_{CD}(t_D)$ . At the well-aquifer boundary, one has

$$q_{ND,1-1/2} \approx \frac{1}{2\beta_D} \left\{ -1 + \left[ 1 + 4\beta_D \left( \frac{s_{wD} - s_{ND,1}}{r_1 - r_{wD}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \tag{29}$$

where  $s_{wD}$  is the dimensionless drawdown inside the well. Considering Eq. (20),  $s_{wD}$  can be approximated as follows

$$\frac{ds_{wD}}{dt_D} \approx \frac{2S}{r_{wD}^2} (1 - r_{wD}q_{ND,1-1/2}). \tag{30}$$

When  $r_D > R_{CD}$ , the finite-difference scheme of the specific discharge can be obtained from Eq. (22)

$$q_{YD,i-1/2} \approx \lambda \frac{s_{YD,i-1} - s_{YD,i}}{r_i - r_{i-1}}, i = N_s, N_s + 1 \dots N - 1, \tag{31}$$

$$q_{YD,i+1/2} \approx \lambda \frac{s_{YD,i} - s_{YD,i+1}}{r_{i+1} - r_i}, i = N_s, N_s + 1 \dots N - 1. \tag{32}$$

As for the boundary at the infinity, the finite-difference scheme is

$$q_{YD,N+1/2} \approx \lambda \frac{s_{YD,N}}{r_{eD} - r_N}. \tag{33}$$


Now one obtains a set of ordinary differential equations. It is notable that  $R_{CD}$  or  $N_s$  which is related to the index  $i$  in Eqs. (27)–(28) and Eqs. (31)–(32) is time-dependent. In the following section, a new iteration method will be proposed to determine the values of  $R_{CD}$  or  $N_s$ .

### 1.3 Iteration method to determine $R_{CD}$ or $N_s$

Before introducing the new iteration method, the relationship between  $R_{CD}$  and the velocity distribution will be investigated first, based on the two-region model with a constant  $R_{CD}$ . The values of the constant  $R_{CD}$  are set to 0, 0.02, 0.04, 0.08 and 0.50. The other parameters are  $r_{wD} = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\beta_D = 20, \lambda = 1$ . The mathematic model with a constant  $R_{CD}$  will be solved by the finite-difference method.


Figure 2a shows the specific discharge distributions with different  $R_{CD}$  of 0, 0.02, 0.04, 0.08 and 0.50. The curve of  $R_{CD} = 0$  represents the fully Darcian flow model. One can find that the specific discharge decreases with increasing  $R_{CD}$  at a given  $r_D$ , starting from its maximum at  $R_{CD} = 0$  (Darcian flow). This observation is understandable. The increasing  $R_{CD}$  implies a stronger contribution of the inertial effect, which also means a larger resistance to flow, thus it leads to a smaller specific discharge. After trying many different sets of aquifer parameters, such as  $\beta_D = 0.002, 0.02, 0.2$ , and  $R_{CD} = 0.01, 0.03, 0.1$ , numerical simulation indicates that this observation is universally valid. This observation will serve as the basis for the new iteration method to seek the location of  $R_{CD}(t_D)$ .

Similar to the use of  $Re_C$  to determine the start of the non-Darcian flow, one can use  $q_{CD}$  for the initiation of the non-Darcian flow, where  $q_{CD}$  is the dimensionless critical specific discharge defined in Table 1. We denote  $r_{jCD}$  as the newly computed critical radius at the  $j$ th step of the new iteration method, where  $j = 1, 2, 3 \dots$ . Since the aquifer system is initially hydrostatic, the initial critical radius  $r_{0CD}$  is set to 0. For a given dimensionless time  $t_{1D}$ , the detailed procedures of the iteration method for searching  $R_{CD}(t_{1D})$  will be introduced as follows. First, the specific discharge distribution in the aquifer can be calculated using Eqs. (25)–(33) with  $R_{CD}(t_{1D}) = r_{0CD}$ , as shown in Fig. 2b. Based on the computed specific discharge distribution, one can find the new critical radius  $r_{1CD}$  according to a given constant  $q_{CD}$ . Second, the new specific discharge distribution can be similarly calculated using Eqs. (25)–(33) with  $R_{CD}(t_{1D}) = r_{1CD}$ , and the new critical radius  $r_{2CD}$  can be obtained according to  $q_{CD}$ . It is notable that  $r_{1CD}$  and  $r_{2CD}$  serve as the upper and



