

Origin of some anisotropic tailward flows in the plasma sheet

J. A. Wanliss^{1,*}, R. D. Sydora¹, G. Rostoker¹, and R. Rankin¹

¹University of Alberta, Department of Physics, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2J1, Canada

*now at: Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Physical Sciences Dept., Daytona Beach, Fla. 32114, USA

Received: 11 January 2002 – Revised: 2 April 2002 – Accepted: 17 June 2002

Abstract. We use a test particle model to explore anisotropy and fast flows in the central plasma sheet (CPS) that are a consequence of plasma sheet boundary layer (PSBL) ion beam dynamics. Ion distributions and flows (velocity moments) in the CPS and equatorial current sheet (CS) are compared and we find that mirroring of initially earthward beams from the PSBL, and their subsequent convection to the CS region, results in strong anisotropy throughout the CPS. At higher latitudes, velocity moments are field-aligned and feature earthward flow. Deeper in the CPS, velocity moments yield flows in the anti-earthward direction. There is no clear distinction between the PSBL and CPS, since velocity distributions with large streaming components occur throughout the model CPS, but in the CS region they are anisotropic and nongyrotropic. In the CS region velocity moments can feature anti-earthward cross field flows. These tailward flows (> 400 km/s) are observed in the CS region between $X = -20$ to $-30 R_E$ due to nonadiabatic effects. Model results suggest that fast tailward plasma flows can be obtained without necessarily appealing to magnetotail processes associated with dynamic geomagnetic activity.

Key words. Magnetospheric physics (magnetospheric configuration and dynamics) – Space plasma physics (charge particle motion and acceleration; numerical simulation studies)

1 Introduction

While, to the first order, the plasma sheet (PS) comprises a warm ion population with bulk flows primarily dominated by large scale $E \times B$ convection, careful inspection of distribution functions reveals many anisotropic flows encompassing a wide range of time scales. Early studies by Huang and Frank (1986, 1987), based on distribution functions from data acquired over 2 min by the ISEE 1 satellite between -10

to $-20 R_E$, suggested flows were relatively slow ($\sim 50 - 100$ km/s) and predominantly earthward. In another study, Paterson et al. (1998) surveyed the PS between 10 and $50 R_E$ and found that flows perpendicular to the magnetic field were slow, and on the whole consistent with plasma convection. Another recent study by Borovsky et al. (1997) found that at low velocities (< 250 km/s) PS turbulence appears to be isotropic.

Unlike the slower flows, fast flows above 400 km/s appear more structured. Cattell and Elphic (1987) noted that ISEE 2 detectors were able to find much faster flows in the central plasma sheet (CPS) and subsequently, using distribution functions from AMPTE data acquired over 5 s, Baumjohann et al. (1989) reported that almost all fast flows inside the apogee of AMPTE IRM (viz. $\sim 19 R_E$) were earthward. Angelopoulos et al. (1992) were able to detect short-lived bursts of ion flow (> 400 km/s) which they termed bursty bulk flows (BBFs). Fast ion flows in the CPS have been studied extensively in the past two decades (e.g. Angelopoulos, 1996, and references therein). In the PSBL the flows are nearly field-aligned, whereas in the CPS and near the current sheet (CS) region about 70% of the fast flows are predominantly perpendicular to the magnetic field direction (Baker et al., 1996). Thus, it is believed that in the CPS much of the fast plasma transport is across field lines rather than along them. Though fast flows in the CPS tend to be bursty with durations of no more than a few minutes, it is claimed that they are responsible for most of the tail plasma and magnetic flux transport (Angelopoulos et al., 1992, 1994). There is, however, disagreement in the field regarding this point (see Paterson et al., 1998, 1999; Angelopoulos et al., 1999). Several studies do associate some bursty flows with neutral lines and substorms (e.g. Nagai et al., 1998; Baker et al., 1999), but a one-to-one correlation between fast bursty flows and the substorm phase is not clear (Angelopoulos et al., 1992).

Nakamura et al. (1991, 1992, 1994) have studied the characteristics of the plasma flows in the CS region, where the magnetic field is weak. They found that high speed flow events are usually restricted to the region tailward of $X =$




Fig. 1. CANOPUS magnetometer stations on a geographical coordinate system grid (cf. Rostoker et al., 1995).

$-25 R_E$, and are predominantly sunward or tailward. Recently, Lyons et al. (1999, 2000) found evidence that the flows within the CPS and those within the PSBL may not be distinct phenomena. They found that when they are observed, significant flows appear to exist throughout the entire height of the tail plasma sheet, and are associated with structured currents in the tail. Thus, bursty flows could be associated with some flapping motion of the magnetotail in the Z -direction. This is different from the standard picture, and means that bursty flows in the current sheet region might be present regardless of the presence or absence of an acceleration mechanism, such as a neutral line. In this paper we explore the anisotropy and fast tailward flows in the CPS that can arise from the dynamics of ion beams from the PSBL. In particular, we compare the ion distributions and flows (velocity moments) in the CPS and equatorial CS.

2 Observational motivation

To consider the origin of some fast tailward CPS flows, we begin by considering an example of a fast tailward flow in the current sheet region that appears unrelated to any significant magnetic activity. The data comes from the CANOPUS array of magnetometers (Rostoker et al., 1995) and the GEOTAIL LEP instrument (Mukai et al., 1994), and the interval of interest is 04:00–05:00 UT on 4 February 1998. The CANOPUS magnetometer station locations are indicated in Fig. 1 by solid diamonds. The X -component magnetometer (roughly geomagnetic north-south) traces around the interval of interest are shown in Fig. 2. There is little way of magnetic activity prior to 05:30 UT, and the interval 04:00–05:30 UT is essentially characterized by a steady-state magnetic field, where almost no ground activity is evident. The IMAGE network also shows quiet magnetic fields during this interval. The WIND spacecraft was in the solar wind at about $230 R_E$ from the Earth, and observed a southward IMF av-




Fig. 2. X -component traces from the Churchill line of CANOPUS magnetometers for 4 February 1998.

eraging about -2 nT, but slowly decreasing towards the end of the interval of interest. Shortly after 05:30 UT, there is a small poleward border intensification which is centred north of RANK. However, this is well after our interval of interest between 04:00–05:00 UT. We associate the RANK border intensification with a rapid change in the north-south IMF component, from -6 to 0 nT in less than a minute. The poleward border intensification at about 05:40 UT provides evidence of release of magnetic energy via a small dipolarisation, and indicates that there is a stretched field geometry which recovers after 06:00 UT.

GEOTAIL's orbit is at $(X, Y, Z)_{\text{GSM}} = (-30.4, 2.3, -1.8) R_E$ between 04:00–05:00 UT. Figure 1 shows the GEOTAIL footpoint as a pentagon, mapped to the ionosphere using the Tsyganenko (1987) model. GEOTAIL's footpoint is in the vicinity of the magnetometer stations. Figure 3 shows the (a) B_x , (b) B_y , and (c) B_z components of the GEOTAIL magnetic field in GSM coordinates, and the (d) V_x component of the velocity moment (dotted). The perpendicular component of V_x is drawn as the solid line. For much of the interval GEOTAIL is crisscrossing the neutral sheet, defined by $B_x = 0$. We draw attention to the interesting bipolar flow between 04:30–04:40 UT. At 04:32 UT a strong earthward flow is observed, which has a perpendicular (to the magnetic field) component of more than 800 km/s. This sort of flow would typically be classified as a bursty bulk flow, and possibly attributed to a neutral line or point; the perpendicular flow is observed close to the neutral sheet, and is associated with a large positive B_z . Neither the magnetometers nor meridian scanning photometers (data not shown) of the CANOPUS array detect any of the usual evidence for reconnection, and the interval between 04:30–04:40 UT gives evidence only of a steady state. What is more intriguing than the earthward flow is the fast perpendicular (to the magnetic field) tailward flow between 04:36–04:40 UT. This flow is again associated with the neutral sheet region, but instead of a negative B_z , as one might expect for a neutral line associated flow, $B_z \sim$

+5 nT. Thus, the tailward perpendicular flow is opposite to the expected convection direction. A fluids-based intuition is not helpful in explaining this flow. It is precisely this kind of tailward flow which we attempt to explain in this paper. Our hypothesis is that, within a simple model framework, this type of tailward flow observation may be explained as being the natural result of PSBL dynamics in a stretched magnetotail.

