



## Southern westerlies in LGM and future (RCP4.5) climates

Y. Chavaillaz<sup>1</sup>, F. Codron<sup>1</sup>, and M. Kageyama<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LMD/IPSL, Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, UMR8539, CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Case Postale 99, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

<sup>2</sup>LSCE/IPSL, Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, UMR8112, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ – CE Saclay, L'Orme des Merisiers, Bat. 701, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

Correspondence to: F. Codron (fcodron@lmd.jussieu.fr)

Received: 31 July 2012 – Published in Clim. Past Discuss.: 15 August 2012

Revised: 21 December 2012 – Accepted: 26 January 2013 – Published: 1 March 2013

**Abstract.** Mid-latitude westerlies are a major component of the atmospheric circulation and understanding their behaviour under climate change is important for understanding changes in precipitation, storms and atmosphere–ocean momentum, heat and CO<sub>2</sub> exchanges. The Southern Hemisphere westerlies have been particularly studied in terms of the latter aspects, since the Southern Ocean is a key region for the global oceanic circulation as well as for CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. In this study, we analyse, mainly in terms of jet stream position, the behaviour of the southern westerlies for the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 21 000 yr ago, which is the last past cold extreme) and for a future climate, obtained after stabilisation of the RCP4.5 scenario. The a priori guess would be that the behaviour of the westerly jet stream would be similar when examining its changes from LGM to pre-industrial (PI) conditions and from PI to RCP4.5, i.e. in both cases a poleward shift in response to global warming. We show that this is in fact not the case, due to the impact of altitude changes of the Antarctic ice sheet and/or to sea ice cover changes.

### 1 Introduction

The mid-latitude westerlies are one of the major features of the Earth's atmospheric circulation. They are strongly related to mid-latitude weather and climate, in particular because the mid-latitude high precipitation regions are zones of frequent passages of mid-latitude storms which develop as instabilities along the mid-latitude jet streams. The equator-to-pole temperature gradient is one of the primary driving forces for the mid-latitude westerlies, which is expressed through the thermal wind relationship. But the eddies that develop along

the mean westerlies as a result of the baroclinic instability also feedback on the mean jet stream and help maintaining it. The fact that mid-latitude westerlies are related to storms implies a relationship with extreme storms. Thus, a better understanding of the mid-latitude westerlies in climates different from the present one is essential to gain improved confidence in our prediction of future changes in precipitation and extreme events. Mid-latitude westerlies also represent a strong forcing for the underlying ocean: they are responsible for momentum and heat fluxes at the ocean–atmosphere interface, acting on the ocean mixed-layer and, by definition, piloting the wind-driven circulation. As such, the North Atlantic westerlies have been the focus of many studies because of their role in driving the Gulf stream and the North Atlantic drift, but also because they are key in driving the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (e.g. Wunsch, 2002; Kuhlbrodt et al., 2007).

The Southern Hemisphere mid-latitude westerlies run nearly undisturbed by continents and are associated with the strong Antarctic Circumpolar Current. Recently, they have received more and more attention because they are another key to the global ocean overturning circulation (Sijp and England, 2008; Marshall and Speer, 2012) but maybe even more so because they have been suggested to play an important role in the recent (Le Quéré et al., 2007; Lenton et al., 2009) and future (Russell et al., 2006a) evolutions of the uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> by the Southern Ocean. However, the study of their evolution under anthropogenic forcing and their potential impacts is currently hampered by the poor representation of the southern westerlies by climate models (Russell et al., 2006b), with an equatorward bias in the jet

position which has only weakly improved in the CMIP5 experiments (Wilcox et al., 2012).

Southern mid-latitude westerlies have also been proposed to play a role in explaining the low level of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (185 ppmv, Monnin et al., 2001) at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 21 000 yr ago) and the subsequent atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> increase during the last termination. In particular, Toggweiler et al. (2006) and Banderas et al. (2012) suggest that an equatorward position of the southern jet stream prevents CO<sub>2</sub> stored in the deep ocean from reaching the atmosphere at the LGM, but that as soon as the warming starts and the jet stream shifts poleward, degassing of this CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere can start, therefore providing a positive feedback to the initial warming. Many other factors, in particular involving marine biology and increased dust fluxes (e.g. Bopp et al., 2003), brine rejection and stratification-dependent ocean diffusion (Bouttes et al., 2012), have been proposed to play a role in the low level of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at the LGM but the idea that a shift in the westerlies could have an impact on atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> level has fostered many studies on reconstructing these westerlies from palaeodata.

