Biogeosciences, 12, 6781–6789, 2015
www.biogeosciences.net/12/6781/2015/
doi:10.5194/bg-12-6781-2015

Biogeosciences Open Access EGU

Chlorophyll *a*-specific $\Delta^{14}C$, $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values in stream periphyton: implications for aquatic food web studies

N. F. Ishikawa[1], M. Yamane[1,2], H. Suga[1], N. O. Ogawa[1], Y. Yokoyama[1,2], and N. Ohkouchi[1]

[1]Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, 2-15 Natsushima-cho, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan
[2]Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8564, Japan

Correspondence to: N. F. Ishikawa (naoto.f.ishikawa@gmail.com)

Received: 20 May 2015 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 16 July 2015
Revised: 5 November 2015 – Accepted: 10 November 2015 – Published: 26 November 2015

Abstract. Periphytic algae attached to a streambed substrate (periphyton) are an important primary producer in stream ecosystems. We determined the isotopic composition of chlorophyll *a* in periphyton collected from a stream flowing on limestone bedrock in the Seri River, central Japan. Stable isotope ratios of carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) and natural radiocarbon abundances ($\Delta^{14}C$) were measured in chlorophyll *a* ($\delta^{13}C_{chl}$, $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ and $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$) and bulk ($\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$, $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ and $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$) for periphyton, a pure aquatic primary producer (*Cladophora* sp.) and a terrestrial primary producer (*Quercus glauca*). Periphyton $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ values did not necessarily correspond to $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ for an algal-grazing specialist (*Epeorus latifolium*). Periphyton $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ values (−258 ‰ in April and −190 ‰ in October) were slightly lower than $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ values (−228 ‰ in April and −179 ‰ in October) but were close to the $\Delta^{14}C$ value for dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC; -217 ± 31 ‰), which is a mixture of weathered carbonates ($\Delta^{14}C = -1000$ ‰), CO_2 derived from aquatic and terrestrial organic matters (variable $\Delta^{14}C$) and dissolved atmospheric CO_2 ($\Delta^{14}C$ approximately +30 ‰ in 2013). $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ values were also close to $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ for *E. latifolium* (−215 ‰ in April and −199 ‰ in October) and *Cladophora* sp. (−210 ‰), whereas the $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ value for *Q. glauca* (+27 ‰) was closer to $\Delta^{14}C$ for atmospheric CO_2. Although the bulk isotopic composition of periphyton is recognised as a surrogate for the photosynthetic algal community, natural periphyton is a mixture of aquatic and terrestrial organic materials. Our results indicate that the bulk periphyton matrix at the study site consists of 89 to 95 % algal carbon (derived from ^{14}C-depleted DIC) and 5 to 11 % terrestrial organic carbon (derived from ^{14}C-enriched atmospheric CO_2).

1 Introduction

The bioavailable energy in a natural ecosystem often originates from not only in situ photoautotrophs but also resources produced in other ecosystems. In most freshwater ecosystems (e.g. streams), periphytic algae attached to a substrate (periphyton) play an important role as benthic primary producers (Allan and Castillo, 2007). Terrestrial material (e.g. leaf detritus) is another resource for animals, especially in small headwater streams (Vannote et al., 1980). Although the relative importance of aquatic and terrestrial resources for food webs is a major concern in stream ecology (Vannote et al., 1980; Junk et al., 1989; Thorp and Delong, 1994), the energy flow from periphyton to animal consumers has not yet been adequately assessed, because few studies have traced algal signatures through trophic pathways. In stream food webs, macroinvertebrates are the dominant animal consumers, and observation of their gut contents is a direct measure that can be used to trace energy flow (Winemiller, 1990; Hall et al., 2000). However, the diets of stream macroinvertebrates are sometimes too diverse to identify, and are not necessarily identical to what they actually assimilate (Whitledge and Rabeni, 1997; Finlay, 2001).

The stable isotope ratios of carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) have contributed to food web research over the last 40 years (after DeNiro and Epstein, 1978; Minagawa and Wada, 1984). In stream ecosystems, environmental heterogeneity within a small area (e.g. habitat variability in terms of light or flow regimes) is reflected in variations in periphyton $\delta^{13}C$ (Ishikawa et al., 2012a), which often makes it difficult to estimate the relative importance of aquatic (e.g. periphyton) and terrestrial (e.g. leaf detritus) resources for

macroinvertebrates (Finlay et al., 1999; Zah et al., 2001; Doi et al., 2007; Dekar et al., 2009).

Recently, periphyton and terrestrial leaf detritus have been distinguished using natural radiocarbon abundances ($\Delta^{14}C$). Periphyton $\Delta^{14}C$ is often derived from aged carbon reservoirs, such as bedrocks and soils, and is relatively low compared to terrestrial leaf detritus that reflects the $\Delta^{14}C$ value for modern atmospheric CO_2. Macroinvertebrate and fish $\Delta^{14}C$ values lie between those for periphyton and leaf detritus, indicating that $\Delta^{14}C$ can be used to estimate the energy flow in stream food webs (Ishikawa et al., 2014b). Although bulk $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values imply that the periphyton is isotopically identical to periphytic algae, it is actually a mixture of algae, heterotrophic fungi and bacteria, together with the exopolymeric substances exuded by these organisms, protozoa, small metazoa and other non-living particulate organic materials (Cross et al., 2005). All of these components may originate from different sources and have unique $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values (Hladyz et al., 2011; Ishikawa et al., 2012b; Imberger et al., 2014; Fellman et al., 2015). Therefore, the algal and non-algal taxonomic compositions of the periphyton community potentially influence its bulk isotopic composition.

Because the densities of living algae and non-algal materials (e.g. leaf detritus or animal remains) usually differ, algae and other materials in periphyton are sometimes separated by centrifuging slurry washed from stream cobbles or rocks (Hamilton and Lewis, 1992; Small et al., 2011). However, the density-separation method does not often work well when the non-algal fraction contains large amounts of dead algae, and these two components are barely distinguishable even under a microscope (Finlay, 2004). The $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values for bulk periphyton and its potential carbon sources (e.g. particulate organic carbon: POC; dissolved organic carbon: DOC; and dissolved inorganic carbon: DIC) can be used to separate the algal carbon fraction from the non-algal carbon fraction (Fellman et al., 2015), although it is still difficult to quantitatively and directly estimate the relative abundances of the aquatic (i.e. algae) and terrestrial (i.e. leaf detritus) carbon fractions in periphyton based on their bulk isotopic compositions.