3 Modelling technique

With the exception of disturbed intervals, when the geomagnetic topology undergoes major reconfiguration, it is usually assumed that to the first order the CPS is isotropic. However, highly anisotropic plasmas have been observed in the near-Earth plasma sheet (Frank et al., 1996; Chen et al., 2000). Chen et al. (2000) used the WIND satellite to demonstrate that the plasma distribution in the tail CS is multicomponent especially, but not exclusively, during geomagnetically active periods. Such multicomponent distribution functions, when convolved, can result in large velocity moments. Whereas magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations have been very successful in modelling global magnetospheric structure in response to the solar wind (Raeder et al., 1995; Fedder et al., 1995a, b; Frank et al., 1995; Walker and Ogino, 1996; Wiechen, 1999), they are limited because the MHD description deals only with the moments of the distribution function, and cannot explain the non-Maxwellian features, such as beams and non-gyrotropic distribution functions found in the PS and PSBL. In describing the magnetotail as a convecting fluid, MHD provides a good idea of the global flows, but fails on the intermediate and small scale, and does not include nonadiabatic particle effects. Non-gyrotropic and non-Maxwellian distribution functions in the PSBL and near reconnection regions have been modelled using kinetic simulations (Krauss-Varban and Omid, 1995; Hoshino et al., 2001). However, even full kinetic (or hybrid) simulations are limited at present by computational power, so that studies consider only small-scale and limited regions of the magnetotail. The noninteracting test-particle approach has been used successfully to model numerous regions of the magnetosphere, for example, the magnetopause (Speiser, 1981), the polar region and plasma sheet (Horwitz, 1986a, b; Horwitz et al., 1989), the current sheet region (Lyons and Speiser, 1982) and neutral lines (Speiser and Martin, 1992; Martin et al., 1994; Smets et al., 1996, 1998), as well as the near-Earth regions (Delcourt et al., 1990, 1991, 1996). A great strength of the noninteracting test-particle approach is that it is computationally efficient compared to the Vlasov and MHD methods; thus, even full magnetospheric models can be used with inclusion of nonadiabatic particle effects (Ashour-Abdalla et al., 1991a,b, 1993, 1994, 1995, 2000). Notwithstanding its limitations, with non-selfconsistency being the most obvious, the method has been very successful in modelling different magnetospheric regions, where the collisionless nature of the




Fig. 3. GEOTAIL spacecraft 4 February 1998 observations of (a) B_x , (b) B_y , (c) B_z magnetic field traces in GSM coordinates. (d) The V_x component of velocity (dotted) and the $V_{x\perp}$ cross magnetic field component (solid).

plasma, accompanied by the apparent unimportance of collective effects, results in the method being appropriate.

When large velocity moments are observed in the CS region, they are often interpreted in terms of a neutral line mechanism. However, theoretical considerations indicate that the attribution of fast bursty flows to a neutral line is not inevitable or necessary (Liu, 2001). Furthermore, our observational example in the previous section shows that there are also cases that cannot easily be explained by MHD-like mechanisms, in particular fast tailward CS flows associated with positive B_z . Since ion distribution functions can be complex, a kinetic description is necessary to attempt to explain such observations. In this paper we use test-particle simulations to model complex three-dimensional velocity distributions in the magnetotail. We will show that a simple model of the stretched magnetotail can explain some fast tailward flow measurements in the CPS, and how anisotropy in the CPS can arise as a natural consequence of ion beams in the PSBL.

4 Model description

4.1 Magnetic field

The magnetotail model used here is characterized by its highly stretched tail configuration. It was used by Wanliss et al. (2000a) to model the substorm growth phase, during which the midnight sector magnetic field becomes more stretched than at other times. We chose to use this simple model because it is easier to attain a stretched configuration than for the most commonly used statistical models. The Tsyganenko (Tsyganenko and Usmanov, 1982; Tsyganenko, 1987, 1989, 1996) models involve a crosstail current based on a statistical treatment of a large number of observations that represent an average over all configurations of the mag-




Fig. 4. Model lobe field (solid) calculated at $(Y, Z) = (0, 10) R_E$ is compared to the observations of Slavin et al. (1985) (dot-dash) and Nakai et al. (1991) (dashed).

netosphere. There is good reason, therefore, to expect that these models do not adequately fit substorm growth phase and other times when the magnetotail is very stretched and extremely thin current sheets exist. Modifications to these models, determined on an event by event basis, bear out this conviction (Pulkkinen et al., 1991, 1992, 1994, 1998; Lu et al., 1999).

Our model comprises only three components; viz. a dipole field, an equilibrium tail component (Zwingmann, 1983), and an azimuthally and radially confined weak magnetic field region (WFR). All three modular components are used to build up the final magnetic field model configuration. The WFR creates a region of minimum-B in the near-Earth plasma sheet. Figure 1 of Wanliss et al. (2000a) (not shown here) gives a profile of our model magnetic field in the current sheet, compared to observations, and shows the region of minimum-B. The WFR is located around $11 R_E$ to accord with observations (Kaufmann, 1987; Baker and McPherron, 1990; Iijima et al., 1993; Baker et al., 1993; Sergeev et al., 1993; Nakai et al., 1997). The tail component is the Zwingmann (1983) two-dimensional equilibrium, which is a daughter of the earlier Harris equilibrium (Harris, 1962). For this component, the most important parameters are the lobe field and the crosstail current thickness. The current sheet thickness in the model increases with distance from the Earth. Figure 4 shows the model lobe field compared to satellite observations (Slavin et al., 1985; Nakai et al., 1991). The coordinate system is centred on the Earth, with the X -axis positive, sunward along the Sun-Earth line, the Z -axis antiparallel to the Earth's dipole moment and the Y -axis perpendicular to the other two axes. The reader is referred to the paper by Wanliss et al. (2000a) for further mathematical details of the magnetic field model. The most important variable in the model is the crosstail current sheet thickness, which acts as a measure of stretching in the magnetotail. Fig-




Fig. 5. Schematic showing the dipole magnetic field lines (dot-dashed) compared to our stretched magnetotail for two different current sheet thicknesses.

ure 5 shows a meridional comparison of dipole field lines (dot-dash) to the model's magnetic field lines for two different current sheet thicknesses (indicated by dashed and solid lines, respectively). Based on the analysis of Wanliss et al. (2000a), who found that at the end of the growth phase the current sheet can remain extremely thin for tens of minutes, we chose to use a model thickness of $0.1 R_E$, as measured at $10 R_E$. This value compares well with observations of extremely thin growth phase current sheets (Sergeev et al., 1993; Sanny et al., 1994; Kubyskhina et al., 1999). Thus, we are considering only situations that arise when the magnetic field is stretched more than average.

4.2 Electric field

Instead of modelling the electric field as a constant, it is allowed to vary with position, and is directed primarily in the Y -direction. Many previous studies of test-particle motion have tended to use a model featuring a constant electric field, directed in the Y -direction (Onsager et al., 1991; Ashour-Abdalla et al., 1991a,b, 1996; Larson and Kauffman, 1996), although there are some models that have used non-constant electric fields (Cladis, 1986; Sauvaud and Delcourt, 1987; Joyce et al., 1995). We begin with a dawn-dusk directed electric field that varies spatially in proportion to the square root of the magnetic field, corresponding approximately to equipotential field lines, with the magnetic field scaling with the cross-sectional area of a flux tube (cf. Cladis, 1986; Onsager et al., 1996), viz.

$$E_y(\mathbf{r}) = \alpha B^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

where α is a constant, related to the cross polar cap potential, and B is the magnetic field magnitude.

4.3 Cross polar cap potential

To select a reasonable value for α requires knowledge of the cross polar cap potential difference. This potential can be mapped along open field lines, and assuming a crosstail magnetosphere width of $40 R_E$, the appropriate large-scale crosstail electric field can be approximated by

$$E_y = \Delta\phi/40R_E. \quad (2)$$

Kan (1988) demonstrated that the polar cap potential drop needed to exceed a minimum level, 70 kV in their model, prior to the substorm expansive phase, when the magnetic topology is highly stretched. Thus, is the minimum allowable potential drop in the new model, which corresponds to $E_y \sim 0.3$ mV/m in the distant tail, where $B_z \sim 1$ nT, consistent with other studies (Alexeev et al., 1993). The observational study of Weimer et al. (1992) is of special interest, since they studied variations of polar cap potential, measured during different stages of 64 isolated substorms, and grouped according to AE index. Their Figs. 3 and 7 show the polar cap potential measured as a function of time relative to the time from substorm expansive phase onset. For the small substorms (peak $AE < 600$ nT) the polar cap potential is in the range of 40 to 80 kV during the late growth phase, shortly before expansive phase onset. Intermediate substorms (600 nT $<$ peak $AE < 1000$ nT) showed that in some cases potentials over 70 kV were measured more than 2 h before onset, and potentials over 110 kV were measured at 1 h before onset. The large substorms (peak $AE > 1000$ nT) have cross polar cap potentials from 90 kV to over 150 kV during the growth phase (i.e. $\alpha = 11.4$ to $> 18.6(V/s)^{1/2}$). These data indicate that a cross polar potential drop of 60–150 kV ($\alpha = 8 - 20(V/s)^{1/2}$) is reasonable during the latter stages of a substorm growth phase. We will use a value of $\alpha = 16(V/s)^{1/2}$.

