Non-linear dynamo waves in an incompressible medium when the turbulence dissipative coefficients depend on temperature

A. D. Pataraya[1], **T. A. Pataraya**[2]

[1] Abastumany Astrophysical Observatory, Kazbegi Str. 2, 380060, Tbilisi, Republic of Georgia
[2] Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, 380028, Republic of Georgia

Abstract. Non-linear α-ω dynamo waves existing in an incompressible medium with the turbulence dissipative coefficients depending on temperature are studied in this paper. We investigate of α-ω solar non-linear dynamo waves when only the first harmonics of magnetic induction components are included. If we ignore the second harmonics in the non-linear equation, the turbulent magnetic diffusion coefficient increases together with the temperature, the coefficient of turbulent viscosity decreases, and for an interval of time the value of dynamo number is greater than 1. In these conditions a stationary solution of the non-linear equation for the dynamo wave's amplitude exists; meaning that the magnetic field is sufficiently excited. The amplitude of the dynamo waves oscillates and becomes stationary. Using these results we can explain the existence of Maunder's minimum.

1 Introduction

It is known (Parker, 1979; Priest, 1982) that for excited α-ω dynamo waves it is necessary to take into account the coefficient of turbulent magnetic diffusion, η, which is of the order of $\eta = vL$, where L is the moving length. This magnitude is equal to the local scale of altitude for the solar convection zone and is proportional to temperature. Accordingly, we can assume that $\eta = \eta_0 (T/T_0)^{n_1}$, where T and T_0 are the temperatures of the excited and unexcited medium, respectively. Analogously, we can assume that for the kinematic viscosity $v = v_0 (T/T_0)^{n_2}$, for the coefficient of temperature conductivity $\chi = \chi_0 (T/T_0)^{n_3}$ and that $\alpha = \alpha_0 (T/T_0)^{n_4}$. In the case that the plasma is entirely non-inductive and is not turbulent we have $n_1 = -1.5$ and $n_2 = 2.5$. Calculations are made in the local Cartesian coordinate system with origin at the centre

of the sun. In the second section the non-linear equations for dynamo waves are given, and in the third the adopted results for the sun are discussed.

2 Investigation of the non-linear dynamo-wave equations

We investigate dynamo waves with the help of magnetohydrodynamic equations given in the present paper. We assume that the medium is uncompressed and conductive, that the turbulence is dissipative and that α coefficients are dependent on temperature. As mentioned, we use a local Cartesian orthogonal coordinate system originating at the centre of the sun, with the axis z directed locally orthogonal to the solar surface, y directed towards the North pole, locally placed along the tangent of the meridian, and the x-axis direction along the west (toroidally).

The main equation of induction is given by (Priest, 1982):

$$\partial \boldsymbol{B}/\partial t + (\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{V})\boldsymbol{B} = (\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{V})\boldsymbol{v} - \text{rot}(\eta \, \text{rot} \, \boldsymbol{B}) + \text{rot}(\alpha \boldsymbol{B}_x \boldsymbol{i}_x); \quad (1)$$

the second is the equation of continuity:

$$\text{div} \, \boldsymbol{v} = 0, \quad \rho = \text{const}; \quad (2)$$

the next is the equation of motion:

$$\frac{\partial \text{rot} \, \boldsymbol{v}}{\partial t} + \text{rot}[\text{rot} \, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}] = 1/4 \, \pi \rho (\text{rot}[\text{rot} \, \boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{B}] + 4 \, \pi \, \text{rot} \, \boldsymbol{F}); \quad (3)$$

and the last is an equation of energy:

$$\partial p/\partial t + (\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{V})p = 1/4 \, \pi (\gamma - 1)\eta \, (\text{rot} \, \boldsymbol{B})^2$$
$$+ (\gamma - 1)\text{div} \, (\chi \boldsymbol{V} p) + (\gamma - 1)H. \quad (4)$$

Here $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ are the vectors of magnetic induction and velocity, respectively, $\rho = $ density, $p = $ pressure, γ is relative heat, $\boldsymbol{i}_x$ is the unit vector along the x-axis and $B_x = x$ component of magnetic induction. Finally, we have the equation of ideal gaze $p = (\text{R}/\mu)\rho T$, where $T = $ temperature, $\text{R} = $ Gasevus constant, $\mu = $ average atomic mass and χ is a coefficient of thermal conductivity.