Rojas et al. (2009) provide an extensive review of palaeodata that have been used to reconstruct winds at the LGM. They classify these paleo-wind indicators into two categories: (1) the “direct” wind indicators such as ocean upwelling or dust (although it could be argued that the latter also depends on dust sources, i.e. on vegetation, snow cover and soil humidity); and (2) indirect wind indicators such as pollen or terrigenous supply, or glacier advances (e.g. Putnam et al., 2010), which depend on the position of the mid-latitude front either via a dependence on precipitation or via a dependence on temperature. Hence, understanding the mechanisms controlling the position of the mid-latitude westerlies at the LGM is important not only to evaluate its possible impact on ocean circulation and atmospheric–ocean CO<sub>2</sub> exchanges, but also to disentangle the signals from the diverse palaeo-indicators interpreted as being related to wind. Climate models can help in such a task by assessing the consistency in, for example, westerly wind position and precipitation or temperature changes. Rojas et al. (2009) have studied four LGM simulations from the PMIP2 (Palaeoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project, phase 2, Braconnot et al., 2007) in terms of changes of the southern westerlies and storm tracks between the LGM and the pre-industrial climates. They point to a large variability in the models’ response to LGM boundary conditions and to the conflicting impacts of the increasing meridional equator-to-pole temperature gradient at the surface and the decreasing gradient at mid-tropospheric levels.

Turning to the future evolution of the southern westerlies, climate models consistently predict a nearly year-round poleward shift in response to increasing greenhouse gas concentration (Yin, 2005; Lorenz and DeWeaver, 2007). The exception is the summer season, for which the recovery of the

ozone hole over the 21st century should compensate the impact of greenhouse gases (Perlwitz et al., 2008; Wilcox et al., 2012). The mechanism of this influence is not yet understood. Changes in the jet position are reinforced by positive feedbacks between baroclinic eddies and the zonal-mean flow (Lorenz and Hartmann, 2001), that explain the dominance of jet shifts in the low-frequency natural variability, embodied by the Southern Annular Mode (SAM). These positive feedbacks also explain why the SAM dominates the atmospheric circulation response to climate forcings, but they tend to mask the initial causes. For example, the eddy length-scale increases in future simulations (Kidston et al., 2010), which could cause a shift of the jet, but it is not clear whether this larger scale is a direct impact of the warming (Kidston et al., 2011; Rivière, 2011) or a response to the jet shift itself.

In any case, it seems that changes in the meridional temperature gradient in the upper troposphere are a strong driver, or at least a good predictor of the jet shifts (Wilcox et al., 2012). This has been confirmed by a number of studies with idealised dynamical-core models using a Held and Suarez (1994) type of setup to which a prescribed heating was added (Butler et al., 2010; Rivière, 2011; Lorenz and DeWeaver, 2007). In all cases, the modelled jets shift poleward as a response to heating in the tropical upper-troposphere or cooling of the polar stratosphere. On the contrary, a warming of the polar lower-troposphere – as expected from the polar amplification predicted in the Northern Hemisphere – could lead to an equatorward jet shift.

Our objective here is to study the changes in the characteristics of the Southern Hemisphere westerlies in the contrasting climates of the LGM and the future, compared to the pre-industrial reference state. We make use of the newly available CMIP5/PMIP3 simulations, performed for each of the 6 models analysed here, with the same model version for the LGM, pre-industrial and future climate simulations. After describing these simulations and the diagnostics chosen for our analyses (Sect. 2), we first assess the mean changes in southern jet stream for both climates and study the relationship between these mean changes and the modes of inter-annual variability found for the pre-industrial state (Sect. 3). We then show that it is possible to derive a simple relationship, valid for the LGM and future climates, between changes in the temperature field and the position of the jet stream (Sect. 4). Section 5 discusses and summarizes our results.

## 2 Numerical experiments and jet stream definition


### 2.1 CMIP5/PMIP3 simulations

This work is based on simulations produced within the framework of the CMIP5 (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, Taylor et al., 2012) and of the PMIP3 (Palaeoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project, Otto-Bliesner et al., 2009; Braconnot et al., 2012) projects. These CMIP5/PMIP3

simulations use coupled atmosphere–ocean–sea ice (carbon cycle) models which do not include interactive ice sheets. For a given model, the same model version has been used for all CMIP5/PMIP3 simulations, which makes a rigorous comparison of past vs. future simulated changes possible. Since our aim is to study, within a common framework, LGM vs. future changes in the Southern Hemisphere westerly jet stream, we selected models which had both performed an LGM simulation and the RCP4.5 scenario. We chose this middle RCP scenario because the corresponding radiative forcing is roughly the opposite to that of the LGM. We studied the stabilised part of this scenario, after year 2100. For all models, we have computed and analysed the climatological averages over 50 yr, from year 2251 to year 2300, for the RCP4.5 scenario. The LGM and PI (pre-industrial) simulations being equilibrium ones, we have considered averages over the final 50 yr of these runs. Since we only study one RCP scenario, we will refer to these RCP4.5 simulations as the “RCP” simulations in the rest of the text.