The recent study of Miyashita et al. (1999) serves to further confirm that a value $\alpha=16 (V/s)^{1/2}$ is reasonable for the stretched configurations of the late substorm growth phase. Miyashita et al. (1999) selected 263 substorm events and statistically investigated, amongst other parameters, the time variations of the electric field in the region $-7.5 \geq X \geq -52.5R_E$ and $-15 \leq Y \leq 15R_E$ in GSM coordinates. The electric field was calculated using the frozen-in relation, $\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$. They found that, at 10 min before substorm expansive phase onset, and for $X \approx -10R_E$ and $X \approx -28R_E$, the electric field E_y values are large, with an average of about 0.7 mV/m. In the intermediate region, around $X \approx -18 R_E$, the electric field E_y is about 0.4 mV/m.

Since we are also interested in the particle dynamics and distribution functions in the CS region, it is important that the electric field in this region is curl free. To ensure this, E_x and E_z components are included, given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_x &= y\partial_x E_y \\ E_z &= y\partial_z E_y, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where it is assumed that E_y is slowly varying in the Y -direction. With the full electric field expressed by the terms thus described, one finds that in general $E_x, E_z \ll E_y$. The validity of these assumptions are considered in Appendix A.

5 Distribution function mapping technique

The mapping technique we use to calculate the ion distribution functions utilizes Liouville's theorem. To calculate the




Fig. 6. (a) The diagram illustrates how pseudo-particles, with initial position and velocity (r, v_i) move through space to a source region. (b) The upper distribution function shows contours of constant phase space density. Numerous pseudo-particles are followed from unique initial locations in velocity space (lower distribution) to the source (upper distribution). Since the velocity distribution is known at the source, Liouville's theorem allows each initial pseudo-particle to be tagged with a known phase space density.

distribution function at a certain location \mathbf{r} in the magnetosphere, a three-dimensional array of initial velocities is specified in directions parallel to the magnetic field, \mathbf{V}_B , in the electric field direction, \mathbf{V}_E , and in the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ or convection direction, \mathbf{V}_C . A velocity grid is chosen which divides each velocity direction into 31 bins, from -2500 to $+2500$ km/s. Every pseudo-particle has a unique velocity condition with coordinates $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_i)$, where i is one of the 31^3 initial velocity components chosen. Each particle represents a system of the phase space ensemble, which moves along an orbit in phase space, carrying a piece of probability along with it. Each phase particle is used to derive a reduced distribution function $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_i)$ that depends only on the phase space coordinates of one pseudo-particle (Wanliss et al., 2000b).

Thus, the calculation begins with a single ion (protons are used) placed at its initial position in phase space $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_i)$. This i th ion will have a value f_i assigned to its particular velocity, \mathbf{v}_i . However, before f_i can be known, the trajectory must be integrated backward in time until the ion reaches an assumed source region. The integration is achieved via a fourth-order adaptive Runge-Kutta scheme that solves the full Lorentz equation for protons in the given electric and magnetic fields. Once the integration is complete the velocity \mathbf{v}_s , and position \mathbf{r}_s , at the source is known. Thus, the phase space density at the source, $f_s(\mathbf{r}_s, \mathbf{v}_s)$, may be computed according to some assumed dependence on $(\mathbf{r}_s, \mathbf{v}_s)$. For example, the source distribution may have a Maxwellian form. Liouville's theorem implies that the phase space density attached to \mathbf{r} and velocity \mathbf{v}_i is

$$f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_i) = f_s(\mathbf{r}_s, \mathbf{v}_s). \quad (4)$$

Otherwise, if the ion never reaches the source region, the




Fig. 7. A schematic diagram showing the model boundary, which is the magnetic field line that crosses the NS at $X = -100 R_E$ (solid line). The probability of observing mantle/PSBL plasma increases/decreases with distance downtail. Model velocity distribution functions at various positions along the boundary are shown as line drawings with the ordinate corresponding to phase space density and the abscissa to the earthward velocity, V_x .

phase space density for that initial condition is assigned the value zero:

$$f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_i) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Zeros in phase space correspond to phase space holes in the velocity distribution functions. Figure 6a (left-hand side) shows how a particle, with an initial position and velocity of $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_i)$, moves through space to the source boundary, where it now has position and velocity $(\mathbf{r}_s, \mathbf{v}_s)$. After this integration, the next particle of the ensemble of 31^3 particles is placed at the same starting position \mathbf{r} , with a different initial velocity, \mathbf{v}_{i+1} , and integrated to the model boundary, and so on, until all the particles in the initial ensemble have reached the boundary. Even though the starting position \mathbf{r} is the same for all 31^3 particles, each has a unique phase space density assigned to it because each \mathbf{v}_i is unique. Figure 6b (right-hand side) shows how two pseudo-particles move backwards in time from their known position in velocity space to a new position in velocity space, but now at the source boundary. Once the particle reaches the source, where the distribution function is known, Liouville's theorem is invoked to yield the phase space density at the initial location in velocity space. After the phase space density has been computed for all the initial conditions, i , the three-dimensional velocity space at position \mathbf{r} is completely filled. An array of phase space densities in velocity space exists at the starting position \mathbf{r} , and the full three-dimensional velocity distribution function at the position \mathbf{r} can be plotted.

6 Boundary conditions

Onsager et al. (1991) demonstrated that a two-dimensional magnetic field model, based on quasi-steady reconnection in

the distant magnetotail, is able to reproduce all the observed features in the observed electron and ion distribution functions in the PSBL. Their technique of mapping distribution functions is essentially the same as is used in this work, although the magnetic field and electric field models are different, and we use the full Lorentz equation of motion. They used a plasma sheet, as well as a lobe source boundary condition, to calculate model distribution functions in the PSBL. Their source distributions were assumed to be Maxwellian.

We propose to use a boundary condition that does not assume that the distributions in the CS are Maxwellian. Our boundary condition is dependent on ion beams in the PSBL, which appear to be ubiquitous there (Lui et al., 1983; Eastman et al., 1984). Therefore, instead of specifying the boundary condition in the model CS, and then finding the distributions in the PSBL, what is proposed here is to specify the boundary condition at higher latitudes, including the PSBL, and then to model the ion distributions in the CPS, especially around the CS region.

We constrain the model boundary condition by satellite data and previous theoretical studies. The location of the model boundary is specified in space as the last closed magnetic field line in the noon-midnight meridian that crosses the CS at $X = -100 R_E$, which is shown as the solid line in the schematic of Fig. 7. Eastman et al. (1998) used the GEOTAIL data set to demonstrate that the probability of observing the plasma mantle increases with distance downtail, from 18% near the Earth, to about 50% near $205 R_E$. On the other hand, the number of intervals during which the plasma sheet is detected, including the CPS and PSBL, decrease with increasing tailward distance. Pure lobe occurrences also decrease in observation probability with distance downtail.

To take these observations into account, the distribution functions along the model boundary are PSBL- and lobe-like near the Earth, but become increasingly mantle-like with downtail distance. This is schematically represented in Fig. 7 by the model distribution functions along the boundary. The ion distributions along the model boundary comprise two components: a beam component featuring motion towards the Earth and parallel to the magnetic field, and a core component that has a small field-aligned drift. In Fig. 8 the distributions, shown at different X -locations along the boundary, are shown as isocontour plots of phase space density in the $V_{\parallel} - V_{\perp}$ plane, where the V_{\parallel} direction is towards the Earth and parallel to the magnetic field, and V_{\perp} is perpendicular to the magnetic field. The downtail distance along the boundary is shown in each of the plots.

In a model of the PSBL, Ashour-Abdalla et al. (1993) demonstrated that the PSBL ion density decreases with distance downtail. Therefore, in our model, as the distance from the Earth increases, the density of the beam term decreases. This is also consistent with the fact that the probability of observing the PSBL decreases with downtail distance (Eastman et al., 1998), assuming that the beam density drops below the detector threshold. Ashour-Abdalla et al. (1991a, 1993) demonstrated that small-scale “beamlets” can develop in the beam component. However, these small-scale




Fig. 8. Two-dimensional cuts in the $V_{\parallel} - V_{\perp}$ plane of the model boundary condition (BC) distribution functions at different downtail positions for positive Z . The V_{\parallel} direction is earthwards. In the near-Earth region the distributions are representative of the outer edge of the PSBL. In the midtail region the distributions are representative of an intermediate region including lobe-mantle plasma. The distant tail is representative of an almost pure mantle BC. The contours of constant phase space density are plotted every two decades from -23 to $-10 \text{ s}^3/\text{m}^6$.

features are likely to be quickly smeared by wave-particle interactions, to form a single solid, homogeneous ion beam (Schriver and Ashour-Abdalla, 1991). Accordingly, only a single solid bi-Maxwellian distribution is used for the beam component, with $T_{\perp}/T_{\parallel} = 5$, which is consistent with observations (DeCoster and Frank, 1979; Eastman et al., 1984). The drift speed of the model beam component varies along the boundary, fastest near the earth, and slowest in the distant tail. It is given a maximum of $V_b \approx 1200 \text{ km/s}$, at $X = -10 R_E$, which is a reasonable value (Eastman et al., 1984), although faster PSBL beam velocities have been detected. The beam parameters chosen have $V_{bth\parallel} \sim 0.08V_b$ (Eastman et al., 1984), where $V_{bth\parallel}$ is the thermal velocity in the magnetic field direction, and V_b is the beam speed.