**Figure 2.** (a) Specific discharge distributions with different critical radius  $R_{CD}$ . (b) The schematic diagram showing the iterative process of seeking  $R_{CD}$ .

lower limits for searching  $R_{CD}(t_{1D})$ , as illustrated in Fig. 2b. Similarly, one can estimate the new critical radius  $r_{3CD}$  using  $r_{2CD}$ , where  $r_{3CD}$  is located somewhere between  $r_{1CD}$  and  $r_{2CD}$ . Following the same procedures, a new critical radius  $r_{4CD}$  can be calculated based on  $r_{3CD}$ , and  $r_{4CD}$  is between  $r_{2CD}$  and  $r_{3CD}$ . One can repeat above computations until the new critical radius finally converges. For the actual problems, we define a convergence criterion  $|R_{CD}^{old} - R_{CD}^{new}| \leq \xi$ , where  $R_{CD}^{old}$  and  $R_{CD}^{new}$  are the critical radius for the previous step and present step, respectively;  $\xi$  is a small positive value such as 0.001. If this criterion is satisfied, the new critical radius  $r_{jCD}$  is thought as the estimation of  $R_{CD}(t_{1D})$ . We develop a MATLAB program named as two-region model with moving critical radius (MTRM) to facilitate the computation. By the way, this iteration method is convergent. Figure 3 represents the flow chart of the MTRM algorithm, where  $t_k$  is the time at time step  $k$ ;  $k_{max}$  is the total number of the time steps;  $dt_D$  is the dimensionless time step;  $s_{D,i}$  and  $q_{D,i}$  are the dimensionless specific drawdown and dimensionless discharge at node  $i$  in the aquifer, respectively.




**Figure 3.** Flow chart of the MTRM algorithm.

## 2 Results and discussions

### 2.1 Comparison with the previous solutions

To test the new solution, the fully Darcian flow solution of Papadopoulos and Cooper (1967), the fully non-Darcian flow solution of Mathias et al. (2008) and the two-region model of Sen (1988) will be introduced. Figure 4a and b shows the distance-drawdown curves of the four mentioned-above models in the early and late pumping stages, respectively. In these two figures, Papadopoulos and Cooper (1967) represents the analytical solution of the fully Darcian flow model, Sen (1988) is the analytical solution of the two-region model by the Boltzmann transform method, and Mathias et al. (2008) represents the numerical solution of the fully non-Darcian flow model. The deflection point of the curve is the location of the critical radius.


In the early stage, the differences among three previous solutions and the new solution of this study are obvious, as shown in Fig. 4a. First, the solution of Papadopoulos and Cooper (1967) is smaller than the others near the well. This is because the inertial forces of the non-Darcian flow increase the resistance for flow, thus resulting in drawdown greater than those for the Darcian flow near the well. The second is that the F-ND solution agrees with the new solution near the well. The third is that the solution of Sen (1988) does not agree with the new solution near the well at the early time. This is probably because of the Boltzmann transform method used by Sen (1988) to deal with the non-Darcian flow at the early time, which has been discussed in several previous studies (Camacho and Vasquez, 1992; Wen et al., 2008b).



**Figure 4.** (a) Comparison of the distance drawdowns by the fully Darcian flow model (Papadopoulos and Cooper, 1967), the fully non-Darcian flow model (Mathias et al., 2008), the two-region flow model (Sen, 1988), and the new model in early pumping stage. (b) Comparison of the distance drawdowns by the fully Darcian flow model (Papadopoulos and Cooper, 1967), the fully non-Darcian flow model (Mathias et al., 2008), the two-region flow model (Sen, 1988), and the new model in late pumping stage.