At the time of analysis of these results (Spring 2012), there were six such models: CNRM-CM5, GISS-E2-R, IPSL-CM5A-LR, NCAR-CCSM, MIROC-ESM and MPI-ESM-P. For simplicity we will refer to them as follows: CNRM, GISS, IPSL, NCAR, MIROC and MPI, respectively. The LGM simulations all follow the PMIP3 protocol (<https://wiki.lsce.ipsl.fr/pmip3/doku.php/pmip3:design:21k:final>), in particular in terms of orbital parameters set to their 21 000 yr ago values (Berger, 1978) and lower concentration of atmospheric greenhouse gases (185 ppm for CO<sub>2</sub>, Monnin et al., 2001; 350 ppb for CH<sub>4</sub>, Dallenbach et al., 2000; and 200 ppb for N<sub>2</sub>O, Flückiger et al., 1999). All models use the PMIP3 LGM ice sheets (<https://wiki.lsce.ipsl.fr/pmip3/doku.php/pmip3:design:21k:icesheet:index>), except for the GISS model which uses the ICE5.2G ice sheets (Peltier, 2004). The LGM ice sheets are imposed as changes in the boundary conditions. This implies (1) adapting the coastlines to their LGM configuration, (2) changing the topography/bathymetry, and (3) changing the ice sheet extent. The way these changes are implemented differs from model to model. This can eventually result in differences in the models’ boundary conditions, as shown in Fig. 1 for the Antarctic changes in topography, as recomputed from surface pressure; even though the same boundary conditions were applied to all models except GISS, the translation of this forcing for each of the models yields different altitude differences. These are particularly small for CNRM compared to the other four PMIP3 models. For these latter models, the largest altitude changes are located over West Antarctica, with local differences reaching 1500 m (more ice at LGM than for PI). The same is true for GISS, while in CNRM the altitude difference is only 1000 m at most and over more restricted regions than in other models.

For our analysis of the relationship between the change in maximum jet stream position and temperature changes at the pole and in the tropics (Sect. 4), we included



**Fig. 1.** LGM ice sheets for the six models included in the present analysis: altitude PI–LGM difference. This is a reconstructed difference using surface pressure, as topography was not available for all models. Units are in equivalent-meters, using a height-scale of 7 km.

six other models for which the PI and RCP4.5 simulations were available: IPSL-CM5A-MR, IPSL-CM5B-LR, bcc-csm-1, CanESM2, FGOALS-g2 and NorESM1-M. The IPSL-CM5A-MR model is the same as the version used for the rest of the study, except for a higher horizontal resolution (leading to a more poleward jet, cf. Hourdin et al., 2012); IPSL-CM5B-LR has the same resolution but a new physical package. Adding these models increases the number of degrees of freedom for the correlation calculations in this section and helps building more significant relationships.

## 2.2 Jet stream definition

To characterise the Southern Hemisphere westerly jets in the LGM, PI and RCP simulations, we have chosen to use the 850-hPa zonal wind. This level characterises the eddy-driven jet and its fluctuations while remaining above the surface boundary layer. The wind speed is larger at the tropopause level, but the upper-level jet is driven by a combination of eddies and the Hadley cell, and its position is less meaningful: a strong subtropical jet can hide changes in the near-surface winds, which are the ones interacting with the ocean.

The characteristics of the jet are computed by first taking a time and zonal average of the zonal wind. A quadratic interpolation around the grid point with maximum mean wind then yields the exact latitude of the mean jet as well as its velocity. These will be referred to as jet latitude or speed in the following.

### 3 Southern Hemisphere jet stream behaviour under future and glacial conditions

#### 3.1 Mean behaviour

For an easier comparison between past and future climate changes, we examine the RCP–PI anomalies on the one hand, and the PI–LGM anomalies on the other, i.e. the anomalies corresponding to a warming in both cases: warming since the LGM for the latter case; predicted future warming, compared to PI conditions, for the former case. In the following, we will refer to the “LGM anomalies” for the PI–LGM anomalies and to the “RCP anomalies” for the RCP–PI anomalies.