The various parameters for the beam component are shown as the dashed curves in Fig. 9, and the appropriate parameters for the core term are shown as the solid curves. All the variables are allowed to vary linearly between the values chosen at $X = -10$ and $-100 R_E$. Near the earth the core term is cold, and represents lobe ions ($T_{\perp} = 25 \text{ eV}$). The temperature ratio is $T_{\perp}/T_{\parallel} = 2$, which is a reasonable choice (Rosenbauer et al., 1975). Also, the density is very low in the near-earth ($n = 0.02 \text{ cm}^{-3}$). It increases linearly with distance downtail in order to simulate a transition between pure-lobe to more mantle-like plasma. At $X = -100 R_E$ the core term has $n = 0.11 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $T_{\perp} = 560 \text{ eV}$. The complete model boundary condition near the earth is typical for the outer edge of the PSBL. Since the variables vary linearly downtail, a region in the midtail exists




Fig. 9. Model variables against downtail position for (top) the perpendicular temperature (T_{\perp}), (middle) number density (n), and (bottom) velocity (V_b) are shown for the core term (solid curves) and the beam term (dashed curves).

where the core term of the distributions is intermediate between mantle-like and lobe-like. In the distant tail, the beam term is negligible, and the complete boundary condition resembles a mantle-like ion distribution.

Although the boundary condition does not include sources other than the PSBL-lobe-mantle sources, the use of this approach does not mean that other valid possibilities are not recognised. For example, there is little doubt that part of the plasma sheet population comes from the ionosphere (e.g. O^+). However, it is assumed here that the different sources act independently, and the focus is on the population of the tail that comes from the sources specified. Ionospheric sources are most important in the near-tail, while sources from the plasma mantle are more appropriate further downtail (Ashour-Abdalla et al., 1993).

7 Results

7.1 CPS region

In Fig. 10 typical model PSBL ion distributions are shown at various distances above the neutral sheet (NS), defined as the region in the magnetotail where $B_x = 0$. The velocities are expressed in terms of the direction of the magnetic field (V_B), electric field (V_E), and convection (V_C). Each distribution function is calculated at $X = -20 R_E$ in the noon-midnight meridian at different Z locations above the NS. Figures 10a-d correspond to locations at $Z = 3.2, 2.25, 0.75, 0.3 R_E$, respectively. The left column shows the distributions in two-dimensional cuts in the $V_B - V_C$ plane, and the right column shows one-dimensional cuts along the V_B direction. The two-dimensional distributions show contours of constant phase space density, with contours every




Fig. 10. Model ion velocity distributions shown as (left column) two-dimensional distributions in the V_B - V_C plane, and (right column) cuts in the V_B direction ($V_C=0$) at four locations in the noon-midnight meridian, (a) $Z=3.2$, (b) 2.25, (c) 0.75, and (d) 0.3 R_E , all at $X=-20 R_E$.

two decades from -23 to $-10 \text{ s}^3/\text{m}^6$. All these distributions are calculated quite far from the NS, so the magnetic field is almost entirely in the X -direction. Thus, V_B corresponds approximately to the earthward direction, and V_C corresponds to the $-V_z$ direction.

Of course, immediately equatorward of the model boundary the model BC is recovered, viz. a cold core plus an earthward streaming beam with drift velocity of $\approx 1200 \text{ km/s}$. This is typical for the outer edge of the PSBL. Figure 10a illustrates the distributions deeper in the boundary layer, at $Z = 3.2 R_E$. Here, the earthward beam is still present, but its distribution function has begun to assume a “kidney bean” shape. At $Z = 2.25 R_E$ both earthward and tailward stream-




Fig. 11. Two dimensional cuts through model distribution functions calculated at $X=-20 R_E$ and (left column) $Z=3.5 R_E$ and (right column) $Z=0.75 R_E$. The velocities are expressed in terms of the direction of the magnetic field (V_B), electric field (V_E), and convection (V_C). The top row shows the cut in the $V_B - V_C$ plane, the middle row shows the cut in the $V_B - V_E$ plane, and the bottom row is the cut in the $V_C - V_E$ plane.

ing ions are observed, with the tailward ions at slightly higher field-aligned speeds than the earthward streaming ions. The perpendicular temperature of the tailward beam is slightly smaller than that for the earthward beams. Also, at this location, both beam distributions appear somewhat “kidney bean” shaped, due to their velocity space mapping into a region of increasing magnetic field strength, as described by Onsager et al. (1991). The ion core has a higher phase space density than the beams, and the earthward beam ($+V_B$) has a higher phase space density than the tailward beam.

At $Z = 0.75 R_E$ the earthward beam is still present, but has a lower field-aligned drift velocity, and is colder in the perpendicular direction. At this point the tailward beam has a larger perpendicular temperature than the earthward beam. Its phase space density continues to increase towards lower latitudes. Still closer to the NS, at $Z = 0.3 R_E$, the earthward beam has a lower phase space density than the tailward beam.

In summary, the distributions retain all the characteristics of the PSBL, with a core component, and with the beam components having $T_{\perp}/T_{\parallel} > 1$. Initially, only earthward beams are observed; slightly deeper in the model PSBL, a counterstreaming beam is observed. The counterstreaming beam




Fig. 12. (Top) Model two-dimensional ion velocity distribution in the $V_B - V_C$ plane, calculated at $(X, Y, Z) = (-20, 0, 1) R_E$. Several initial positions in velocity space are selected in order to demonstrate the representative behaviour of particles comprising the core and beam components of the distribution. The particles comprising part of the earthward moving beam are labelled with crosses and points A1, A2. The sample particle orbits from the core component are labelled as circles and B1, B2. For the counterstreaming component the labels are squares and C1, C2, C3. The lower figure shows the trajectory traces corresponding to the initial conditions chosen in the upper figure. Arrows show the direction of particle motion.

has a consistently larger field-aligned drift velocity than its earthward counterpart. When it is first observed, the counterstreaming beam has a perpendicular temperature that is cooler than that of the earthward beam. However, closer to the NS its perpendicular temperature is larger than that of the earthward beam. Finally, only the tailward beam is clearly observed, as the phase space density of the earthward beam becomes increasingly smaller. Figure 11 shows different two-dimensional cuts of the full velocity distribution functions calculated at $Z=3.5, 0.75 R_E$. The top row shows the cut in the $V_B - V_C$ plane for the two different Z -positions.




Fig. 13. Model (top) number density and (bottom) velocity moments calculated at various Z -distances from the NS at $X=-20 R_E$. The velocities are expressed in terms of the directions of the magnetic field (V_B), electric field (V_E) and convection (V_C).

The slight drift velocity in the V_C direction is evident in this cut. However, the second row indicates that there is almost no drift in the V_E direction. The third row shows the $V_C - V_E$ cuts. The distribution function is isotropic in this plane, and only the slow convective drift is evident. As can be seen from the preceding figures, the velocity distributions in the CPS can have complicated forms, including asymmetry and counterstreaming. This is contrary to the view that the CPS is a region of warm isotropic ions, but is similar to previous simulation results (Ashour-Abdalla et al., 1993), and consistent with observations of counterstreaming near the NS (Eastman et al., 1984; Nakamura et al., 1991). It demonstrates that PSBL-like distribution functions can occur deep in the CPS.

Several initial positions in velocity space were selected in order to determine the behaviour of particles comprising the core and beam components of the distribution. Figure 12 shows examples of representative proton orbits for different initial positions in velocity space. The upper figure shows the model's two-dimensional ion velocity distribution in the $V_B - V_C$ plane, calculated at $(X, Y, Z) = (-20, 0, 1) R_E$. The final position in velocity space of particles comprising part of the earthward moving beam are labelled with crosses and A1, A2. For the core component the labels are circles and B1, B2. For the counterstreaming component the labels are squares and C1, C2, C3. The lower figure shows trajectory traces corresponding to the initial conditions chosen in the upper figure. All of the trajectories converge to a single spatial location, but with different positions in velocity space. The protons from the core component map to the core term in the model BC (see Fig. 13). Therefore, they retain the essential features of the core part of the distribution function at the model boundary. The particles forming the earthward beam come from further downtail and have arrived at the observation location after convection under the model electric field. They map to the earthward beam BC, and have a large




Fig. 14. Model ion velocity distributions shown as two-dimensional distributions in the V_B - V_C plane. The distribution functions are plotted for different downtail positions, from $X = -20 R_E$ to $-75 R_E$. The X -positions are indicated in each subplot.

field-aligned component of velocity throughout their motion, from source to observation point. Particles comprising the counterstreaming beam also map to the earthward beam part of the source distribution, but mirror in the dipolar magnetic field near the Earth, whereafter they continue to convect towards the midplane until they reach the observation point.