The fourth is that there is a deflection point on the new solution, leading to discontinuity of the drawdown slope. This observation may be reasonable, as also reported by Moutsopoulos et al. (2009), who named it non-uniform hydraulic behavior.

In the late pumping stage, the transient flow approaches the quasi steady state, and the specific discharge distribution is invariant with time according to Eqs. (3)–(4) or Eqs. (14)–(15), regardless of the Darcian flow or non-Darcian flow. Under the quasi steady-state flow condition, the critical radius obtained by this new solution becomes a constant which is the same as the one used by previous two-region models such as Sen (1988) and Wen et al. (2009). Therefore, the new solution agrees very well with that of Sen (1988) at late time



**Figure 5.** Time-dependent critical radius ( $R_{CD}$ ) for different values of the inertial force coefficient  $\beta_D$ .


(see Fig. 4b). Another fact that can be seen in Fig. 4b is that the new solution agrees with the solution of Papadopoulos and Cooper (1967) in the Darcian flow region.

### 2.2 Effect of the inertial force coefficient to the critical radius

The inertial force coefficient ( $\beta_D$ ) is of primary concern for the non-Darcian flow described by the Forchheimer equation, and the values of  $\beta_D$  are chosen as 0.001, 0.01, and 0.1. Figure 5 shows the critical radius ( $R_{CD}$ ) changes with time for different dimensionless inertial force coefficients. Several observations can be seen. First,  $R_{CD}$  increases with time until the flow approaching the quasi steady-state condition. In the early pumping stage, the specific discharge is very large near the well and decreases quickly with the distance from the well, so  $R_{CD}$  is very small. With time, the cone of depression will expand along the radial direction and the slope of the cone of depression becomes flatter, so  $R_{CD}$  becomes greater. Second, a larger  $\beta_D$  would reduce the rate of change  $R_{CD}$  versus time, thus result in longer time to approach its asymptotic value, and consequently leads to a smaller  $R_{CD}$  at a specific time in the transient state (see Fig. 5). This is because a larger  $\beta_D$  implies a stronger inertial force, which increases the resistance of flow. The third interesting observation is that the asymptotic value of  $R_{CD}$  is the same for different  $\beta_D$ . This can be explained using Eq. (2). Based on the definition of the dimensionless parameters defined in Table 1, Eq. (2) becomes

$$q_{CD} = 1/R_{CD}. \tag{34}$$

Therefore, the value of  $R_{CD}$  does not depend on  $\beta_D$  under the quasi state state flow condition, while it only reciprocally depends on the critical specific discharge.



**Figure 6.** Time-dependent critical radius ( $R_{CD}$ ) for different values of the critical specific discharge.


### 2.3 Effect of the critical specific discharge to the critical radius

The criterion to judge the initiation of the non-Darcian flow is an important factor of concern. Up to now, there is still considerable debate on what value of  $Re_C$  to use for the start of non-Darcian flow. The recommended values of  $Re_C$  range from 0.1 to 100 for porous media flow (Bear, 1972; Scheidegger, 1974; Zeng and Grigg, 2006). To check the influence of  $Re_C$  on  $R_{CD}$  during the transient flow, the values of  $q_{CD}$  are chosen as 100, 50 and 10 considering the direct relationship of  $q_{CD}$  and  $R_{CD}$  in Eq. (2). The other parameters are  $\beta_D = 0.01$ , and  $r_{wD} = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ .

Figure 6 shows the effect of  $q_{CD}$  on  $R_{CD}$ . It is obvious that the asymptotic value of  $R_{CD}$  is equal to  $1/q_{CD}$ , as reflected in Eq. (34). Another interesting observation is that  $R_{CD}$  decreases with increasing  $q_{CD}$ , and it takes shorter time for  $R_{CD}$  to approach its asymptotic value.