Figure 2 shows, for each model, the position of the jet stream for the PI run and the LGM and RCP zonal wind anomalies. The structure of RCP anomalies for all models is a latitudinal dipole centered on the mean jet position, indicative of a poleward shift of the jet stream. This poleward shift is quantified from the zonal-mean 850-hPa zonal winds in Fig. 3 (left panel). It is strongest for the IPSL model, which simulates a  $3.5^\circ$  poleward shift and ranges from  $0.7$  to  $1.5^\circ$  for other models. Figure 3 (right panel) shows that the maximum speed of the jet stream also increases in all the simulations, by  $0.5$  to slightly more than  $1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . These changes are therefore consistent for all models, even though there are differences in the amplitude of the anomalies. In particular the anomalies simulated by the CNRM model appear as being the weakest on the maps of Fig. 2 (right panel).

The LGM anomalies, in contrast, are far from being consistent for all models. This can already be seen from the maps of Fig. 2 (left panel). IPSL, CNRM and GISS simulate a poleward displacement for all longitudes, NCAR simulates a decrease of the wind speed and a slight equatorward displacement of the jet maximum while MIROC and MPI simulate an equatorward displacement and strengthening of the jet stream. This behaviour is summarised on Fig. 3.

In summary, the Southern Hemisphere westerlies show a consistent poleward shift and increase in maximum wind speed for the PI to future warming but not for the LGM to PI warming. This is intriguing because we could have expected a similar behaviour for both forcings, given that the the main forcing at LGM in the Southern Hemisphere is expected to be the one related to the lower greenhouse gas concentrations. Indeed, in contrast with the Northern Hemisphere situation for which massive ice sheets are added to the Northern Hemisphere continents at LGM, compared to PI, in the Southern Hemisphere the Antarctic ice sheet is present in the three types of simulation analysed here. In Sect. 4 we will further analyse potential reasons for the different behaviours of the jet stream for the LGM to PI and PI to RCP warmings.

#### 3.2 Relationship with PI modes of variability

As discussed in the introduction, the atmospheric circulation response to an external forcing often projects strongly



**Fig. 2.** Anomalies (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) in 850-hPa mean annual zonal wind for the six models studied here. Left column: PI–LGM, right column: RCP–PI. The position of the PI jet stream maximum is indicated as a black contour.


on the dominant modes of internal variability: being the least damped modes, they are also the most sensitive ones.

In the Southern Hemisphere, the first EOF of the zonal-mean zonal wind, i.e. the SAM, is a dipole centered on the mean jet latitude, and thus represents a meridional shift of that jet (Lorenz and Hartmann, 2001). This behaviour is well reproduced by all the models in the PI simulation (Fig. 4, showing EOFs of monthly 850-hPa zonal-mean zonal wind), with the node of the SAM following the different mean latitudes of the simulated jet. The second EOF is, by construction, orthogonal to the first, and can be interpreted as a strengthening and narrowing of the jet (conserving angular momentum), although it could also play a role in large shifts of the jet (Fyfe and Lorenz, 2005).

The RCP and LGM time-mean changes of the zonal-mean zonal wind at 850 hPa are shown in Fig. 4 together with the anomalies corresponding to one monthly standard deviation of the first two EOFs. In all cases, the future changes project most strongly on the first EOF or SAM (average pattern correlation of 0.85), indicating that the changes are dominated by shifts of the jet (cf. Table 1). This is also true of LGM

**Table 1.** Spatial (90–0° latitude) correlation of anomaly patterns of 850-hPa zonal-mean zonal wind: the first and second EOFs of the PI simulation, and the PI–LGM and RCP–PI differences.

		IPSL	NCAR	MIROC	MPI	CNRM	GISS
RCP–PI	EOF1	0.92	0.88	0.85	0.80	0.85	0.78
	EOF2	0.25	−0.19	0.13	0.29	0.17	−0.06
PI–LGM	EOF1	0.22	−0.09	−0.13	0.08	0.61	0.54
	EOF2	0.39	−0.32	0.28	0.28	0.17	0.11




**Fig. 3.** Left panel: jet stream poleward shift (° of latitude) and right panel: wind speed maximum anomaly ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ), PI–LGM (in blue) and RCP–PI (in red).

changes for the CNRM and GISS models, which both behave similarly in the past and future. For the other 4 models, however, the LGM changes project better, if anything, on the second EOF, although the pattern correlations are weaker than for the RCP case (average of 0.32).

#### 4 A simple relationship between jet stream and temperature differences?

In order to try and understand the different behaviours of the southern westerlies in the PI–LGM vs. RCP–PI anomalies, we first turn to the zonal-mean temperature anomalies (Fig. 5), since the meridional thermal gradient is one of the drivers of the westerlies. For both the past and future situations, this figure shows the expected general tropospheric warming and high-latitude stratospheric cooling. The tropospheric warming has a maximum near the top of the troposphere in the tropics for both the past and future situations in all models, and a secondary maximum in the lower half of the troposphere at high latitudes for some models. Therefore, at the top of the troposphere, for the past and future evolutions, models simulate a strengthening of the meridional temperature gradient. This is consistent with a strengthening of the jet stream. The poleward shift of the jet stream is also consistent with the idealised studies (Rivière, 2011), showing that a warming of the upper tropical troposphere is enough to cause a poleward shift of this jet stream.