To assess the accuracy of using the bulk isotopic composition of periphyton to represent that of aquatic primary producers, we used an algal biomarker found in the periphyton matrix. Chlorophylls are the ubiquitous antenna pigments of the photoautotrophs, and the chlorophyll *a* concentration, in particular, has been used as an indicator of in situ primary production because it is immediately degraded in the inactive state (Carpenter et al., 1986; Amir-Shapira et al., 1987). Several previous studies have successfully used the $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values for chlorophyll *a* and its derivatives to understand modern environments or reconstruct palaeoenvironments (e.g. Hayes et al., 1987; Sachs et al., 1999; Ohkouchi et al., 2005, 2008; Kusch et al., 2010; Tyler et al., 2010; Higgins et al., 2012).

In this study, differences in the $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values of chlorophyll *a* ($\delta^{13}C_{chl}$, $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ and $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$) and bulk ($\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$, $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ and $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$) for periphyton were compared to distinguish aquatic (i.e. algae) and terrestrial (i.e. leaf detritus) carbon fractions in the periphyton community. Because the $\Delta^{14}C$ value is internally corrected by its $\delta^{13}C$ (Stuiver and Polach, 1977), $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ does not depend on the isotopic fractionation that occurs during algal photosynthesis and chlorophyll *a* biosynthesis. Therefore, the $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ value for periphyton should reflect that for photosynthetic autotrophs (i.e. primary producers), and can be used as a proxy for aquatic carbon for animals at higher trophic levels of the food web. The $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ values for periphyton, DIC and an algal-grazing specialist were compared to identify trophic transfers of carbon. Pure primary producers (i.e. aquatic algae and terrestrial plants) were used to assess the potential differences in $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values between chlorophyll *a* and bulk cells.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study site and sample collection

In April and October 2013, field sampling was undertaken at Kawachi in the upland of the Seri River (watershed area = 30 km^2; 35°15′ N, 136°20′ E in Shiga Prefecture, central Japan), which flows into Lake Biwa, the largest lake in Japan. The reach of the river studied flows over limestone–basalt bedrock (dominated by cobbles) and contains different light and flow environments. It has a slope of 1 to 2 % and was 10 to 15 m wide, 10 to 40 cm deep and 250 m in altitude. The dominant riparian trees are from the family Fagaceae and Taxodiaceae (higher plants with C_3 photosynthesis). Further details of this site and the DIC $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values have been reported in Ishikawa et al. (2012b).

We randomly collected several submerged cobbles from various habitats (e.g. open/shaded and riffle/pool), which were rinsed gently with distilled water before the periphyton was removed from the cobble surface with a brush and distilled water. The resulting slurry was placed in a 100 mL polypropylene bottle, which was frozen until further processing. As reference samples of pure aquatic and terrestrial primary producers, a filamentous green alga, *Cladophora* sp., and several fresh leaves from the Japanese blue oak, *Quercus glauca*, were collected in April. Several individuals of the mayfly larva, *Epeorus latifolium*, were collected by hand in both April and October. The larvae of *E. latifolium* have highly specialised mouths for grazing (Takemon, 2005), and their amino acid $\delta^{15}N$ values indicate that they are algal-grazing specialists (Ishikawa et al., 2014a).

2.2 Laboratory sample processing

All samples were lyophilised with a freeze drier (FDU-1200, Eyela, Tokyo, Japan) in the dark. The gut contents

of *E. latifolium* larvae were removed prior to lyophilisation. The periphyton samples were ground to a fine powder with a mortar and pestle, after all large invertebrates (e.g. chironomids) had been manually removed. *Cladophora* sp. and *Q. glauca* were ground with a vibrating mill (TI-100, CMT, Fukushima, Japan). The periphyton, *Cladophora* sp. and *Q. glauca* samples were split into two vials for bulk and compound-specific isotope analyses. The vials for the bulk periphyton and *Cladophora* sp. were treated overnight with 1 M HCl solution to remove any carbonate; they were then washed and lyophilised again. The algal community in periphyton previously collected from the same site (November 2008) and the gut contents of *E. latifolium* were observed under a microscope.

Chlorophyll a was extracted using the modification of the method of Chikaraishi et al. (2005, 2007). Briefly, the powdered periphyton, *Cladophora* sp. and *Q. glauca* were sonicated in 100 % acetone at 0 °C for 15 min, followed by liquid–liquid (water : n-hexane = 3 : 1, v/v) extraction, with NaCl salting out to remove the lipids. The n-hexane layer was extracted and dried with a stream of argon, and the precipitate (i.e. pigments) was dissolved in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) after filtration using a syringe (0.50 mm × 25 mm; Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a filter (4 mm × 0.2 µm PTFE, 100 pk; Grace Dawson Discovery Science, Maryland, USA) to remove any remaining particles. The laboratory standard for chlorophyll a was bought commercially (lot DCL2671; Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan) and the standard for phaeophytin a was made by adding 1 M HCl solution to the chlorophyll a standard. Absorption spectra of our laboratory standards were consistent with those reported in the literature (Chikaraishi et al., 2007; Tyler et al., 2010).

The pigments in DMF were introduced into a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) apparatus (1260 series; Agilent Technologies, California, USA), comprising a G4225A degasser, a G1312B binary pump, a G1367E autosampler, a G1316C column oven, a G1315D diode-array detector and a G1364C fraction collector. All solvents were better than HPLC grade (Wako Pure Chemical Industries). A Zorbax XDB C18 column (5 µm/4.6 × 250 mm; Agilent Technologies) and an XDB C18 guard column (5 µm/4.6 × 12.5 mm) were used in the first purification step. In the first step, the solvent gradient programme was as follows: acetonitrile : ethyl acetate : pyridine = 75 : 25 : 0.5 ($v/v/v$) held for 5 min, then gradually changed to 50 : 50 : 0.5 ($v/v/v$) in 55 min. The flow rate of the mobile phase was 1.00 mL min^{-1}. The column oven was set at 30 °C. We identified chlorophyll a and phaeophytin a based on their retention times and UV–visible spectral patterns, compared with those of laboratory standards (Fig. S3).