### 2.4 Type curves in the non-Darcian flow region and Darcian flow region

Type curves are a series of curves that reveal the functional relationship between the well functions (or drawdown) and the dimensionless time factors (Sen, 1988; Wen et al., 2011). Type curve is one of the common approaches to identify the aquifer parameters or to predict the drawdown (Sen, 1988; Wen et al., 2011). Sen (1988) presented different type curves in the Darcian flow region and non-Darcian flow region based on a two-region model. In that model (Sen, 1988),  $R_{CD}$  was a fixed value which only depends on the rate of pumping but independent of time. In this study,  $R_{CD}$  changes with time, and the type curves might be different from the ones generated by Sen (1988). To investigate the behaviors of the type curves of the new solution, the two observation locations will



**Figure 7.** Time-drawdown at  $r_D = 0.005$  for different inertial force coefficients in a log–log scale.

be chosen,  $r_D = 0.005$  and  $0.02$ . According to Eq. (34), the maximum of  $R_{CD}$  is 0.001 at the quasi steady state, so the flow at  $r_D = 0.005$  will experience both Darcian flow (at the early time) and non-Darcian flow (at late time), while the flow at  $r_D = 0.02$  is always Darcian.


Figure 7 shows the time drawdown at  $r_D = 0.005$  for different dimensionless inertial force coefficients in the log–log scale. Two interesting observations can be seen from this figure. The first observation is that there is a deflection point in the curve of  $\beta_D = 0.1$  or  $1$ , that becomes larger in time with increasing  $\beta_D$ . This is because a larger  $\beta_D$  implies a stronger inertial effect, which leads to a larger drawdown and longer time to approach the quasi steady-state condition. This observation is not found in the F-ND model (Wen et al., 2011) and in the two-region model (Sen, 1988). The second observation is that the drawdown in the quasi steady state increases with increasing  $\beta_D$ , and the reason for this has been explained in previous studies (Wen et al., 2011).

Figure 8 represents the time drawdown at  $r_D = 0.02$  in the log–log scale. One notable point is that flow at  $r_D = 0.02$  is always Darcian, so there is no deflection point in the type curves. The differences among the curves with different  $\beta_D$  are obvious at the beginning, and then they approach the same value at the quasi steady state.

## 3 Summary and conclusions

In this study, a new two-region flow model considering the time-dependent critical radius ( $R_{CD}$ ) is established to investigate the groundwater flow into a pumping well, and a new iteration method is proposed to estimate  $R_{CD}$ , based on the finite-difference method. Results show that this iteration method is convergence although it has not been analytical verified using rigorous mathematic model. In the non-





**Figure 8.** Time-drawdown at  $r_D = 0.02$  for different inertial force coefficients in a log–log scale.

Darcian flow region, the flow is governed by the Forchheimer equation, and the start of the non-Darcian flow is determined by the critical specific discharge, which is calculated by the critical Reynolds number. The new solution is compared with previous solutions, such as the fully Darcian flow model, the two-region model with a constant critical radius, and the fully non-Darcian flow model. The impacts of the dimensionless inertial force coefficient ( $\beta_D$ ) and dimensionless critical specific discharge ( $q_{CD}$ ) on the critical radius and flow field have been analyzed. Several findings can be drawn from this study:

1. In the early stage, the new solution agrees with the fully non-Darcian flow solution near the well; differs with the fully Darcian flow model of Papadopoulos and Cooper (1967) and the two-region model of Sen (1988).
2. In the quasi steady flow stage, the new solution agrees with the solution of Sen (1988) very well. It agrees very well with the solution of the fully Darcian flow model (Papadopoulos and Cooper, 1967) in the Darcian flow region.
3.  $R_{CD}$  increases with time until reaching the quasi steady-state flow, and the asymptotic value of  $R_{CD}$  only depends on  $q_{CD}$ . A larger  $\beta_D$  would reduce the rate of change of  $R_{CD}$  with time, and result in a smaller  $R_{CD}$  at a specific time during the transient flow state.
4. There is a deflection point in the type curve when the observation well location is within the non-Darcian flow region in the quasi steady state when  $\beta_D \geq 0.1$ , and the time associated with this deflection point becomes larger with a larger  $\beta_D$ .