7.1.1 CPS region moment calculations

Figure 13 shows moments calculated from the full three-dimensional velocity distribution functions computed at various Z -values at $X = -20 R_E$. The density slowly increases, from the model boundary (at $Z = 4.4 R_E$) to about $Z = 3.5 R_E$. This increase in density is due to the slow heating of the plasma while there is only a small decrease in the maximum phase space density of the different components. The distribution function of the earthward beam becomes somewhat “kidney bean” shaped, contributing to this effect. At lower latitudes the observed earthward beam has lower phase space density and velocity, and the temperature becomes cooler (cf. Fig. 10). Thus, between about $Z = 3.5 - 2.5 R_E$, where the earthward beam term is decreasing rapidly in phase space density, the overall particle density decreases. At $Z \approx 2.5 R_E$ the counterstreaming beam is easily identifiable in the distribution function. At




Fig. 15. (Top) Model two-dimensional ion velocity distribution in the $V_B - V_C$ plane, calculated at $(X, Y, Z) = (-24, 0, 0.0001) R_E$. At this location $V_B \approx V_z$ and $V_C \approx V_x$. Several initial positions in velocity space are selected in order to demonstrate the representative behaviour of particles comprising the different components of the distribution function. Particles from the convective phase space density enhancements (CPSDE), are labelled 1–4. The CPSDE at negative/positive V_B is formed from mirrored ion beams that initially come from the Northern/Southern Hemisphere. The phase space density of the negative V_B CPSDE is slightly higher than its $+V_B$ counterstreaming counterpart. The lower figure shows the trajectory traces corresponding to the initial conditions chosen in the upper figure. Arrows show the direction of particle motion.

first the counterstreaming beam is very weak, and contributes little to the overall particle density, but as one moves to lower latitudes the temperature and phase space density of the tailward beam increase, which results in a slight increase in total particle density. The average density through the plasma sheet is about 0.1 cm^{-3} .

The velocity moments are shown in the lower plot of Fig. 13. The velocities are plotted in terms of the magnetic field (V_B), electric field (V_E), and convection (V_C) directions. At large Z -values $V_B \approx 900 \text{ km/s}$ and decreases

steadily towards lower latitudes. This steady decrease in V_B corresponds to the slow decrease of the drift velocity of the earthward beam at lower latitudes. Below $Z \approx 3.2 R_E$ the decrease is faster, due to the appearance of the tailward beam. At $Z = 2.0 R_E$ the tailward beam is well developed and results in the overall bulk $V_B < 300$ km/s. Between 0.5 – $2 R_E$ only a very small magnitude flow is observed in the V_B moment, even though there are very fast counterstreaming beams in the distribution functions. Soon the tailward beam dominates the distribution function and results in negative V_B . The model predicts that PSBL-like signatures can be observed deep in the CPS. Thus, qualitatively, there is very little difference between the PSBL and CPS ion distributions. The model V_C and V_E are very constant. The V_C component is almost identical to the predicted convection velocity from $(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})/B^2$ (~ 100 km/s), and the V_E component is zero.

7.2 CS region

CS region distribution functions were all calculated at $Z = 0.0001 R_E$. Figure 14 shows two-dimensional cuts of the model distribution functions in the $V_B - V_C$ plane. Each distribution function is calculated in the CS, for the noon-midnight meridian, and at different X -positions, which are indicated in each of the sub-plots. At these CS region locations, $V_B \approx V_z$ and $V_C \approx V_x$. Near the Earth, in general, the CS distribution functions comprise a quasi-isotropic core term, as well as phase space density enhancements in the $-V_C$ direction (tailwards). Beyond approximately $X = -35 R_E$, the distribution function comprises only a core term. The core term has $T_{\parallel}/T_{\perp} > 1$, and the ratio increases with downtail distance. The phase space density enhancements (PSDE) are largest between about 20 and $30 R_E$ downtail. The sequence of distribution functions in Fig. 14 indicates that the presence of the PSDE may perhaps be responsible for tailward flows.

Figure 15 shows a (top) model two-dimensional ion velocity distribution in the $V_B - V_C$ plane. It is calculated at $(X, Y, Z) = (-24, 0, 0.0001) R_E$. Several initial positions in velocity space are selected, in order to demonstrate the representative behaviour of particles comprising the different components of the distribution function (crosses, circles, squares). Particles from the PSDE regions are labelled 1–4. The PSDE at negative/positive V_B is formed from mirrored ion beams that initially come from the Northern/Southern Hemisphere. The phase space density of the negative V_B PSDE is slightly higher than its $+V_B$ counterstreaming counterpart. The lower figure shows the trajectory traces corresponding to the initial conditions chosen in the upper figure. The magnetic moment for one of these particles, with velocity at the observation location of $(V_B, V_C, V_E) = (500, -1060, 0)$ km/s is shown, as the dashed-dot line in Fig. 16. The solid line, corresponding to the left ordinate, shows the trajectory trace in the $X - Z$ plane. The magnetic moment is well conserved until the particle reaches $Z \approx -0.02 R_E$. It becomes unmagnetised at this point and the conservation of the magnetic moment is subsequently vi-




Fig. 16. The trajectory of a particle in the $X - Z$ plane is shown (left ordinate, solid line) as a reference against which to compare the variation of the magnetic moment (μ) which is the dashed-dot line and right ordinate. The magnetic moment is normalised. The particle comes from the model boundary in the Southern Hemisphere, and arrives at the observation location at $(X, Z) = (-24, 0.0001) R_E$ with a velocity $(V_B, V_C, V_E) = (500, -1060, 0)$ km/s.

olated as the particle moves in the thin current sheet to the observation location.

Figure 17 shows two-dimensional cuts for the distribution calculated in the CS region at $X = -22 R_E$. The top row shows cuts in the $V_B - V_C$ plane at $V_E = 0$ km/s (left) and 200 km/s (right). The middle row shows cuts in the $V_B - V_E$ plane at $V_C = 0$ km/s (left) and 200 km/s (right), and the bottom row shows cuts in the $V_C - V_E$ plane at $V_B = 0$ km/s (left) and 200 km/s (right). The middle row, with cuts in the $V_B - V_E$ plane, shows that the PSDE components extend to the positive V_E plane. Thus, the ions comprising the PSDE begin to gain significant momentum in the V_E direction once demagnetisation has occurred in the CS region.

7.2.1 CS region moment calculations

The density and velocity moments are calculated for the CS, and shown in Fig. 18. The upper plot shows the density plotted as a function of the downtail position in the CS region. In the distant tail the density is slightly lower than 0.1 cm^{-3} , and decreases slowly until about $X = -30 R_E$. The decrease in density towards the Earth occurs in the region where only the core term exists (cf. Fig. 14). As was shown in the previous section, the core term at the observation location maps to the core component of the distribution function at the model boundary. Since the model boundary has the core term becoming warmer and more mantle-like with distance from the Earth, it follows that the density would slowly decrease closer to the Earth. At $X = -30 R_E$ the PSDE components, which come from the mirrored beams, are well developed and clearly seen in the distribution functions (Fig. 14). It is




Fig. 17. Two-dimensional cuts of the model velocity distribution calculated at $(X, Z) = (-22, 0.0001) R_E$. The velocities are expressed in terms of the directions of the magnetic field (V_B), electric field (V_E) and convection velocity (V_C). The top row shows cuts in the $V_B - V_C$ plane at $V_E = 0$ km/s (left) and 200 km/s (right). The middle row shows cuts in the $V_B - V_E$ plane at $V_C = 0$ km/s (left) and 200 km/s (right). The bottom row shows cuts in the $V_C - V_E$ plane at $V_B = 0$ km/s (left) and 200 km/s (right). At this location $V_C \approx V_x$, $V_B \approx V_z$, $V_E \approx V_y$.

these components that contribute to the increasing ion density in the near-Earth region.