The purified chlorophyll a and phaeophytin a were collected using the fraction collector, and were dried with a stream of argon. Because phaeophytin a was more abundant than chlorophyll a in the April sample, we purified phaeophytin a together with chlorophyll a and combined them for the isotope measurements. The C and N isotopic compositions of phaeophytin a are theoretically identical to those of chlorophyll a, because phaeophytin a is an early degradation product of chlorophyll a, and no C or N atoms are replaced in this step. Each fraction was dissolved in DMF and re-introduced into the HPLC apparatus. A PAH column (5 µm/4.6 × 250 mm, Agilent Technologies) and a PAH guard column (5 µm/4.6 × 12.5 mm) were used in the second purification step. In the second step, the solvent gradient programme was as follows: acetonitrile : ethyl acetate : pyridine = 80 : 20 : 0.5 ($v/v/v$) held for 5 min, then gradually changed to 0 : 100 : 0.5 ($v/v/v$) in 35 min. The flow rate of the mobile phase was 1.00 mL min^{-1}. The column oven was set at 15 °C. After the second step, the fractions of chlorophyll a and phaeophytin a were dried and washed with water : n-hexane (3 : 1, v/v). The n-hexane layer was carefully extracted, dried again and frozen until the isotope measurements were made. The abundances of chlorophyll a and phaeophytin a were estimated using conversion formulae between the absorbance at 660 nm and the dry weights of the laboratory standards. The dried chlorophyll a and phaeophytin a were dissolved in dichloromethane and then transferred to tin capsules for δ^{13}C and δ^{15}N measurements or to quartz tubes for Δ^{14}C measurements. The tin capsules and quartz tubes were dried again prior to measurements.

2.3 δ^{13}C, δ^{15}N and Δ^{14}C measurements

The stable isotope ratios of carbon (δ^{13}C) and nitrogen (δ^{15}N) for bulk and chlorophyll a from periphyton, *Cladophora* sp. and *Q. glauca* samples and those for bulk *E. latifolium* samples were measured with an elemental analyser (Flash EA1112) coupled to a Delta XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) with a Conflo III interface (Thermo Fisher Scientific) modified for ultra-small-scale isotope measurements (Ogawa et al., 2010). The δ^{13}C and δ^{15}N values are reported relative to those for Vienna Pee Dee belemnite (VPDB) and atmospheric N_2 (AIR), respectively. Data were corrected using two internal standards (tyrosine: $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB} = -20.50\,‰ \pm 0.13\,‰$, $\delta^{15}N_{AIR} = 8.44\,‰ \pm 0.05\,‰$; nickel octaethylporphyrin: $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB} = -34.17\,‰ \pm 0.06\,‰$, $\delta^{15}N_{AIR} = 0.86 \pm 0.03\,‰$), which had been corrected against multiple international standards (Tayasu et al., 2011). The 1σ analytical precision for both δ^{13}C and δ^{15}N measurements was within 0.2 ‰ for bulk and within 0.9 ‰ for chlorophyll a.

Samples for Δ^{14}C measurements were graphitised by the modified methods of Kitagawa et al. (1993) and Yokoyama et al. (2010). Briefly, the bulk samples (approximately 1 mg C) and chlorophyll a samples (90 to 617 µg C) were combusted in an evacuated quartz tube with copper oxide at 500 °C for



Figure 1. The $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$, $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ values (closed symbols) and the $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$, $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ values (open symbols) for periphyton (diamonds), *Cladophora* sp. (aquatic primary producer, circle), *Q. glauca* (terrestrial primary producer, square) and *E. latifolium* (algal grazer, triangles). DIC: dissolved inorganic carbon; DOC: dissolved organic carbon; POC: particulate organic carbon. * Data from Ishikawa et al. (2012b, 2015).

30 min and at 850 °C for 2 h. The CO_2 gas was cryogenically purified in a vacuum line and reduced to graphite with hydrogen and an iron catalyst at 550 °C for 10 h. The Δ^{14}C values for the bulk samples and chlorophyll *a* samples were measured with an accelerator mass spectrometer (AMS) at the Institute of Accelerator Analysis (Kanagawa, Japan; AMS lab code IAAA) and at the Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo (Chiba, Japan; AMS lab code YAUT), respectively. The Δ^{14}C (‰) value was defined as follows (Stuiver and Polach, 1977):

$$\Delta^{14}C(‰) = \delta^{14}C - 2(\delta^{13}C + 25)(1 + \delta^{14}C/1000). \quad (1)$$

The Δ^{14}C value of the international standard (oxalic acid) takes into account radioactive decay since 1950 (Stuiver and Polach, 1977). The 1σ analytical precision of the Δ^{14}C measurements was within 3 ‰ for bulk and 8 ‰ for chlorophyll *a*. The HPLC procedural blank for carbon (e.g. potential contamination by column breeding), assessed with an elemental analyser, was below the detection limit (< 0.177 µg C), which represents less than 0.2 % carbon in the purified chlorophyll *a* molecules used for the AMS measurement.