## Appendix A

Table A1. Nomenclature.

$B$	aquifer thickness (L)
$D_p$	characteristic grain diameter (L)
$K$	hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer ( $LT^{-1}$ )
$K_\beta$	apparent hydraulic conductivity, an empirical constant in the Forchheimer law ( $LT^{-1}$ )
$q$	specific discharge in the aquifer ( $LT^{-1}$ )
$q_C$	critical specific discharge ( $LT^{-1}$ )
$q_Y, q_N$	specific discharges for Darcian flow and non-Darcian flow ( $LT^{-1}$ ), respectively
$Q$	well discharge ( $L^3T^{-1}$ )
$s$	drawdown (L) for aquifer
$s_Y, s_N$	drawdowns (L) for Darcian flow and non-Darcian flow, respectively
$s_w$	drawdown (L) inside well
$S$	storage coefficient of the aquifer (dimensionless)
$r$	distance from the center of the well (L)
$r_w$	radius of the well screen (L)
$R_C$	critical radius for non-Darcian flow (L)
$Re$	Reynolds number (dimensionless)
$Re_C$	critical Reynolds number (dimensionless)
$t$	pumping time (T)
$\beta$	an empirical constant in the Forchheimer law ( $TL^{-1}$ ), named as inertial force coefficient in this study
$\nu$	kinematic viscosity of the fluid ( $L^2T^{-1}$ )
$q_{ND}, q_{YD}$	dimensionless specific discharges defined in Table 1 in the non-Darcian flow and Darcian flow regions, respectively
$q_{CD}$	dimensionless critical specific discharge defined in Table 1
$r_D$	dimensionless distance defined in Table 1
$r_{wD}$	dimensionless radius of the well screen defined in Table 1
$R_{CD}$	dimensionless critical radius defined in Table 1
$s_{ND}, s_{YD}$	dimensionless drawdown $s$ defined in Table 1 in the non-Darcian flow and Darcian flow regions, respectively
$s_{wD}$	dimensionless drawdown inside the well defined in Table 1
$t_D$	dimensionless time defined in Table 1
$\beta_D$	dimensionless inertial force coefficient defined in Table 1
$\lambda$	ratio of the hydraulic conductivity and apparent hydraulic conductivity defined in Table 1

The subscript "D" refers to terms in dimensionless form. The subscripts "N" and "Y" refer to terms related to non-Darcian flow and Darcian flow regions, respectively.

*Acknowledgements.* This research was partially supported by Program of the National Basic Research Program of China (973) (no. 2011CB710600, 2011CB710602), National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 41172281, 41372253), the scholarship to Quanrong Wang from China Scholarship Council, Field Demonstration of Integrated Monitoring Program of Land and Resources in Middle Yangtze River Jiangnan-Dongting Plain (1212011120084), and Study on Groundwater Resources and Environmental Problems in Middle Yangtze River Jiangnan-Dongting Plain (no. 1212011121142). We thank two anonymous reviewers for the critical and constructive comments that help us improve this manuscript.