The velocity moments are even more interesting. The component along the magnetic field direction, V_B , is typically very small; in the distant tail it is slightly negative, in the midtail it becomes slightly positive, and in the near tail, closer than about $30 R_E$, it becomes quite variable but with a negative average. This is due to the PSDE terms; the phase space density of the negative V_B term is slightly higher than its $+V_B$ counterpart, resulting in an overall neg-




Fig. 18. Model (top) density and (bottom) velocity moments calculated in the magnetotail CS region ($Z = 0.0001 R_E$) in the noon-midnight meridian. The velocities are expressed in terms of the directions of the magnetic field (V_B), electric field (V_E) and convection velocity (V_C). At these locations in the CS region $V_C \approx V_x$, $V_B \approx V_z$, $V_E \approx V_y$.

ative V_B drift. The $V_E (\approx V_y)$ term is positive for all distances from the Earth. This is due in part to particle trapping in the crosstail current sheet, and the subsequent meandering motion in the electric field direction. Strong duskward flows occur between $20\text{--}30 R_E$ due to the gyration of the meandering ions from the beam components originating in the model boundary. Ashour-Abdalla et al. (1995) reported similar qualitative behaviour in the CS. Of greatest interest is the V_C term (earthward/tailward flows), which is fairly constant downtail of about $30 R_E$. However, once the PSDE components appear in the distribution functions, they contribute to negative V_C , viz. tailward flows. Similar non-MHD tailward flows were reported in the modelling work by Ashour-Abdalla et al. (1995). In our model, at about $X = -22 R_E$, the velocity is $V_C \sim -570$ km/s. Still closer to the Earth the phase space density of the PSDE becomes lower and V_C begins to increase to smaller values.

Figure 19 shows the earthward velocity moment plotted for three different current sheet half-thicknesses. Thicker current sheets correspond to more dipolar topologies, and thinner sheets to a stretched configuration (Wanliss et al., 2000a). $L_z = 1$ corresponds to the magnetic field model used thus far. Model current sheet thickness at $X = -10 R_E$ equals $0.1 \times L_z (R_E)$. Tailward flows are still present for a rather thick current sheet ($L_z = 11$), but are absent by the time $L_z = 19$. For the $L_z = 11$ case the region over which tailward flows occur is narrower, and the minimum is also smaller than for the $L_z = 1$ case. This means that although tailward flows are not as pronounced for thicker current sheets, one could expect to observe such flows during much of the course of the substorm growth phase, during which period the current sheet thins and the magnetotail

topology stretches (Wanliss et al., 2000a).

8 Summary and conclusions

To the first order, ion distributions in the CPS are often considered to be isotropic during quiet times. Strong anisotropy and fast cross-magnetic field flows are usually attributed to very dynamic processes, for instance, during the substorm expansive phase (Baker et al., 1999). However, some theoretical considerations indicate that the attribution of fast flows to processes associated with expansive phase onset is neither inevitable or necessary (Liu, 2001).

Recent studies have demonstrated that the ion plasma distribution in the CPS and CS regions are multicomponent (Frank et al., 1996; Chen et al., 2000), and not only during geomagnetically dynamic periods. When convolved, such anisotropic distributions can appear as fast flows with large velocity moments. Ion flows in the PSBL are nearly field-aligned, but in the CPS and near the CS region about 70% of the fast flows are predominantly perpendicular to the magnetic field direction (Baker et al., 1996). Thus, it is believed that in the CPS much of the fast plasma transport is across field lines rather than along them. Though fast flows in the CPS tend to be bursty with durations of no more than a few minutes, it is claimed that they are responsible for most of the tail plasma and magnetic flux transport (Angelopoulos et al., 1992, 1994).

Our study has explored some of the anisotropy related to fast flows in the PSBL and tailward flows in the CPS; we have not studied the causes of fast earthward CPS flows. In particular, we investigated the possibility that some tailward cross magnetic field flows are the result of normal PSBL dynamics, and that they should not automatically be associated with neutral lines and expansive phase onsets. The procedure followed was based on the numerical modelling of ion distributions in a stretched magnetotail geometry. This stretched geometry was designed to simulate the magnetic topology of the near-Earth during the latter stages of the substorm growth phase. In essence, the investigation considered the consequences of ion beams in the PSBL in terms of possible flows at lower latitudes. We found that mirroring of initially earthward beams from the PSBL, and their subsequent convection to the CS region, resulted in strong anisotropy throughout the height of the CPS; the distributions contained complicated forms, including asymmetries and counterstreaming. At higher latitudes the velocity moments yielded flows that were field-aligned and earthward. Deeper in the CPS velocity moments of the distribution functions yielded fast flows in the anti-earthward direction. There was no clear distinction between the PSBL and CPS, since velocity distribution functions with large streaming components occurred throughout the model CPS. This is consistent with observational studies (Lyons et al., 1999, 2000). In addition, the distributions were primarily gyrotropic throughout the CPS. Ion distributions computed in the CS region were anisotropic and no longer gyrotropic, thus providing a clear distinction between the CS




Fig. 19. Model velocity moments in the V_C ($\approx V_x$) direction calculated in the magnetotail CS region ($Z = 0.0001 R_E$). The moments are shown for three different current sheet half-thickness parameters ($L_z = 1, 11, 19$). L_z has been normalised to $L_z = 1 \equiv 0.05 R_E$.

and CPS regions. In the CS region the velocity moments were primarily anti-earthward, and these flows were across the magnetic field. Tailward flows (> 400 km/s) were observed in the CS region at $X = -20$ to $-30 R_E$.

We found that in order to generate fast tailward flows in the CS region, a sufficiently stretched magnetic topology was required. Previous work (Wanliss et al., 2000a) indicates that such topologies are often observed during the latter stages of the substorm growth phase. Thus, our model predicts that fast tailward CS region flows are likely to be observed during the late substorm growth phase, or at other times when the magnetotail is highly stretched. This suggests that fast tailward plasma flows can be obtained without necessarily appealing to magnetotail processes which are associated with dynamic geomagnetic activity such as substorm expansive phases. Although we have not considered flapping in our model, we note that any flapping of the magnetotail would complicate matters further and cause these flows to appear bursty. At expansive phase onset dynamic magnetotail behaviour occurs and rapid flapping or thinning and thickening of the CPS can occur. If pre-existing tailward flows, generated through PSBL dynamics, encountered such variable fields, then moment calculations would be more complex to interpret, since the magnetic field observed by an instrument might change rapidly enough to cause the distinction between directions parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field to be unclear in practice. Thus, some measured cross field flows could be associated with the flapping motion of the magnetotail, as suggested by Lyons et al. (1999, 2000). It is likely that these difficulties cannot be resolved through an examination of moments alone, but require a study of the velocity distribution functions as well, as our results indicate.

Despite the limitations of the test-particle model – self-consistency being the major one – it has the advantage that it

makes only a small number of assumptions and is basically very simple. Since it is not self-consistent, it is reasonable to ask how significant particle-wave interactions are at the source, and if they would produce significant phase space changes in the distribution functions. Consideration of such effects is important but beyond the scope of the present paper. However, results from previous studies seem to indicate that the effect of, for example, broadband electrostatic noise, would not be appreciable on beam distributions (Schriver and Ashour-Abdalla, 1991) in our source region.

Appendix A Curl scale time

It is necessary to consider the effect of $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \neq 0$. Since E_y varies sharply about the NS, this is a region where problems may arise. The curl of the electric field is ideally equal to zero, but in general the electric field can be used, provided $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \ll 1$. To examine this quantitatively, it is necessary to calculate a curl scale time, which is defined as

$$t_{curl} = \left| \frac{\nabla \times \mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{B}} \right|^{-1}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

If this time scale is much greater than the simulation time scale (t_{sim}), and the Alfvénic transit time (t_A), then unrealistic effects caused by a curl in the electric field will be minimal over the particle trajectory. In our model the only place where nonzero curl might affect particle trajectories is when particles cross the NS. The inequality that must be satisfied is

$$\frac{T}{t_{curl}} \ll 1; \quad T = \sup\{t_{sim}, t_A\}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The particle time taken (time from observation point to source) to compute distribution functions was never longer than $t_{sim}=30$ min, which is almost always larger than the Alfvén transit time, given by

$$t_A = L/V_A, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where L is the scale size of the system ($\sim 200 R_E$), and V_A is the Alfvén speed

$$V_A = \frac{B}{(\mu_0 \rho_m)^{1/2}}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

where ρ_m is the mass density. The only place where \mathbf{E} changes rapidly is near the WFR and near the NS. If a number density is known, then one may test to see where Eq. (A2) is valid. A density of 0.2 cm^{-3} is assumed in the NS at $X = -10 R_E$, decreasing linearly to 0.08 cm^{-3} at $-100 R_E$. Figure A1 shows the variation of t_A/T at $Z = 0 R_E$ (top) and $Z = 0.1 R_E$ (bottom). The ratio is largest in the NS and around the WFR (top figure). However, the inequality of Eq. (A2) is satisfied everywhere. This means that the electric field is suitable for use in test-particle tracing.




Fig. A1. Contour plot of $\log_{10}(T/t_{curl})$ defined in Eq. (A1). The top figure shows contours in the $X - Y$ plane at $Z = 0 R_E$. The bottom figure is for data computed in the $X - Y$ plane at $Z = 0.1 R_E$.