In Eqs. 3 and 4, F and H are the forces of viscosity and effective viscous dissipation, respectively. From Priest (1982) we consider:

$$F_i = \rho \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\left(v \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k}\right), \tag{5}$$

$$H = \rho v \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_i}\right)^2, \tag{6}$$

where $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_3 = z$, $v_1 = v_x$, $v_2 = v_y$, $v_3 = v_z$. The coefficients η, v, χ and γ are dependent on temperature:

$$\eta = \eta_0 (T/T_0)^{n_1}, \tag{7}$$

$$v = v_0 (T/T_0)^{n_2}, \quad \chi = \chi_0 (T/T_0)^{n_3}, \tag{8}$$

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 (T/T_0)^{n_4}. \tag{9}$$

Here, η_0, v_0, χ_0 and α_0 are constant values.

Equations 1–4 can be solved with the help of perturbation theory. All the functions take the following form: $f = f_0 + f'_1$; where f_0 is an unperturbed term and f'_1 is perturbed function. Let us consider that the unperturbed density $\rho = \rho_0$, pressure $= p_0$, the unperturbed quantity of the magnetic field is equal to zero and v_0 (velocity) has only x components. Then,

$$v_0 = (v_{x0} + v_{xy}y + v_{xz}z)\boldsymbol{i}_x, \tag{10}$$

where v_{x0}, v_{xy}, and v_{xz} are constant quantities.

In accordance with Eq. 4 we investigate the non-perturbed state (gravity is ignored):

$$\partial p_0/\partial t + (\boldsymbol{v}_0 \boldsymbol{V})p_0 = \mathrm{div}(\chi_0 \boldsymbol{V} p_0) + (\gamma - 1)H_0, \tag{11}$$

where

$$H_0 = \rho_0 v_0 (v_{xy}^2 + v_{xz}^2). \tag{12}$$

We estimate the characteristic length L and time t_x when the non-perturbed pressure is changed. The estimation is made in the convected region, where $p_0 = 8 \times 10^{11}\,\mathrm{din\,sm^{-1}}$, $\rho_0 = 10^{-2}\,\mathrm{g\,sm^{-3}}$, $\chi \cong v_0 = 10^{12}\,\mathrm{sm^2\,s^{-1}}$, $|V_{XY}| \cong |V_{XZ}| \cong \Omega$, Ω is frequency of the sun rotation, $|V_{XY}|R_Q \le 10^{10}\,\mathrm{sm\,s^{-1}}$, and R_Q is the radius of the sun.

First we determine L; if $\partial p_0/\partial t = 0$ then $|\boldsymbol{V} p_0| \cong p_0/L$. In accordance with Eq. 11 we obtain: $L = 10^6 R_Q$. When $(\boldsymbol{V} p_0) = 0$ and $\partial p_0/\partial t \cong p_0/t_x$, then $t_x \cong 10^5 t_0$ ($t_0 =$ year 22). In these conditions we can suggest that p_0 depends weakly on time and position. In this case we can use p_0 as a constant in the equations for perturbed quantities.

For the perturbed terms of Eqs. 1–4 we obtain:

$$\partial \boldsymbol{B}'/\partial t + (\boldsymbol{v}_0 \boldsymbol{V})\boldsymbol{B}' = -(\boldsymbol{v}'\boldsymbol{V})\boldsymbol{B}' + (\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{V})\boldsymbol{v}' + \eta_0\,\mathrm{rot}$$
$$\times[(1 + T'/T_0)^{n_1}\,\mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{B}']$$
$$+ \mathrm{rot}[\alpha_0(1 + T'/T_0)^{n_4}B_x\boldsymbol{i}_x]; \tag{13}$$

$$\partial(\mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{v}')/\partial t + (\boldsymbol{v}_0\boldsymbol{V})\,\mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{v}' = (\mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{v}_0\boldsymbol{V})\boldsymbol{v}'$$
$$+ (\mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{v}'\boldsymbol{V})\boldsymbol{v}_0$$
$$+ (\mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{v}'\boldsymbol{V})\boldsymbol{v}' - (\boldsymbol{v}'\boldsymbol{V})\mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{v}'$$
$$+ \mathrm{rot}[\mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{B}', \boldsymbol{B}']/4\pi\rho + \mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{F}'/\rho; \tag{14}$$