Edited by: S. Attinger

## References

- Ahmad, N.: Evaluation of groundwater resources in the upper middle part of Chaj-Doak area, Pakistan, PhD, Istanbul Technical Univ., Turkey, 1998.
- Basak, P.: Non-penetrating well in a semi-infinite medium with nonlinear flow, *J. Hydrol.*, 33, 375–382, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(77)90047-6, 1977.
- Basak, P.: Analytical solutions for two-regime well flow problems, *J. Hydrol.*, 38, 147–159, 1978.
- Bear, J.: Dynamics of fluids in porous media, Elsevier, New York, 1972.
- Birpinar, M. and Sen, Z.: Forchheimer groundwater flow law type curves for leaky aquifers, *J. Hydrol. Eng.*, 9, 51–59, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2004)9:1(51), 2004.
- Bordier, C. and Zimmer, D.: Drainage equations and non-Darcian modelling in coarse porous media or geosynthetic materials, *J. Hydrol.*, 228, 174–187, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00151-7, 2000.
- Camacho, R. G. and Vasquez, M.: Analytical solution incorporating nonlinear radial flow in confined aquifers – comment, *Water Resour. Res.*, 28, 3337–3338, doi:10.1029/92wr01646, 1992.
- Chen, Z. X., Lyons, S. L., and Qin, G.: Derivation of the Forchheimer law via homogenization, *Transport in Porous Media*, 44, 325–335, doi:10.1023/a:1010749114251, 2001.
- Dudgeon, C. R., Huyakorn, P. S., and Swan, W. H. C.: Hydraulics of flow near wells in unconsolidated sediments, Field studies, The University of New South Wales, Australia, 1972a.
- Dudgeon, C. R., Huyakorn, P. S., and Swan, W. H. C.: Hydraulics of flow near wells in unconsolidated sediments, Theoretical and experimental studies, The University of New South Wales, Australia, 1972b.
- Dullien, F. A. L. and Azzam, M. I. S.: Flow rate pressure gradient measurements in periodically nonuniform capillary tubes, *Aiche J.*, 19, 222–229, doi:10.1002/aic.690190204, 1973.
- Engelund, F.: On the laminar and turbulent flows of ground water through homogeneous sand, Tech. Univ. Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark, 1953.
- Ergun, S.: Fluid flow through packed columns, *Chem. Eng. Prog.*, 48, 89–94, 1952.
- Forchheimer, P. H.: Wasserbewegung durch boden, *Zeitschrift des Vereines Deutscher Ingenieure*, 49, 1736–1749, 1901.
- George, G. H. and Hansen, D.: Conversion between quadratic and power law for non-Darcy Flow, *J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE*, 118, 792–797, doi:10.1061/(asce)0733-9429(1992)118:5(792), 1992.
- Giorgi, T.: Derivation of the Forchheimer law via matched asymptotic expansions, *Transport in Porous Media*, 29, 191–206, doi:10.1023/a:1006533931383, 1997.
- Hassanizadeh, S. M. and Gray, W. G.: High-velocity flow in porous-media, *Transport in Porous Media*, 2, 521–531, 1987.
- Huyakorn, P. and Dudgeon, C. R.: Investigation of 2-regime well flow, *J. Hydraul. Division-ASCE*, 102, 1149–1165, 1976.
- Irmay, S.: On the theoretical derivations of Darcy and Forchheimer formulas – discussion – reply, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 64, 486–487, doi:10.1029/JZ064i004p00486, 1959.
- Izbash, S. V.: O filtracii V Kropnozernstom Materiale, Leningrad, USSR, 1931 (in Russian).
- Liu, H. H., Li, L. C., and Birkholzer, J.: Unsaturated properties for non-Darcian water flow in clay, *J. Hydrol.*, 430, 173–178, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.017, 2012.
- Louwyck, A., Vandenbohede, A., and Lebbe, L.: Numerical analysis of step-drawdown tests: Parameter identification and uncertainty, *J. Hydrol.*, 380, 165–179, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.10.034, 2010.
- Mackie, C. D.: Determination of Nonlinear formation losses in pumping wells, International Conference on Groundwater and Man, 5–9 December, 1983.
- Mathias, S. A. and Todman, L. C.: Step-drawdown tests and the Forchheimer equation, *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W07514, doi:10.1029/2009wr008635, 2010.
- Mathias, S. A., Butler, A. P., and Zhan, H. B.: Approximate solutions for Forchheimer flow to a well, *J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE*, 134, 1318–1325, doi:10.1061/(asce)0733-9429(2008)134:9(1318), 2008.
- Mishra, P. K., Vessilinov, V., and Gupta, H.: On simulation and analysis of variable-rate pumping tests, *Ground Water*, 51, 469–473, doi:10.1111/j.1745-6584.2012.00961.x, 2012.
- Moutsopoulos, K. N., Papaspyros, I. N. E., and Tsihrintzis, V. A.: Experimental investigation of inertial flow processes in porous media, *J. Hydrol.*, 374, 242–254, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.06.015, 2009.
- Papadopoulos, I. S. and Cooper, H. H.: Drawdown in a well of large diameter, *Water Resour. Res.*, 3, 241–244, doi:10.1029/WR003i001p00241, 1967.
- Quinn, P. M., Parker, B. L., and Cherry, J. A.: Validation of non-Darcian flow effects in slug tests conducted in fractured rock boreholes, *J. Hydrol.*, 486, 505–518, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.02.024, 2013.
- Scheidegger, A. E.: The physics of flow through porous media, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1974.
- Scheidegger, A. E.: The physics of flow through porous media, University of Toronto Press, 152–170, 1974.
- Sen, Z.: Type curves for two-region well flow, *J. Hydraul. Eng.*, 114, 1461–1484, doi:10.1029/WR024i004p00601, 1988.
- Sen, Z.: Nonlinear radial flow in confined aquifers toward large-diameter wells, *Water Resour. Res.*, 26, 1103–1109, doi:10.1029/WR026i005p01103, 1990.
- Sen, Z.: Non-Darcian groundwater flow in leaky aquifers, *Hydrol. Sci. J.-Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques*, 45, 595–606, doi:10.1080/02626660009492360, 2000.