To determine the carbon transfer pathway in this stream ecosystem, the δ^{13}C and Δ^{14}C values for all samples were compared with those for DIC, DOC and POC collected at the same site in the Seri River in 2009 to 2010 (Ishikawa et al., 2012b, 2015).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Sample observations

Microscopic observations show that diatoms and cyanobacteria are the dominant photoautotrophs in the periphyton community at the study site (Fig. S1). Both the periphyton and gut contents of *E. latifolium* consisted of not only algal cells but also amorphous and unidentified particles (Fig. S2). The exuvium of small invertebrates (approximately 500 µm) was found in the periphyton matrix (Fig. S2a), the isotopic composition of which would have differed from that of pure algae. The UV–visible spectra show different compositions of photosynthetic pigments between April and October. Chlorophyll *a* (Mw, 892.5) and phaeophytin *a* (Mw, 870.6; the Mg atom is replaced by two H atoms in the centre of the tetrapyrrole ring of the chlorophyll *a* molecule) were the dominant pigments in the periphyton matrix in both April and October (Fig. S3). The combined abundance of chlorophyll *a* and phaeophytin *a* per unit dry weight was greater in October than in April, indicating that the algal biomass of the periphyton community was greater in October than in April (Table S2).

3.2 ^{13}C composition

The periphyton $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ values were −20.7 and −20.0 ‰, respectively, in April, and −26.2 and −25.2 ‰, respectively, in October (Fig. 1). The algal-grazer *E. latifolium* $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ values were −26.6 and −26.5 ‰ in April and October (Fig. 1), respectively. In October, $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ values for periphyton were close to the *E. latifolium* $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ value. In contrast, neither the periphyton $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$

nor $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ value was close to the *E. latifolium* $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ value in April. This is partly because the periphyton $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ values vary from −32 to −16 ‰ among the stream habitats (e.g. open/shaded and riffle/pool) of this study site owing to the variable isotopic fractionation between DIC and algae (Ishikawa et al., 2012b). Such a large variation in periphyton $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ values on a small spatial scale may cause inconsistency between the $\delta^{13}C$ values for periphyton (primary producers) and *E. latifolium* (primary consumers).

A mismatch between the $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ values for periphyton and grazers is often observed (Dekar et al., 2009), although ^{13}C is not enriched through the trophic levels (Vander Zanden and Rasmussen, 2001). There are four independent scenarios that explain our $\delta^{13}C$ results. Firstly, *E. latifolium* assimilates the ^{13}C-depleted fraction in periphyton. Secondly, *E. latifolium* assimilates the terrestrial organic matter, which is more ^{13}C-depleted than the periphyton. Thirdly, the periphyton $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ values varied by 6 ‰, whereas the *E. latifolium* $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ values did not change greatly between April and October, suggesting that primary consumers integrate temporal fluctuations in the $\delta^{13}C$ values for primary producers. Finally, the $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ value is not a reliable proxy for the $\delta^{13}C$ of bulk algae, because the $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ value is affected by the isotopic fractionation that occurs during chlorophyll *a* biosynthesis. To provide a more precise estimate of algal carbon, the $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ signature is useful because it is corrected for isotopic fractionation by $\delta^{13}C$ in Eq. (1) (Stuiver and Polach, 1977).

$\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ values were −23.0 and −24.7 ‰, respectively, for *Cladophora* sp. and −30.9 and −32.0 ‰, respectively, for *Q. glauca* (Fig. 1). The $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ value for primary producers is controlled by the $\delta^{13}C$ value for their carbon source (i.e. DIC for *Cladophora* sp. and atmospheric CO_2 for *Q. glauca*) and by internal isotopic fractionation between bulk cells and chlorophyll *a* molecules. Sachs et al. (1999) reported that $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ values for a cultivated green alga *Dunaliella tertiolecta* were 0.5 to 4.0 ‰ lower than those for their bulk cells, which is consistent with our *Cladophora* sp. data. Chikaraishi et al. (2005) reported the same $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ value (−30.9 ‰) for the fresh leaves of the Mongolian oak *Q. mongolica* as for our *Q. glauca* data. In contrast, in this study, the *Q. glauca* $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ value (−32.0 ‰) was lower than that for *Q. mongolica* (−29.2 ‰) reported in Chikaraishi et al. (2005).

3.3 ^{15}N composition

The periphyton $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ values were −5.7 and −1.5 ‰, respectively, in April, and −1.7 and +0.5 ‰, respectively, in October (Fig. 1). The algal-grazer *E. latifolium* $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ values (−3.9 ‰ in April and +1.4 ‰ in October) were 1.8 to 2.9 ‰ higher than the periphyton $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ values. The $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ values were −4.3 and −6.0 ‰, respectively, for *Cladophora* sp. and −0.8 and −0.2 ‰, respectively, for *Q. glauca* (Fig. 1). Sachs et al. (1999) reported that



Figure 2. Biplot of $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ data. Carbonate rocks in the Seri River ($\delta^{13}C = +3.9 \pm 0.3$ ‰ and $\Delta^{14}C = -1000$ ‰; Ishikawa et al., 2015) and atmospheric CO_2 ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ are approximately −8 and +30 ‰, respectively, (in 2013) are also shown as endmembers.

the $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ values were 2 to 9 ‰ lower than the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ values for phytoplankton because of the isotopic fractionation that occurs during chlorophyll *a* biosynthesis. Kennicutt et al. (1992), on the other hand, reported that the $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ values were relatively close to the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ values for terrestrial C_3 plants. Therefore, the relationships between $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ values for *Cladophora* sp. and *Q. glauca* are consistent with those reported in previous studies. In contrast, the periphyton $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ values were 2.2 to 4.2 ‰ higher than their $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ values. This result might be attributable to the presence of cyanobacteria (e.g. *Oscillatoria* sp. or *Homoeothrix* sp., Fig. S1) in the periphyton community, because the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ values for cyanobacteria are usually different from those for algae (Beaumont et al., 2000).

3.4 ^{14}C composition

The $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values for DIC at the same study site in the Seri River have been reported previously as -7.2 ± 0.2 and -217 ± 30.7 ‰, respectively (four-season mean ± SD, N = 16; Ishikawa et al., 2012b; Figs. 1, 2). These values are balanced by the mixing of weathered carbonates ($\delta^{13}C = +3.9 \pm 0.3$ and $\Delta^{14}C = -1000$ ‰), dissolved atmospheric CO_2 ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ are approximately −8 and +30 ‰, respectively, in 2013) and mineralised organic materials (DOC: $\delta^{13}C = -24.2 \pm 2.9$ ‰, $\Delta^{14}C = -248 \pm 110$ ‰; POC: $\delta^{13}C = -25.0 \pm 3.4$ ‰, $\Delta^{14}C = -109 \pm 52$ ‰; four-season mean ± SD, N = 4 for each fraction) at the study site (Ishikawa et al., 2015; Figs. 1, 2).