$$\partial p'/\partial t + (\boldsymbol{v}_0\boldsymbol{V})p' = -(\boldsymbol{v}'\boldsymbol{V})p' + (\gamma - 1)\eta_0(1 + T'/T_0)^{n_1}$$
$$\times(\mathrm{rot}\,\boldsymbol{B}')^2/4\pi + \chi_0\,\mathrm{div}[1 + T'/T_0)^{n_3}$$
$$\times\boldsymbol{V}(T'/T_0)] + (\gamma - 1)(H - H_0). \tag{15}$$

These equations are correct only for t' and L satisfying the following conditions: $t' \ll 10^5 t_0$ ($t_0 =$ year 22), $L \ll 10^6 R_Q$.

The perturbation terms in Eqs. 13–15 we can consider as ($\rho' = 0$):

$$B_x = B_0 u_2 \exp(\iota\varphi) + C.C., \tag{16}$$

$$B'_y = B_0 \Delta^{-1} k_z \omega u_4 \exp(\iota\varphi) + C.C., \tag{17}$$

$$B'_z = -B_0 \Delta^{-1} k_y \omega u_4 \exp(\iota\varphi) + C.C., \tag{18}$$

$$T/T_0 = u_0 + u_6 \exp(\iota 2\varphi) + u_6^* \exp(-\iota 2\varphi), \tag{19}$$

$$V'_x = u_8 \exp(\iota 2\varphi) + C.C., \tag{20}$$

$$V'_y = u_{10} \exp(\iota 2\varphi) + C.C., \tag{21}$$

$$V'_z = u_{12} \exp(\iota 2\varphi) + C.C., \tag{22}$$

$$\varphi = k_y y + k_z z + \delta\omega \int_0^\tau (1 + u_0)^{n_4/2}\,dt \tag{23}$$

Here, k_y and k_z are the y and z components of the wave number, $\omega = \sqrt{|\alpha_0\Delta|/2}$ is the frequency of the linear dynamo waves, $\Delta = k_z v_{xy} - k_y v_{xz}$, $\delta = 1$ when $\alpha_0\Delta > 0$ and -1 when $\alpha_0\Delta < 0$, $C.C.$ stands for complex conjuction; B_0 is constant, determined as a value of perturbed magnetic induction at $t = 0$; u_0 is a real function of the variable τ, i.e. $\mathrm{Im}\,u_0 = 0$, and in Eq. 19 u_6^* denotes the complex conjuction of the function u_6.

The components of magnetic induction include the characteristic phase of oscillation φ, which, as we can see from Eq. 23, depends on ω. Taking into account these conditions, we can suggest that the perturbed magnetic-induction oscillations include only the first harmonics of the dynamo waves. According to Eq. 19, perturbed pressure consists of the terms with zero and second harmonics of dynamo waves, but the perturbed velocity components from Eqs. 21–23 contain the second harmonics of the dynamo waves only. We can also consider that in Eqs. 13–15 the terms of higher than second-order harmonics are negligibly small and are ignored.

As we can see from Eqs. 16–18, $B_0 u_2$ is an amplitude of the x-component of magnetic induction, and $B_0 u_4$ is an amplitude of the function $(\boldsymbol{B}'\boldsymbol{V})v_x/\omega$. We should mention that all the perturbed values are homogeneous in the x direction; for Eqs. 13–15, $\delta/\delta x = 0$.

We investigate dynamo waves without taking into account the second harmonics of dynamo waves for the perturbed velocity and pressure. In this case the following equations are adopted:

$$du_0/d\tau = C_1 L_1(|u_2|^2 + d_0|u_4|^2) + p_m C_2(L_2 - N^{-1/2}), \tag{24}$$

$$du_2/d\tau = u_4 - [L_1 + i\delta(1 + u_0)^{n_4/2}]u_2, \tag{25}$$

$$du_4/d\tau = i2\delta(1 + u_0)^{n_4}u_2 - [L_1 + i\delta(1 + u_0)^{n_4/2}]u_4. \tag{26}$$

where $\tau = \omega t$, $C_1 = 2(\gamma - 1)\beta^{-1}$, $C_2 = \gamma(\gamma - 1)M_{T1}^2$, $\beta = 4\pi p_0 B_0^{-2}$, $M_{T1} = V_1 V_T^{-1}$, $V_1 = K^{-1}(V_{xy}^2 + V_{xz}^2)^{1/2}$,