- Sidiropoulou, M. G., Moutsopoulos, K. N., and Tsihrintzis, V. A.: Determination of Forchheimer equation coefficients  $a$  and  $b$ , *Hydrol. Process.*, 21, 534–554, doi:10.1002/hyp.6264, 2007.
- Soni, J. P., Islam, N., and Basak, P.: A experimental evaluation of non-Darcian flow in porous media, *J. Hydrol.*, 38, 231–241, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(78)90070-7, 1978.
- van Tonder, G. J., Botha, J. F., and van Bosch, J.: A generalised solution for step-drawdown tests including flow dimension and elasticity, *Water S.A.*, 27, 345–354, 2001.
- Ward, J. C.: Turbulent flow in porous media, *J. Hydraul. Division, American Society of Civil Engineers*, 90, 1–12, 1964.
- Wen, Z., Huang, G. H., and Zhan, H. B.: An analytical solution for non-Darcian flow in a confined aquifer using the power law function, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 31, 44–55, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2007.06.002, 2008a.
- Wen, Z., Huang, G. H., Zhan, H. B., and Li, J.: Two-region non-Darcian flow toward a well in a confined aquifer, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 31, 818–827, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2008.01.014, 2008b.
- Wen, Z., Huang, G. H., and Zhan, H. B.: A numerical solution for non-Darcian flow to a well in a confined aquifer using the power law function, *J. Hydrol.*, 364, 99–106, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.10.009, 2009.
- Wen, Z., Huang, G. H., and Zhan, H. B.: Non-Darcian flow to a well in a leaky aquifer using the Forchheimer equation, *Hydrogeol. J.*, 19, 563–572, doi:10.1007/s10040-011-0709-2, 2011.
- Whitaker, S.: The Forchheimer equation: A theoretical development, *Transport in Porous Media*, 25, 27–61, doi:10.1007/bf00141261, 1996.
- Yeh, H.-D. and Chang, Y.-C.: Recent advances in modeling of well hydraulics, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 51, 27–51, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.03.006, 2013.
- Zeng, Z. W. and Grigg, R.: A criterion for non-Darcy flow in porous media, *Transport in Porous Media*, 63, 57–69, doi:10.1007/s11242-005-2720-3, 2006.