In another idealised simulation, Rivière (2011) shows that an imposed warming in the lower troposphere at high latitudes causes an equatorward shift of the jet stream. In the models analysed here, the maximum warming in the lower half of the troposphere at high latitudes is especially strong



**Fig. 4.** Latitudinal structure of the variability and response of the zonal-mean zonal wind at 850-hPa for all the models. Black lines: anomalies for  $1\sigma$  of the first (solid line) and second (dashed) EOF of the zonal-mean zonal wind in the PI simulation. Colors: mean PI–LGM (blue) and RCP–PI (red) difference. The vertical line indicates the jet position in the PI simulation.


for the PI–LGM anomaly, except for the CNRM model. In fact, a comparison of Figs. 3 and 5 shows that those models with strongest warming around Antarctica from LGM to PI (NCAR, MIROC, MPI) are those which simulate an equatorward shift of the jet stream. In contrast, IPSL, CNRM and GISS show a near-surface high-latitude warming that is weaker or confined to Antarctica, and simulate a poleward shift of the westerlies. Hence, for the LGM to PI evolution, the westerlies are subject to contrasting influences: (1) the strengthening of the upper tropospheric temperature gradient, and (2) the weakening of the lower troposphere temperature gradient. This appears to explain the range of model responses for the LGM case, with some models in which the upper-tropospheric influence is stronger, while in others it is the lower-tropospheric influence which is most important.

To test this idea, we study the quantitative relationships between the jet stream shift and the temperature differences at the high-latitudes and in the tropics. To do so, we define the following:

- $T_{\text{pole}}$  as the averaged temperature for latitudes 90 to 65° S and pressure levels 1000 to 600-hPa,
- $T_{\text{trop}}$  as the averaged 2 m-temperature for latitudes 30° S to the Equator.

These averages are mass and area weighted. We also define  $\delta T_{\text{trop}}$  and  $\delta T_{\text{pole}}$  as the RCP–PI or the PI–LGM anomalies in  $T_{\text{trop}}$  and  $T_{\text{pole}}$ ,  $\phi$  as the latitude of the jet stream and  $\delta\phi$  as its shift, with positive meaning a poleward shift.

Figure 6 shows the relationships between the jet stream latitudinal shift  $\delta\phi$  and the changes in  $T_{\text{trop}}$  (left panel) and  $T_{\text{pole}}$  (middle panel). The relationship between  $\delta\phi$  and  $\delta T_{\text{trop}}$  is quasi-linear for the RCP case (red symbols), with  $\delta\phi$



**Fig. 5.** Anomalies in the zonal mean temperature (in K) for the 6 models, for RCP-PI (first two rows) and PI-LGM (last two rows). Continuous lines show the mean temperature in PI.


increasing for an increase in  $\delta T_{\text{trop}}$ . The correlation between these variables is 0.78, higher than the  $p=0.01$  level of 0.6 valid for this number of degrees of freedom. But this relationship does not hold for the LGM (correlation of 0.26). On the other hand, Fig. 6 (middle panel) shows a significant relationship between  $\delta\phi$  and  $\delta T_{\text{pole}}$  for the LGM, with a larger  $\delta\phi$  for smaller values in  $\delta T_{\text{pole}}$  (correlation of  $-0.78$ ). But this relationship does not hold for the RCP case (correlation of 0.25).

These results suggest that the jet stream shift could be correlated to a combination of the two indices  $\delta T_{\text{trop}}$  and  $\delta T_{\text{pole}}$ . These two indices can be considered as independent since their correlation is 0.33. Therefore, we can perform a multilinear regression attempting to find a relationship of the form:


$$\delta\phi = a \times \delta T_{\text{trop}} + b \times \delta T_{\text{pole}}.$$

For  $a=1.72$  and  $b=0.86$ , we find a correlation between  $\delta\phi$  and  $(a \times \delta T_{\text{trop}} + b \times \delta T_{\text{pole}})$  of 0.9. This relationship is shown in the right panel of Fig. 6. This relationship implies a ratio of 1 : 2 between the influence of the high-latitude lower troposphere temperature change and that of the tropical temperature change (those having an opposite sign).