The periphyton $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ and $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ values (mean of the repeated measurements ± 1σ analytical precision) were -228 ± 2.3 and -258 ± 4.8 ‰, respectively, in April, and -179 ± 2.2 and -190 ± 6.1 ‰, respectively, in October, showing that chlorophyll *a* is slightly depleted in ^{14}C rel-



Figure 3. Schematic view of the carbon cycle at the study site (Seri River) constrained by $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$.

ative to the bulk of the periphyton (Fig. 1). In particular, the periphyton $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ value in April was lower than the seasonal range of DIC $\Delta^{14}C$ (Fig. 1). There are two possible explanations of the periphyton $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ value in April. Firstly, periphytic algae assimilate CO_2 dissolved from the bedrock limestone at the biofilm–bedrock boundary, in addition to water column DIC. Because respiratory CO_2 and organic acids can mediate carbonate weathering (Berner et al., 1983), ^{14}C-dead (i.e. $\Delta^{14}C = -1000$ ‰) CO_2 derived from carbonates may enter the algae. Secondly, heterotrophs such as fungi and bacteria in periphyton community consume ambient DOC and release CO_2 during respiration (Fischer, 2003). The CO_2 derived from heterotrophic respiration of DOC may be another ^{14}C-depleted carbon source that is utilised by periphytic algae for photosynthesis.

The $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ and $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ values were -199 ± 2.7 and -210 ± 6.8 ‰, respectively, for *Cladophora* sp. and $+27 \pm 2.3$ and -10 ± 7.3 ‰, respectively, for *Q. glauca* (Fig. 1). The *Q. glauca* $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ value was not greatly different from the global mean $\Delta^{14}C$ value for atmospheric CO_2 in 2013 (approximately +30 ‰; Levin et al., 2013). However, chlorophyll *a* contains only 0.07 % of the carbon in bulk leaves, and *Q. glauca* synthesises chlorophyll *a* using not only atmospheric CO_2 but also aged (^{14}C-depleted) CO_2 and/or organic matter derived from other carbon sources. A candidate source is soil, as variable $\Delta^{14}C$ values for soil organic matter have been reported previously (Trumbore and Zheng, 1996; Koarashi et al., 2009). Various terrestrial plants can incorporate soil-derived carbon through their roots (Brüggemann et al., 2011; Bloemen et al., 2013). While there is no evidence that ^{14}C-depleted organic carbon is transferred from soil to plants, *Q. glauca* and probably other terrestrial plants may be able to make the chlorophyll *a* molecule using recycled phytol, as reported for *Arabidopsis* seedlings (Ischebeck et al., 2006). Chlorophyll *a* biosynthesis has multiple channels to acquire carbon, which does not necessarily originate from atmospheric CO_2. The *Q. glauca* $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ value will be different from its $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ value if *Q. glauca* collects phytol or its precursors from the soil. Future attention should be paid to plants' uptake of soil carbon in order to understand the carbon allocation in plants and the global carbon budget in the terrestrial biosphere.

To estimate the relative abundances of aquatic (e.g. algae) and terrestrial (e.g. leaf detritus) carbon fractions in periphyton bulk matrix, a separate two-source mixing model was applied to each of the April and October samples. We assumed that the periphyton $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ value (-258 in April and -190 ‰ in October) and the *Q. glauca* $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ value ($+27$ ‰ in both April and October) represent the aquatic and terrestrial endmembers, respectively. Therefore, the periphyton $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ values in April (-228 ‰) and October (-190 ‰) were explained by both seasonal variation in the aquatic endmember and relative contributions of the aquatic and terrestrial carbon fractions to the periphyton bulk matrix. The results of the mixing model show that the periphyton bulk matrix consisted of 89 (April) to 95 % (October)

aquatic carbon and 5 (October) to 11 % (April) terrestrial carbon. The *E. latifolium* $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ values (-215 ± 2.3 in April and -199 ± 2.2 ‰ in October) were within the range of the periphyton $\Delta^{14}C$ values (Fig. 1). The April *E. latifolium* $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ value was closer to the periphyton $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ value than to its $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ value, suggesting that *E. latifolium* assimilates not only ^{14}C-depleted aquatic sources but also ^{14}C-enriched terrestrial sources in April. In contrast, the October *E. latifolium* $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ value was closer to the periphyton $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ value than to its $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ value, suggesting that *E. latifolium* primarily assimilates aquatic sources in October. This seasonal variation may be attributed to the higher chlorophyll *a* abundance per unit dry weight in October, and/or to the higher terrestrial flux associated with the input of snowmelt in April.

3.5 Implications of this study

Previous studies have assumed that the isotopic compositions of bulk periphyton are identical to those of periphytic algae, without direct evidence. Regarding the identification of an aquatic baseline for stream food webs, our $\delta^{13}C_{chl}$ and $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ data indicate that the periphyton $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ and $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ values can be approximated as those for the photosynthetic algal community in periphyton (Fig. 3). However, there remain some uncertainties in our data, such as the results that the $\delta^{15}N_{chl}$ values were higher than the $\delta^{15}N_{bulk}$ values in periphyton and that the $\Delta^{14}C_{chl}$ values were slightly lower than the $\Delta^{14}C_{bulk}$ values. These results do not indicate that the isotopic compositions of bulk periphyton are completely consistent with those of algae. Bulk isotope analysis may underestimate the importance of aquatic production for stream food webs, especially in less productive streams where the terrestrial detritus is more abundant than the algae/cyanobacteria in the periphyton. On the other hand, chlorophyll *a*-specific $\Delta^{14}C$, $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values are useful tracers for precisely estimating the sources of carbon and nitrogen in stream ecosystems, in which heterogeneous resources (e.g. aquatic and terrestrial organic matters) are mixed.