$V_T = (\gamma p_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{1/2}$ is the sound velocity in the non-perturbed medium, $p_m = v_0 \eta_0^{-1}$ is the Prandtl magnetic number (Priest, 1982), $d_0 = \omega^2 k^2 \Delta^{-2}$, $k^2 = k_z^2 + k_y^2$, $L_1 = (1 + u_0)^{n_1} N^{-1/2}$, $L_2 = (1 + u_0)^{n_2} N^{-1/2}$ and $N = \omega^2 \eta^{-2} k^4$ is the dynamo number (Priest, 1982).

We now turn to Eqs. 24–26 with $n_2 = 0$ and $n_4 = 0$, and with the following origin conditions: when $\tau = 0$, $\mathrm{Re}\, u_2 = 1$, $\mathrm{Im}\, u_2 = 0$, $\mathrm{Re}\, u_4 = 1$, $\mathrm{Im}\, u_4 = \delta$ and $u_0 = 0$. Using all these conditions we obtain:

$$u_2 = [\Phi(u_0)]^{1/2}, \tag{27}$$

$$u_4 = (1 + i\delta)[\Phi(u_0)]^{1/2}, \tag{28}$$

$$\tau = C_{10}^{-1} N^{1/2} \int_0^{u_0} (1 + \xi)^{-n_1} [\Phi(\xi)]^{-1} d\xi, \tag{29}$$

$$\Phi(u_0) = 1 + 2C_{10}^{-1} \{ N^{1/2} (1 - n_1)^{-1} [(1 + u_0)^{1-n_1} - 1] - u_0 \}, \tag{30}$$

where $C_{10} = C_1(1 + 2d_0)$, $N > 1$ and $u_0 > 0$. We have to find the moment of the time t_1, $(\tau_1 = \omega t_1)$, when u_2 reaches its maximal value $(u_2)_1 = u_{2\max}$, and $(u_0)_1 = u_{01}$. At the moment $(L_1)_1 = L_{10} = 1$,

$$\left. \frac{d^2 u_2}{d\tau^2} \right|_{r=r_1} = -2n_1 N^{-1/2n_1} [\Phi(u_{01})]^{1/2}, \tag{31}$$

$$t_1 = \omega^{-1} C_{10}^{-1} N^{1/2} \int_0^{u_{01}} (1 + \xi)^{-n_1} [\Phi(\xi)]^{-1} d\xi, \tag{32}$$

where $u_{01} = N^{1/(2n_1)} - 1$.

In accordance with Eq. 31, u_2 reaches its maximal value when $n_1 > 0$. From Eqs. 27 and 29, when $u_2 = 1$ we can consider that the moment of time $t_2 > 0$ $(t_2 = \omega t_2)$ can be given as:

$$t_2 = \omega^{-1} C_{10}^{-1} N^{1/2} \int_0^{u_{02}} (1 + \xi)^{-n_1} [\Phi(\xi)]^{-1} d\xi. \tag{33}$$

Here u_{02} is a solution of the following algebraic equation:

$$N^{1/2} (1 - n_1)^{-1} [(1 + u_{02})^{1-n_1} - 1] - u_{02} = 0. \tag{34}$$

When $n_1 = 1$, Eq. 34 can be given as:

$$N^{1/2} \ln(1 + u_{02}) - u_{02} = 0, \tag{35}$$

with

$$n_1 = 2, \qquad u_{02} = N^{1/2} - 1. \tag{36}$$

Investigating Eqs. 27–33 when $N \approx 1$, we obtain:

$$u_{2\max} = (1 + \alpha_n)^{1/2}, \tag{37}$$

$$t_1 = [n_1 C_{10} \omega^2 (1 + \alpha_n)]^{-1/2} \ln[(1 + \alpha_n)^{1/2} + \alpha_n^{1/2}], \tag{38}$$

$$t_2 \approx 2t_1. \tag{39}$$

Here $\alpha_n = (N - 1)^2/(4n_1 C_{10})$, $n_1 > 0$ and $N > 1$.