Figure 7 shows the contributions of each of these terms for each model, for the LGM and RCP cases. This figure



**Fig. 6.** Relationships between the anomaly of the jet stream maximum position  $\delta\phi$  and (a) the anomaly in tropical temperatures  $\delta T_{\text{trop}}$ , (b) the anomaly in high-latitude lower troposphere temperature  $\delta T_{\text{pole}}$  and (c)  $(a \times \delta T_{\text{trop}} + b \times \delta T_{\text{pole}})$ . See text (Sect. 4) for the definition of these quantities. The blue symbols accompanied by model names are the PI-LGM results. The corresponding red symbols are the RCP-PI results. Results from additional models listed in Sect. 2 are shown by filled red circles, except the IPSL models that are shown with filled blue (CM5B-LR) and green (CM5A-MR) squares.



**Fig. 7.** Contribution to  $(a \times \delta T_{\text{pole}} + b \times \delta T_{\text{trop}})$  for each model, for PI-LGM and RCP-PI: in red  $a \times \delta T_{\text{trop}}$ , in blue  $b \times \delta T_{\text{pole}}$ .

illustrates the stronger influence of the change in tropical temperatures for the RCP case, and the near balance between the two terms for the LGM case, except for the CNRM and GISS models.

## 5 Summary, discussion and perspectives

In this work, we have examined the behaviour of the southern westerlies for two contrasting climate changes compared to the pre-industrial conditions: the Last Glacial Maximum climate and the stabilised part of the RCP4.5 future scenario. Because these climates are characterised by a radiative forcing of similar amplitude from PI to RCP and from LGM to PI, an a priori guess would have been to obtain a similar behaviour of the jet stream: since the jet streams had been shown to consistently move poleward under increased atmospheric greenhouse gas conditions, the guess was for a poleward shift from the LGM to the PI conditions as well.

We analysed the results of the 6 models for which LGM, PI and RCP4.5 simulations were available (in spring 2012) in the CMIP5 database. All models indeed show a poleward shift of the jet stream under the RCP conditions. The additional RCP simulations analysed in Sect. 4 also show this poleward shift. However, in the case of the LGM to PI evolution (i.e. warming due to the deglaciation), three models

show a modest equatorward shift of the westerlies, while the other three show a poleward shift. A comparison with the dominant modes of variability in the PI simulation shows that the future changes project strongly on the first mode, the SAM, indicating that the jet shift dominates the response. There is, however, no such systematic relationship in the LGM to PI case.

An explanation for this a priori paradoxical behaviour of the LGM jet stream is found in the latitude-height structure of the temperature anomaly field. In the RCP case, the upper tropospheric meridional temperature gradient strengthens due to both an upper-tropospheric tropical warming and a polar stratospheric cooling. This behaviour is also found in the evolution from LGM to PI, but an additional factor comes into play: from LGM to PI, the three models which simulate an equatorward shift of the westerlies are those who simulate a strong warming in the lower troposphere over and around Antarctica. This could be due to the decreased altitude of the Antarctic ice sheet, even though this decrease is strong only over West Antarctica, and to possible sea ice cover changes between the LGM and PI states.

To assess the importance of the two factors, i.e. the tropical temperature changes vs. the lower troposphere high-latitude temperature changes, we have quantified their correlation with the jet stream latitudinal position. As expected, good correlations are obtained between the jet stream shift and the tropical temperature changes for the RCP case, while the best correlation is obtained with the lower troposphere high-latitude temperature changes in the LGM case. This can be expressed in a unified manner by performing a regression analysis between the jet stream shift and a combination of both these temperature changes.

This work therefore shows that in terms of the southern westerlies, contrary to theoretical expectations, the LGM to PI evolution does not necessarily resemble the PI to future one. Altitude changes of the Antarctic ice sheet probably play a large role, as well as Southern Ocean sea ice changes which could cause strong surface temperature changes. A perspective to this work is to test the impact of each of these changes with several models. This is the only way by which we will be able to know which factor is most important. As seen in the introduction, if the westerlies are so sensitive to changes in the altitude of the Antarctic ice sheet, there are strong implications for the understanding of the LGM climate and atmosphere–ocean CO<sub>2</sub> exchanges. Reconstructing the past evolution of the Antarctic ice sheet is a very difficult task, as shown by the differences between the two available reconstructions (cf. <https://pmip3.lscce.ipsl.fr/wiki/lib/exe/fetch.php/pmip3:design:21k:icesheet:gaa5.pdf> and corresponding discussion on <https://pmip3.lscce.ipsl.fr/wiki/doku.php/pmip3:design:21k:icesheet:index>). Our work shows that LGM to PI Antarctic ice sheet altitude changes could have a profound impact on the Southern Hemisphere westerlies and that the differences related to the different reconstructions should be

investigated further. This has also implications for the future evolution of the westerlies, since at present, no model takes the ice sheet evolution into account but if the Antarctic ice sheet evolves, this could also have an impact on the southern westerlies.