Compound-specific stable isotope and radiocarbon analyses are promising tools for the precise estimation of the sources, dynamics and turnover of various organic molecules (Hayes et al., 1987; Eglinton et al., 1996; Jochmann and Schmidt, 2012; Ohkouchi et al., 2015). Chlorophyll *a* is a unique biomarker of in situ photoautotrophs and is more accurate than other biochemical compounds (e.g. lipids and amino acids) because it is immediately degraded in the inactive state (Carpenter et al., 1986; Amir-Shapira et al., 1987; Matile et al., 1996). However, a pitfall may exist in the chlorophyll *a* recycling system. Some previous studies have suggested that terrestrial plants and cyanobacteria have a salvage pathway of phytol in chlorophyll *a* biosynthesis (Ischebeck et al., 2006; Vavilin and Vermaas, 2007). The isotopic composition of chlorophyll *a* is determined by the relative contributions of de novo synthesis and the recycling system to all chlorophyll *a* molecules. These contributions can be estimated by separate measurements of the isotopic compositions of each of chlorophyll *a* and its bounded phytol (e.g. Chikaraishi et al., 2005).

The isotopic composition of chlorophyll *a* can be used in not only stream ecosystems but also coastal ecosystems, where benthic biofilms (i.e. mixtures of algae and other heterotrophs) are important food sources for invertebrates, fish and birds (Kuwae et al., 2008, 2012). Furthermore, primary production in the ocean and lakes is currently estimated using the bulk isotopic composition of particulate organic matter, which is a mixture of not only phytoplankton but also heterotrophs and other organic materials derived from various sources. The use of chlorophyll *a*-specific isotopic compositions can avoid the "mixing effect" on the estimation of in situ primary production, and can provide more precise data for biogeochemical cycling of materials and energy. We conclude that future studies should assess the degree to which $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ values differ between bulk and chlorophyll *a* in primary producers collected from multiple ecosystems.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bg-12-6781-2015-supplement.

Author contributions. N. F. Ishikawa conceived the study design and conducted fieldwork. N. F. Ishikawa and H. Suga conducted pigment purification using HPLC. N. O. Ogawa conducted $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ analyses using EA/IRMS. M. Yamane and Y. Yokoyama conducted $\Delta^{14}C$ analysis using AMS. All authors participated in discussion. N. F. Ishikawa and N. Ohkouchi wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements. We thank F. Akamatsu, Y. Kato and T. F. Haraguchi for their help with field and laboratory work; H. Nomaki for microscopic observations; K. Nozaki for the identification of algae and cyanobacteria; Y. Miyairi for technical advice on AMS; and Y. Takano and Y. Chikaraishi for discussions about this study. Three anonymous referees provided valuable comments on an early draft of the manuscript. This study was supported by the River Fund (25-1263-017) in charge of the River Foundation, Japan. N. F. Ishikawa was supported by a Research Fellowship for Young Scientists (25-1021) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Edited by: T. J. Battin

References

Allan, J. D. and Castillo, M. M.: Stream Ecology: Structure and Function of Running Waters, 2nd ed., Springer, Dordrecht, Germany, 436 pp., 2007.

Amir-Shapira, D., Goldschmidt, E. E., and Altman, A.: Chlorophyll catabolism in senescing plant tissues: In vivo breakdown intermediates suggest different degradative pathways for citrus fruit and parsley leaves, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 84, 1901–1905, 1987.

Beaumont, V. I., Jahnke, L. L., and Des Marais, D. J.: Nitrogen isotopic fractionation in the synthesis of photosynthetic pigments in *Rhodobacter capsulatus* and *Anabaena cylindrica*. Org. Geochem., 31, 1075–1085, 2000.

Berner, R. A., Lasaga, A. C., and Garrels, R. M.: The carbonate–silicate geochemical cycle and its effect on atmospheric carbon dioxide over the past 100 million years, Am. J. Sci., 283, 641–683, 1983.

Bloemen, J., McGuire, M. A., Aubrey, D. P., Teskey, R. O., and Steppe, K.: Transport of root-respired CO_2 via the transpiration stream affects aboveground carbon assimilation and CO_2 efflux in trees, New Phytol., 197, 555–565, 2013.

Brüggemann, N., Gessler, A., Kayler, Z., Keel, S. G., Badeck, F., Barthel, M., Boeckx, P., Buchmann, N., Brugnoli, E., Esperschütz, J., Gavrichkova, O., Ghashghaie, J., Gomez-Casanovas, N., Keitel, C., Knohl, A., Kuptz, D., Palacio, S., Salmon, Y., Uchida, Y., and Bahn, M.: Carbon allocation and carbon isotope fluxes in the plant-soil-atmosphere continuum: a review, Biogeosciences, 8, 3457–3489, doi:10.5194/bg-8-3457-2011, 2011.

Carpenter, S. R., Elser, M. M., and Elser, J. J.: Chlorophyll production, degradation, and sedimentation: Implications for paleolimnology, Limnol. Oceanogr., 31, 112–124, 1986.

Chikaraishi, Y., Matsumoto, K., Ogawa, N. O., Suga, H., Kitazato, H., and Ohkouchi, N.: Hydrogen, carbon and nitrogen isotopic fractionations during chlorophyll biosynthesis in C3 higher plants, Phytochemistry, 66, 911–920, 2005.

Chikaraishi, Y., Matsumoto, K., Kitazato, H., and Ohkouchi, N.: Sources and transformation processes of pheopigments: Stable carbon and hydrogen isotopic evidence from Lake Haruna, Japan, Org. Geochem., 38, 985–1001, 2007.

Cross, W. F., Benstead, J. P., Frost, P. C., and Thomas, S.A.: Ecological stoichiometry in freshwater benthic systems: recent progress and perspectives, Freshwater Biol., 50, 1895–1912, 2005.

Dekar, M. P., Magoulick, D. D., and Huxel, G. R.: Shifts in the trophic base of intermittent stream food webs, Hydrobiologia, 635, 263–277, 2009.

DeNiro, M. J. and Epstein, S.: Influence of diet on distribution of carbon isotopes in animals, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 42, 495–506, 1978.