Now we investigate the stationary solution of Eqs. 24–26. We mark the stationary value of the functions as: $u_2 = u_{20}$, $u_4 = u_{40}$, $u_0 = u_{00}$, thus obtaining

$$u_{40} = (1 + i\delta) u_{20} (1 + u_{00})^{n_4/2}, \tag{40}$$

$$u_{00} = -1 + N^{1/(2n_1 - n_4)}, \tag{41}$$

$$C_1(1 + u_{00})^{n/2} [1 + 2d_0(1 + u_{00})^n] |u_{20}|^2 = \mathrm{Pm}\, C_2 N^{-1/2} [1 - (1 + u_{00})^{n_2}]. \tag{42}$$

In accordance with Eqs. 41–42, for the existence of the stationary solutions it is necessary to satisfy the following inequality:

$$1 > N^{n_2/(2n_1 - n_4)}. \tag{43}$$

This can be satisfied by one or other of the following conditions:

$$N > 1, \quad n_2/(2n_1 - n_4) < 0, \tag{44}$$

or

$$N < 1, \quad n_2/(2n_1 - n_4) > 0. \tag{45}$$

We investigate the stationary state of the solution of Eqs. 24–26 with the help of the perturbation theory for non-linear waves. If the perturbed value is proportional to $\exp(q\tau)$, we get a fourth-order algebraic equation. The discussion of this equation shows us that $\mathrm{Re}\, q < 0$ (Korn and Korn, 1968) if we satisfy the inequalities.

$$n_2 < 0, \tag{46}$$

$$2n_1 - n_4 > 0, \tag{47}$$

so that $n_2/(2n_1 - n_4) < 0$ and, in accordance with Eq. 44, $N > 1$.

When $n_4 = 0$, the criterea of stability have the following form:

$$n_2 < 0, \quad n_1 > 0, (1 + |n_2|/n_1)^{(2n_1 - n_4)/|n_2|} > N > 1. \tag{48}$$

3 Discussion

When the coefficients of viscosity and α are considered to be constant values ($n_2 = 0$, $n_4 = 0$), the asymptotic solutions for the amplitudes of the dynamo waves are given in Eqs. 27–30. It is shown that the amplitude of the magnetic field reaches its maximal value (the magnetic field is at its strongest) when $n_1 > 0$; t is the period of time during which this maximal value is reached (Eq. 39). The equation of the dependence of the magnetic field on the dynamo number is obtained. We can say exactly, that the magnetic field is strengthened when the dynamo number $N > 1$. In the case $N \approx 1$, the rate of strengthening of the magnetic field (ratio of the amplitude of the magnetic field to its meaning when $t = 0$) is proportional to $(N - 1)^2 \beta_0$, where $\beta_0 = 4\pi p_0/B_0^2$ for the non-perturbed medium. We can see that the strengthening of the magnetic field is strong when $(N - 1)^2 \beta_0 \gg 1$.

In the case $n_1 \neq 0$, $n_2 \neq 0$ and $n_4 \neq 0$, the stationary solutions of the equation for the amplitude of the magnetic field and pressure are given. The conditions of stability of this solution have the following form ($\mathrm{Re}\, q < 0$):

$$n_1 > 0, n_2 < 0, 2n_1 - n_4 > 0 \text{ and } N_1 > N > 1;$$

here $N_1 = (1 + |n_2 n_1^{-1}|)^{(2n_1 - n_4)/|n_2|}$.

If $N < N_1$ and $|\mathrm{Re}\, q| > |\mathrm{Im}\, q|$, the perturbed amplitude quickly decreases and, oscillating, approaches a stationary state. The period of oscillation lasts several hundred years,

it compares on the values of the Dynamo number, p_m and β_0. It is seen, therefore, that oscillations account for the existence of the Maunder minimum.

References

Korn, G. A., T. M. Korn, Mathematical Handbook, McGraw-Hill, New York, Hamburg, 1968.

Parker, E. N., *Cosmical Magnetic Fields, Moscow*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.

Priest, E. R., *Solar Magnetohydrodynamics*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1982.