*Acknowledgements.* We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme's Working Group on Coupled Modelling, which is responsible for CMIP, and we thank the climate modelling groups (listed in Sect. 2.1 of this paper) for producing and making available their model output. For CMIP the US Department of Energy's Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison provides coordinating support and led development of software infrastructure in partnership with the Global Organization for Earth System Science Portals. We also thank the team led by S. Denvil at IPSL for their efficient CMIP5/PMIP3 data management.

Edited by: C. Brierley



The publication of this article is financed by CNRS-INSU.

## References

- Banderas, R., Álvarez-Solas, J., and Montoya, M.: Role of CO<sub>2</sub> and Southern Ocean winds in glacial abrupt climate change, *Clim. Past*, 8, 1011–1021, doi:10.5194/cp-8-1011-2012, 2012.
- Berger, A. L.: Long-term variations of daily insolation and Quaternary climatic changes, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 35, 2362–2367, 1978.
- Bopp, L., Kohfeld, K., Le Quere, C., and Aumont, O.: Dust impact on marine biota and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> during glacial periods, *Paleoceanography*, 18, 1046, doi:10.1029/2002PA000810, 2003.
- Bouttes, N., Paillard, D., Roche, D. M., Waelbroeck, C., Kageyama, M., Laurantou, A., Michel, E., and Bopp, L.: Impact of oceanic processes on the carbon cycle during the last termination, *Clim. Past*, 8, 149–170, doi:10.5194/cp-8-149-2012, 2012.
- Braconnot, P., Otto-Bliesner, B., Harrison, S., Joussaume, S., Peterchmitt, J.-Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Crucifix, M., Driesschaert, E., Fichet, Th., Hewitt, C. D., Kageyama, M., Kitoh, A., Laîné, A., Loutre, M.-F., Marti, O., Merkel, U., Ramstein, G., Valdes, P., Weber, S. L., Yu, Y., and Zhao, Y.: Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum – Part 1: experiments and large-scale features, *Clim. Past*, 3, 261–277, doi:10.5194/cp-3-261-2007, 2007.
- Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Kageyama, M., Bartlein, P. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Abe-Ouchi, A., Otto-Bliesner, B., and Zhao, Y.: Evaluation of climate models using palaeoclimatic data, *Nature Climate Change*, 2, 417–424, doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE1456, 2012.
- Butler, A. H., Thompson, D. W. J., and Heikes, R.: The Steady-State Atmospheric Circulation Response to Climate Change-like Ther-