Appendix B Notation

BC	Boundary condition
CPS	Central plasma sheet
CS	Magnetotail current sheet
IMF	Interplanetary magnetic field
L_z	Crosstail current sheet half-thickness
MHD	Magnetohydrodynamics
NS	Neutral sheet
PSBL	Plasma sheet boundary layer
PSDE	Phase space density enhancements in the CS region distributions
V_b	Velocity moment in the magnetic field direction
V_B	Velocity parallel to the magnetic field direction
V_C	Velocity parallel to the convection direction
V_E	Velocity parallel to the electric field direction
V_{\parallel}	Velocity parallel to the magnetic field direction
V_{\perp}	Velocity perpendicular to the magnetic field direction
T_{\parallel}	Temperature in the magnetic field direction
T_{\perp}	Temperature perpendicular to the magnetic field direction

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). J. A. W. acknowledges support received through a Province of Alberta Graduate Fellowship. The CANOPUS array is owned and operated by the Canadian Space Agency. GEOTAIL magnetic field and plasma data were provided by T. Nagai and T. Mukai through DARTS at the Institute of Space and Astronautical Science (ISAS) in Japan.

Topical Editor G. Chanteur thanks R. F. Martin and another referee for their help in evaluating this paper.

References

- Alexeev, I. I., Belenkaya, E. S., Kalagaev, V. V., and Lyutov, Yu. G.: Electric fields and field-aligned current generation in the magnetosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 4041–4051, 1993.
- Angelopoulos, V., Baumjohann, W., Kennel, C. F., et al: Bursty bulk flows in the inner central plasma sheet, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 4027–4039, 1992.
- Angelopoulos, V., Kennel, C. F., Coriniti, F. V., et al.: Statistical characteristics of bursty bulk flow events, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 21 257–21 280, 1994.
- Angelopoulos, V.: The role of impulsive particle acceleration in magnetotail circulation, *Proc. of the International Conference on Substorms (ICS-3)*, Versailles, France, 17–22, ESA, 1996.
- Angelopoulos, V., Mozer, F. S., Lin, R. P., et al.: Comment on “Geotail survey of ion flow in the plasma sheet: Observations between 10 and 50 R_E ” by Paterson, W. R. et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 17 521–17 525, 1999.
- Ashour-Abdalla, M., Berchem, J., Büchner, J., et al.: Large and small scale structures in the plasma sheet: A signature of chaotic motion and resonance effects, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 18, 1603–1606, 1991a.
- Ashour-Abdalla, M., Büchner, J., and Zelenyi, L. M.: The quasi-adiabatic ion distribution in the central plasma sheet and its boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 1601–1609, 1991b.
- Ashour-Abdalla, M., Berchem, J. P., Büchner, J., et al.: Shaping of the magnetotail from the mantle: Global and local structuring, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 5651–5676, 1993.
- Ashour-Abdalla, M., Zelenyi, L. M., Perroomian, V., and Richard, L. R.: Consequences of magnetotail ion dynamics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 14 891–14 916, 1994.
- Ashour-Abdalla, M., Zelenyi, L. M., Perroomian, V., et al.: The mosaic structure of plasma bulk flows in the Earth’s magnetotail, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 19191–19209, 1995.
- Ashour-Abdalla, M., Frank, L. A., Paterson, W. R., et al.: Proton velocity distributions in the magnetotail: theory and observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 2587–2598, 1996.
- Ashour-Abdalla, M., El-Alaoui, M., Perroomian, V., et al.: The origin of the near-Earth plasma population during a substorm on 24 November 1996, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 2589–2605, 2000.
- Baker, D. N. and McPherron, R. L.: Extreme energetic particle decreases near geostationary orbit: A manifestation of current diversion within the inner plasma sheet, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 6591–6599, 1990.
- Baker, D. N., Pulkkinen, T. I., McPherron, R. L., et al.: CDAW-9 analysis of magnetospheric events on 3 May 1996: Event C, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 3815–3834, 1993.
- Baker, D. N., Pulkkinen, T. I., Angelopoulos, V., et al.: Neutral line model of substorms: Past results and present view, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 12 975–13 010, 1996.
- Baker, D. N., Pulkkinen, T. I., Büchner, J., and Klimas, A. J.: Substorms: A global instability of the magnetosphere-ionosphere system, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 14 601–14 611, 1999.
- Baumjohann, W., Paschmann, G., and Cattell, C. A.: Average plasma properties in the central plasma sheet, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 94, 6597–6606, 1989.
- Borovsky, J. E., Elphic, R. C., Funsten, H. O., and Thomsen, M. F.: The earth as a laboratory for flow turbulence in high- β MHD, *J. Plasma Phys.*, 57, 1–30, 1997.
- Cattell, C. A. and Elphic, R. C.: Comment on “A statistical study of the plasma sheet: implications for substorm models” by Huang, C. Y. and Frank, L. A., *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 14, 773–775, 1987.
- Chen, L. J., Larson, D., Lin, R. P., et al.: Multicomponent plasma distributions in the tail current sheet associated with substorms, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 843–846, 2000.
- Cladis, J. B.: Parallel acceleration and transport of ions from polar ionosphere to plasma sheet, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13, 893–896, 1986.
- DeCoster R. J. and Frank, L. A.: Observations pertaining to the dynamics of the plasma sheet, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 5099–5121, 1979.
- Delcourt, D. C., Sauvaud, J. A., and Pedersen, A.: Dynamics of single-particle orbits during substorm expansion phase, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 20 853–20 865, 1990.
- Delcourt, D. C. and Sauvaud, J. A.: Generation of energetic proton shells during substorms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 1585–1599, 1991a.
- Delcourt, D. C., Sauvaud, J. A., Martin, Jr., R. F., and Moore, T. E.: On the nonadiabatic precipitation of ions from the near-Earth plasma sheet, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 17 409–17 418, 1996.
- Eastman, T. E., Frank, L. A., Peterson, W. K., and Lennartsson, W.: The plasma sheet boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89, 1553–1572, 1984.
- Eastman, T. E., Christon, S. P., Doke, T., et al.: Magnetospheric plasma regimes identified using Geotail measurements 1. Regime identification and distant variability, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 23 503–23 520, 1998.
- Fedder, J. A., Lyon, J. G., Slinker, S. P., and Mobarry, C. M.: Topological structure of the magnetotail as a function of interplanetary magnetic field, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 3613–3621, 1995a.
- Fedder, J. A., Slinker, S. P., Lyon, J. G., and Elphinstone, R. D.: Global numerical simulation of the growth phase and the expansion onset for a substorm observed by Viking, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 19 083–19 093, 1995b.
- Frank, L. A., Ashour-Abdalla, M., Berchem, J., et al.: Observations of plasmas and magnetic fields in Earth’s distant magnetotail: Comparison with a global MHD model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 19 177–19 190, 1995.
- Frank, L. A., et al.: Plasma velocity distributions in the near-earth plasma sheet: A first look with the Geotail spacecraft, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 10 627–10 637, 1996.
- Harris, E. G.: On a plasma sheath separating regions of oppositely directed magnetic field, *Nuovo Cimento*, 23, 115, 1962.
- Horwitz, J. L.: Velocity filter mechanism for ion bowl distributions (bimodal conics), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 91, 4513–4523, 1986a.
- Horwitz, J. L.: The tail lobe ion spectrometer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 91, 5689–5699, 1986b.
- Horwitz, J. L., et al.: “Kinetic” modeling of the transport of ionospheric ions into the magnetosphere, in *Physics of Space Plasmas (1988)*, SPI Conference Proceedings and Reprint Series, Number 8, Scientific Publishers, Inc., Cambridge, MA, 1989.
- Hoshino, M., Hiraide, K., and Mukai, T.: Strong electron heating and non-Maxwellian behavior in magnetic reconnection, *Earth Planets Space*, 53, 627–634, 2001.
- Huang, C. Y. and Frank, L. A.: A statistical study of the plasma sheet: implications for substorm models, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13, 563–566, 1986.
- Huang, C. Y. and Frank, L. A.: Reply, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 14, 776–779, 1987.
- Iijima, T., Watanabe, M., Potemra, T. A., et al.: Substorm currents in the equatorial magnetotail, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 17 283–17 298, 1993.
- Joyce, G., Chen, J., Slinker, S., et al.: Particle energization near an X line in the magnetotail based on global MHD fields, *J. Geo-*