Doi, H., Takemon, Y., Ohta, T., Ishida, Y., and Kikuchi, E.: Effects of reach-scale canopy cover on trophic pathways of caddisfly larvae in a Japanese mountain stream, Mar. Freshwater Res., 58, 811–817, 2007.

Eglinton, T. I., Aluwihare, L. I., Bauer, J. E., Druffel, E. R., and McNichol, A. P.: Gas chromatographic isolation of individual compounds from complex matrices for radiocarbon dating, Anal. Chem., 68, 904–912, 1996.

Fellman, J. B., Hood, E., Raymond, P. A., Hudson, J., Bozeman, M., and Arimitsu, M.: Evidence for the assimilation of ancient glacier organic carbon in a proglacial stream food web, Limnol. Oceanogr., 60, 1118–1128, 2015.

Finlay, J. C.: Stable-carbon-isotope ratios of river biota: Implications for energy flow in lotic food webs, Ecology, 82, 1052–1064, 2001.

Finlay, J. C.: Patterns and controls of lotic algal stable carbon isotope ratios, Limnol. Oceanogr., 49, 850–861, 2004.

Finlay, J. C., Power, M. E., and Cabana, G.: Effects of water velocity on algal carbon isotope ratios: Implications for river food web studies, Limnol. Oceanogr., 44, 1198–1203, 1999.

Fischer, H.: The role of biofilms in the uptake and transformation of dissolved organic matter, in: Aquatic ecosystems: interactivity of dissolved organic matter, edited by: Findlay, S. E. G. and Sinsabaugh, R. L., Academic Press, San Diego, 285–313, 2003.

Hall, R. O. Jr, Wallace, J. B., and Eggert, S. L.: Organic matter flow in stream food webs with reduced detrital resource base, Ecology, 81, 3445–3463, 2000.

Hamilton, S. K. and Lewis, W. M.: Stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes in algae and detritus from the Orinoco River floodplain, Venezuela, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 56, 4237–4246, 1992.

Hayes, J. M., Takigiku, R., Ocampo, R., Callot, H. J., and Albrecht, P.: Isotopic compositions and probable origins of organic molecules in the Eocene Messel shale, Nature, 329, 48–51, 1987.

Hladyz, S., Cook, R. A., Petrie, R., and Nielsen, D. L.: Influence of substratum on the variability of benthic biofilm stable isotope signatures: implications for energy flow to a primary consumer, Hydrobiologia, 664, 135–146, 2011.

Higgins, M. B., Robinson, R. S., Husson, J. M., Carter, S. J., and Pearson, A.: Dominant eukaryotic export production during ocean anoxic events reflects the importance of recycled NH_4^+, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 2269–2274, 2012.

Imberger, S. P., Grace, C. M., and Thompson, R.: Tracing carbon sources in small urbanising streams: catchment-scale stormwater drainage overwhelms the effects of reach-scale riparian vegetation, Freshwater Biol., 59, 168–186, 2014.

Ishikawa, N. F., Doi, H., and Finlay, J. C.: Global meta-analysis for controlling factors on carbon stable isotope ratios of lotic periphyton, Oecologia, 170, 541–549, 2012a.

Ishikawa, N. F., Uchida, M., Shibata, Y., and Tayasu, I.: Natural C-14 provides new data for stream food-web studies: a comparison with C-13 in multiple stream habitats, Mar. Freshwater Res., 63, 210–217, 2012b.

Ishikawa, N. F., Kato, Y., Togashi, H., Yoshimura, M., Yoshimizu, C., Okuda, N., and Tayasu, I.: Stable nitrogen isotopic composition of amino acids reveals food web structure in stream ecosystems, Oecologia, 175, 911–922, 2014a.

Ishikawa, N. F., Uchida, M., Shibata, Y., and Tayasu, I.: Carbon storage reservoirs in watersheds support stream food webs via periphyton production, Ecology, 95, 1264–1271, 2014b.

Ishikawa, N. F., Tayasu, I., Yamane, M., Yokoyama, Y., Sakai, S., and Ohkouchi, N.: Sources of dissolved inorganic carbon in two small streams with different bedrock geology: insights from carbon isotopes, Radiocarbon, 57, 439–448, 2015.

Ischebeck, T., Zbierzak, A. M., Kanwischer, M., and Dörmann, P.: A salvage pathway for phytol metabolism in *Arabidopsis*, J. Biol. Chem., 281, 2470–2477, 2006.

Jochmann, M. A. and Schmidt, T. C.: Compound-specific stable isotope analysis, Roy. Soc. Ch., 376 pp., 2012.

Junk, W. J., Bayley, P. B., and Sparks, R. E.: The flood pulse concept in river-floodplain system, in: Proceedings of the International Large River Symposium, edited by: Dodge, D. P., Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 106, 110–127, 1989.

Kennicutt, M. C. II, Bidigare, R. R., Macko, S. A., and Keeney-Kennicutt, W. L.: The stable isotopic composition of photosyn-

thetic pigments and related biochemicals, Chem. Geol., 101, 235–245, 1992.

Kitagawa, H., Masuzawa, T., Nakamura, T., and Matsumoto, E.: A batch preparation method for graphite targets with low background for AMS ^{14}C measurements, Radiocarbon, 35, 295–300, 1993.

Koarashi, J., Atarashi-Andoh, M., Ishizuka, S., Miura, S., Saito, T., and Hirai, K.: Quantitative aspects of heterogeneity in soil organic matter dynamics in a cool-temperate Japanese beech forest: a radiocarbon-based approach, Glob. Change. Biol., 15, 631–642, 2009.

Kusch, S., Kashiyama, Y., Ogawa, N. O., Altabet, M., Butzin, M., Friedrich, J., Ohkouchi, N., and Mollenhauer, G.: Implications for chloro- and pheopigment synthesis and preservation from combined compound-specific δ^{13}C, δ^{15}N, and Δ^{14}C analysis, Biogeosciences, 7, 4105–4118, doi:10.5194/bg-7-4105-2010, 2010.