- mal Forcings in a Simple General Circulation Model, *J. Climate*, 23, 3474–3496, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3228.1, 2010.
- Dallenbach, A., Blunier, T., Fluckiger, J., Stauffer, B., Chappellaz, J., and Raynaud, D.: Changes in the atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> gradient between Greenland and Antarctica during the Last Glacial and the transition to the Holocene, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 1005–1008, 2000.
- Flückiger, J., Dällenbach, A., Blunier, T., Stauffer, B., Stocker, T. F., Raynaud, D., and Barnola, J.-M.: Variations in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O concentration during abrupt climatic changes, *Science*, 285, 227–230, 1999.
- Fyfe, J. C. and Lorenz, D. J.: Characterizing Midlatitude Jet Variability: Lessons from a Simple GCM, *J. Climate*, 18, 3400–3404, doi:10.1175/JCLI3486.1, 2005.
- Held, I. M. and Suarez, M. J.: A Proposal for the Intercomparison of the Dynamical Cores of Atmospheric General Circulation Models, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 75, 1825–1830, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1994)075<1825:APFTIO>2.0.CO;2, 1994.
- Hourdin, F., Foujols, M.-A., Codron, F., Guemas, V., Dufresne, J.-L., Bony, S., Denvil, S., Guez, L., Lott, F., Ghattas, J., Braconnot, P., Marti, O., Meurdesoif, Y., and Bopp, L.: Impact of the LMDZ atmospheric grid configuration on the climate and sensitivity of the IPSL-CM5A coupled model, *Clim. Dynam.*, online first, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1411-3, 2012.
- Kidston, J., Dean, S. M., Renwick, J. A., and Vallis, G. K.: A robust increase in the eddy length scale in the simulation of future climates, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L03806, doi:10.1029/2009GL041615, 2010.
- Kidston, J., Vallis, G. K., Dean, S. M., and Renwick, J. A.: Can the Increase in the Eddy Length Scale under Global Warming Cause the Poleward Shift of the Jet Streams?, *J. Climate*, 24, 3764–3780, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3738.1, 2011.
- Kuhlbrodt, T., Griesel, A., Montoya, M., Levermann, A., Hofmann, M., and Rahmstorf, S.: On the driving processes of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation, *Rev. Geophys.*, 45, RG2001, doi:10.1029/2004RG000166, 2007.
- Le Quéré, C., Rödenbeck, C., Buitenhuis, E. T., Conway, T. J., Langenfelds, R., Gomez, A., Labuschagne, C., Ramonet, M., Nakazawa, T., Metzl, N., Gillett, N., and Heimann, M.: Saturation of the Southern Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Sink Due to Recent Climate Change, *Science*, 316, 1735–1738, 2007.
- Lenton, A., Codron, F., Bopp, L., Metzl, N., Cadule, P., Tagliabue, A., and Le Sommer, J.: Stratospheric ozone depletion reduces ocean carbon uptake and enhances ocean acidification, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L12606, doi:10.1029/2009GL038227, 2009.
- Lorenz, D. J. and DeWeaver, E. T.: Tropopause height and zonal wind response to global warming in the IPCC scenario integrations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D10119, doi:10.1029/2006JD008087, 2007.
- Lorenz, D. J. and Hartmann, D. L.: Eddy–Zonal Flow Feedback in the Southern Hemisphere, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 58, 3312–3327, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2001)058<3312:EZFFIT>2.0.CO;2, 2001.
- Marshall, J. and Speer, K.: Closure of the meridional overturning circulation through Southern Ocean upwelling, *Nat. Geosci.*, 5, 171–180, doi:10.1038/ngeo1391, 2012.
- Monnin, E., Indermuhle, A., Dallenbach, A., Fluckiger, J., Stauffer, B., Stocker, T. F., Raynaud, D., and Barnola, J.-M.: Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over the last glacial termination, *Science*, 291, 112–114, 2001.
- Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Joussaume, S., Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., and Abe-Ouchi, A.: Modeling and Data Syntheses of Past Climates, *EOS T. Am. Geophys. Un.*, 90, p. 93, 2009.
- Peltier, W. R.: Global glacial isostasy and the surface of the ice-age earth: The ICE-5G (VM2) Model and GRACE, *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sc.*, 32, 111–149, doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.32.082503.144359, 2004.
- Perlwitz, J., Pawson, S., Fogt, R. L., Nielsen, J. E., and Neff, W. D.: Impact of stratospheric ozone hole recovery on Antarctic climate, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L08714, doi:10.1029/2008GL033317, 2008.
- Putnam, A. E., Denton, G. H., Schaefer, J. M., David, J. A., Barrell, D. J. A., Andersen, B. G., Finkel, R. C., Schwartz, R., Doughty, A. M., Kaplan, M. R., and Schlüchter, C.: Glacier advance in southern middle-latitudes during the Antarctic Cold Reversal, *Nat. Geosci.*, 3, 700–704, 2010.
- Rivière, G.: A dynamical interpretation of the poleward shift of the jet streams in global warming scenarios, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 68, 1253–1272, doi:10.1175/2011JAS3641.1, 2011.
- Rojas, M., Moreno, P., Kageyama, M., Crucifix, M., Hewitt, C., Abe-Ouchi, A., Ohgaito, R., Brady, E. C., and Hope, P.: The Southern Westerlies during the last glacial maximum in PMIP2 simulations, *Clim. Dynam.*, 32, 525–548, doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0421-7, 2009.
- Russell, J. L., Dixon, K. W., Gnanadesikan, A., Stouffer, R. J., and Toggweiler, J. R.: The southern hemisphere westerlies in a warming world: propping open the door to the deep ocean, *J. Climate*, 19, 6392–6390, 2006a.
- Russell, J. L., Stouffer, R. J., and Dixon, K. W.: Intercomparison of the southern ocean circulations in IPCC coupled model control simulations, *J. Climate*, 19, 4560–4575, 2006b.
- Sijp, W. P. and England, M. H.: The effect of a northward shift in the southern hemisphere westerlies on the global ocean, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 79, 1–19, 2008.
- Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 93, 485–498, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1, 2012.
- Toggweiler, J. R., Russell, J. L., and Carson, S. R.: Mid-latitude westerlies, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, and climate change during the ice ages, *Paleoceanography*, 21, PA2005, doi:10.1029/2005PA001154, 2006.
- Wilcox, L. J., Charlton-Perez, A. J., and Gray, L. J.: Trends in Austral jet position in ensembles of high- and low-top CMIP5 models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D13115, doi:10.1029/2012JD017597, 2012.
- Wunsch, C.: What Is the Thermohaline Circulation?, *Science*, 298, 1179–1181, 2002.
- Yin, J. H.: A consistent poleward shift of the storm-tracks in the simulations of the 21st century climate, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L18701, doi:10.1029/2005GL023684, 2005.