- phys. Res., 100, 19 167–19 176, 1995.
- Kan, J. R., et al.: A theory of substorms: onset and subsidence, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93, 5624–5640, 1988.
- Kaufmann, R. L.: Substorm currents: Growth phase and onset, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 7471–7486, 1987.
- Krauss-Varban, D. and Omid, N.: Large-scale hybrid simulations of the magnetotail, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 3271–3274, 1995.
- Kubyschkina, M. V. Sergeev, V. A., Pulkkinen, T. A., et al.: Hybrid input algorithm: An event oriented magnetospheric model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 24 977–24 993, 1999.
- Larson, D. J. and Kauffman, R. L.: Structure of the magnetotail current sheet, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 21 447–21 461, 1996.
- Liu, A. T. Y. and Williams, W.: Bursty bulk flows without a near-Earth neutral line: Generation of fast intermittent flow in a highly curved magnetic field, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 289–300, 2001.
- Lui, A. T. Y., Eastman, T. E., Williams, D. J., and Frank, L. A.: Observations of ion streaming during substorms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88, 7753–7764, 1983.
- Lu, G., Tsyganenko, N. A., Lui, A. T. Y., et al.: Modeling of time-evolving magnetic fields during substorms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 12 327–12 337, 1999.
- Lyons, L. R. and Speiser, T. W.: Evidence for current sheet acceleration in the geomagnetic tail, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87, 2276–2286, 1982.
- Lyons, L. R., Nagai, T., Blanchard, G. T., et al.: Association between Geotail plasma flows and auroral poleward boundary intensifications observed by CANOPUS photometers, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 4485–4500, 1999.
- Lyons, L. R., et al.: Structured currents associated with tail bursty flows during turbulent plasma sheet conditions, (Eds) Ohtani, S.-I., Fujii, R., Hesse, M., and Lysak, R. L., 267, AGU Monograph 118, AGU, Washington, DC, 2000.
- Martin, Jr., R. F., Speiser, T. W., and Klamczynski, K.: Effect of B_y on neutral line reidges and dynamical source ordering, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 23 623–23 638, 1994.
- Miyashita, Y., Machida, S., Nishida, A., et al.: GEOTAIL observations of total pressure and electric field variations in the near and mid-distant tail associated with substorm onsets, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 639–642, 1999.
- Mukai, T., Machida, S., Saito, Y., et al.: The Low Energy Particle (LEP) experiment onboard the GEOTAIL Satellite, *J. Geomag. Geoelectr.*, 46, 669–692, 1994.
- Nagai, T., Fujimoto, M., Saito, Y., et al.: Structure and dynamics of magnetic reconnection for substorm onsets with Geotail observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 4419–4440, 1998.
- Nakai, H., Kamide, Y., and Russell, C. T.: Influences of solar wind parameters and geomagnetic activity on the tail lobe magnetic field: A statistical study, *J. Geophys. Research*, 96, 5511–5523, 1991.
- Nakai, H., Kamide, Y., and Russell, C. T.: Statistical nature of the magnetotail current in the near-earth region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 9573–9586, 1997.
- Nakamura, M., Kamide, Y., Baumjohann, W., et al.: Ion distributions and flows near the neutral sheet, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 5631–5649, 1991.
- Nakamura, M., Paschmann, G., Baumjohann, W., et al.: Ion distributions and flows in and near the plasma sheet boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 1449–1460, 1992.
- Nakamura, R., Baker, D. N., Fairfield, D. H., et al.: Plasma flow and magnetic field characteristics near the midtail neutral sheet, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 23 591–23 601, 1994.
- Onsager, T. G., Thomsen, M. F., Elphic, R. C., et al.: Model of electron and ion distributions in the plasma sheet boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 20 999–21 011, 1991.
- Onsager, T. G. and Mukai, T.: The structure of the plasma sheet and its boundary layers, *J. Geomag. Geoelectr.*, 48, 687–697, 1996.
- Paterson, W. R., Frank, L. A., Kokubun, S., et al.: Geotail survey of ion flow in the plasma sheet: Observations between 10 and 50 R_E , *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 11 811–11 825, 1998.
- Paterson, W. R., Frank, L. A., Kokubun, S., et al.: Reply, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 17 527–17 528, 1999.
- Pulkkinen, T. I., Baker, D. N., Fairfield, D. H., et al.: Modeling the growth phase of a substorm using the Tsyganenko model and multi-spacecraft observations: CDAW-9, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 1963–1966, 1991.
- Pulkkinen, T. I., Baker, D. N., Pellinen, R. J., et al.: Particle scattering and current sheet stability in the geomagnetic tail during the substorm growth phase, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 19 283–19 297, 1992.
- Pulkkinen, T. I., Baker, D. N., Toivanen, P. K., et al.: Magnetospheric field and current distributions during the substorm recovery phase, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 10 955–10 966, 1994.
- Pulkkinen, T. I., et al.: Coupling of inner tail and midtail processes, in: Substorms-4, (Eds) Kokubun, S. and Kamide, Y., 749–754, Terra Sci., Tokyo, 1998.
- Raeder, J., Walker, R. J., and Ashour-Abdalla, M.: The structure of the distant geomagnetic tail during long periods of northward IMF, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 349–352, 1995.
- Rosenbauer H., Grünwaldt, Montgomery, M. D., et al.: Heos 2 plasma observations in the distant polar magnetosphere: the plasma mantle, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 80, 2723–2737, 1975.
- Rostoker, G., et al.: CANOPUS – a ground-based instrument array for remote sensing the high latitude ionosphere during the ISTP/GGS program, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 71, 743–760, 1995.
- Sanny, J., McPherron, B. R. L., Russell, C. T., et al.: Growth phase thinning of the near-Earth current sheet during the CDAW 6 substorm, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 5805–5816, 1994.
- Sauvaud, J. A. and Delcourt, D.: A numerical study of suprathermal ionospheric ion trajectories in three-dimensional electric and magnetic field models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 5873–5884, 1987.
- Schrifer, D. and Ashour-Abdalla, M.: Consequences of wave-particle interactions on chaotic acceleration, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 18, 1607–1610, 1991.
- Sergeev V. A., Mitchell, D. G., Russell, C. T., et al.: Structure of the tail plasma/current sheet at 11 R_E and its changes in the course of a substorm, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 17 345–17 365, 1993.
- Slavin, J. A., Smith, E. J., Sibeck, D. G., et al.: An ISEE 3 study of average and substorms conditions in the distant magnetotail, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 10 875–10 895, 1985.
- Smets, J., Delcourt, D., Fontaine, D., et al.: Structure of electron and ion distribution functions near a reconnection line, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 24 837–24 845, 1996.
- Smets, R., Delcourt, D., Fontaine, D., et al.: Ion and electron distribution functions in the distant magnetotail: modeling of Geotail observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 20 407–20 417, 1998.
- Speiser, T. W., Williams, D. J., Garcia, H. A., et al.: Magnetospherically trapped ions as a source of magnetosheath energetic ions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 86, 723–732, 1981.
- Speiser, T. W. and Martin, Jr., R. F.: Energetic ions as remote probes of neutral lines in the geomagnetic tail, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 10 775–10 785, 1992.
- Tsyganenko, N. A. and Usmanov, A. V.: Determination of the magnetospheric current system parameters and development of experimental geomagnetic field models based on data from IMP

- and HEOS satellites, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 30, 985–998, 1982.
- Tsyganenko, N. A.: Global quantitative models of the geomagnetic field in the cislunar magnetosphere for different disturbance levels, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 35, 1347–1358, 1987.
- Tsyganenko, N. A.: A magnetospheric magnetic field model with a warped tail current sheet, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 37, 1347–1358, 1989.
- Tsyganenko, N. A. and Peredo, M.: Analytical models of the magnetic field of disk-shaped current sheets, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 199–205, 1994.
- Tsyganenko, N. A.: Effects of the solar wind conditions on the global magnetospheric configuration as deduced from data-based models, in *Proc. of ICS-3 Conference on Substorms*, Versailles, France, ESA SP-389, 181–185, 1996.
- Walker, R. J. and Ogino, T.: A global magnetohydrodynamic simulation of the origin and evolution of magnetic flux ropes in the magnetotail, *J. Geomagn. and Geoelectr.*, 48, 765–779, 1996.
- Wanliss, J. A., Samson, J. C., and Friedrich, E.: On the use of photometer data to map dynamics of the magnetotail current sheet during substorm growth phase, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 27 673–27 684, 2000a.
- Wanliss, J. A. and Sydora, R. D.: Distribution function mapping Technique to model Distributed Plasma Sources and flows in Space Plasmas, *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on the Numerical Simulation of Plasmas*, Banff, Alberta, 134–137, 2000b.
- Weimer, D. R., Kan, J. R., and Akasofu, S.-I.: Variations of the polar cap potential measured during magnetospheric substorms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 3945–3951, 1992.
- Wiechen, H.: New aspects of plasma sheet dynamics – MHD and kinetic theory, *Ann. Geophysicae*, 17, 595–603, 1999.
- Zwingmann, W.: Self-consistent magnetotail theory: Equilibrium structures including arbitrary variation along the tail axis, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88, 9101–9108, 1983.