Kuwae, T., Beninger, P. G., Decottignies, P., Mathot, K. J., Lund, D. R., and Elner, R. W.: Biofilm grazing in a higher vertebrate: the western sandpiper, *Calidris mauri*, Ecology, 89, 599–606, 2008.

Kuwae, T., Miyoshi, E., Hosokawa, S., Ichimi, K., Hosoya, J., Amano, T., Moriya, T., Kondoh, M., Ydenberg, R. C., and Elner, R. W.: Variable and complex food web structures revealed by exploring missing trophic links between birds and biofilm, Ecol. Lett., 15, 347–356, 2012.

Levin, I., Kromer, B., and Hammer, S.: Atmospheric $\Delta^{14}CO_2$ trend in Western European background air from 2000 to 2012, Tellus B, 65, 20092, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v65i0.20092, 2013.

Matile, P., Hortensteiner, S., Thomas, H., and Krautler, B.: Chlorophyll breakdown in senescent leaves, Plant Physiol., 112, 1403–1409, 1996.

Minagawa, M. and Wada, E.: Stepwise enrichment of ^{15}N along food chains: further evidence and the relation between δ^{15}N and animal age, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 48, 1135–1140, 1984.

Ogawa, N. O., Nagata, T., Kitazato, H., and Ohkouchi, N.: Ultrasensitive elemental analyzer/isotope ratio mass spectrometer for stable nitrogen and carbon isotope analyses, in: Earth, Life, and Isotopes, edited by: Ohkouchi, N., Tayasu, I., and Koba, K., Kyoto University Press, Kyoto, Japan, 339–353, 2010.

Ohkouchi, N., Nakajima, Y., Okada, H., Ogawa, N. O., Suga, H., Oguri, K., and Kitazato, H.: Biogeochemical processes in the saline meromictic Lake Kaiike, Japan: implications from molecular isotopic evidences of photosynthetic pigments, Environ. Microbiol., 7, 1009–1016, 2005.

Ohkouchi, N., Nakajima, Y., Ogawa, N. O., Chikaraishi, Y., Suga, H., Sakai, S., and Kitazato, H.: Carbon isotopic composition of the tetrapyrrole nucleus in chloropigments from a saline meromictic lake: A mechanistic view for interpreting the isotopic signature of alkyl porphyrins in geological samples, Org. Geochem., 39, 521–531, 2008.

Ohkouchi, N., Ogawa, N. O., Chikaraishi, Y., Tanaka, H., and Wada, E.: Biochemical and physiological bases for the use of carbon and nitrogen isotopes in environmental and ecological studies, Progr. Earth Planet. Sci., 2, 1–17, 2015.

Sachs, J. P. and Repeta, D. J.: The purification of chlorins from marine particles and sediments for nitrogen and carbon isotopic analysis, Org. Geochem., 31, 317–329, 2000.

Sachs, J. P., Repeta, D. J., and Goericke, R.: Nitrogen and carbon isotopic ratios of chlorophyll from marine phytoplankton, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 65, 1431–1441, 1999.

Small, G. E., Bixby, R. J., Kazanci, C., and Pringle, C. M.: Partitioning stoichiometric components of epilithic biofilm using mixing models, Limnol. Oceanogr. Meth., 9, 185–193, 2011.

Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. A.: Discussion: Reporting of ^{14}C data, Radiocarbon, 19, 355–363, 1977.

Takemon, Y.: Life-type concept and functional feeding groups of benthos communities as indicators of lotic ecosystem conditions, Jpn. J. Ecol., 55, 189–197, 2005 (in Japanese).

Tayasu, I., Hirasawa, R., Ogawa, N. O., Ohkouchi, N., and Yamada, K.: New organic reference materials for carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratio measurements provided by Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University and Institute of Biogeosciences, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Limnology, 12, 261–266, 2011.

Thorp, J. H. and Delong, M. D.: The riverine productivity model: an heuristic view of carbon sources and organic processing in large river ecosystems, Oikos, 70, 305–308, 1994.

Tyler, J., Kashiyama, Y., Ohkouchi, N., Ogawa, N. O., Yokoyama, Y., Chikaraishi, Y., Staff, R. A., Ikehara, M., Bronk Ramsey, C., Bryant, C., Brock, F., Gotanda, K., Haraguchi, T., Yonenobu, H., and Nakagawa, T.: Tracking aquatic change using chlorin-specific carbon and nitrogen isotopes: The last glacial–interglacial transition at Lake Suigetsu, Japan. Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 11, Q09010, doi:10.1029/2010GC003186, 2010.

Trumbore, S. and Zheng, S.: Comparison of fractionation methods for soil organic matter ^{14}C analysis, Radiocarbon, 38, 219–229, 1996.

Vander Zanden, M. J. and Rasmussen, J. B.: Variation in δ^{15}N and δ^{13}C trophic fractionation: implications for aquatic food web studies, Limnol. Oceanogr., 46, 2061–2066, 2001.

Vannote, R. L., Minshall, G. W., Cummins, K. W., Sedell, J. R., and Cushing, C. E.: The river continuum concept, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 37, 130–137, 1980.

Vavilin, D. and Vermaas, W.: Continuous chlorophyll degradation accompanied by chlorophyllide and phytol reutilization for chlorophyll synthesis in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1767, 920–929, 2007.

Winemiller, K. O.: Spatial and temporal variation in tropical fish trophic networks, Ecol. Monogr., 60, 331–367, 1990.

Whitledge, G. W. and Rabeni, C. F.: Energy sources and ecological role of crayfishes in an Ozark stream: insights from stable isotopes and gut analysis, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 54, 2555–2563, 1997.

Yokoyama, Y., Koizumi, M., Matsuzaki, H., Miyairi, Y., and Ohkouchi, N.: Developing ultra small-scale radiocarbon sample measurement at the University of Tokyo, Radiocarbon, 52, 310–318, 2010.

Zah, R., Burgherr, P., Bernasconi, S. M., and Uehlinger, U.: Stable isotope analysis of macroinvertebrates and their food sources in a glacier stream, Freshwater Biol., 46, 871–882, 2001.