**Atmospheric
Chemistry
and Physics**

Aerosol concentration and size distribution measured below, in, and above cloud from the DOE G-1 during VOCALS-REx

L. I. Kleinman[1], P. H. Daum[1], Y.-N. Lee[1], E. R. Lewis[1], A. J. Sedlacek III[1], G. I. Senum[1], S. R. Springston[1], J. Wang[1], J. Hubbe[2], J. Jayne[3], Q. Min[4], S. S. Yum[5], and G. Allen[6]

[1]Atmospheric Sciences Division, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York, USA
[2]Atmospheric Sciences & Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington, USA
[3]Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, Massachusetts, USA
[4]Atmospheric Sciences Research Center, State University of New York, Albany, New York, USA
[5]Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Yonsei University, Seoul 120749, South Korea
[6]Centre for atmospheric Science, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

Correspondence to: L. I. Kleinman (kleinman@bnl.gov)

Abstract. During the VOCALS Regional Experiment, the DOE G-1 aircraft was used to sample a varying aerosol environment pertinent to properties of stratocumulus clouds over a longitude band extending 800 km west from the Chilean coast at Arica. Trace gas and aerosol measurements are presented as a function of longitude, altitude, and dew point in this study. Spatial distributions are consistent with an upper atmospheric source for O_3 and South American coastal sources for marine boundary layer (MBL) CO and aerosol, most of which is acidic sulfate. Pollutant layers in the free troposphere (FT) can be a result of emissions to the north in Peru or long range transport from the west. At a given altitude in the FT (up to 3 km), dew point varies by 40 °C with dry air descending from the upper atmospheric and moist air having a boundary layer (BL) contribution. Ascent of BL air to a cold high altitude results in the condensation and precipitation removal of all but a few percent of BL water along with aerosol that served as CCN. Thus, aerosol volume decreases with dew point in the FT. Aerosol size spectra have a bimodal structure in the MBL and an intermediate diameter unimodal distribution in the FT. Comparing cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) and pre-cloud aerosol ($D_p > 100$ nm) gives a linear relation up to a number concentration of ∼150 cm^{-3}, followed by a less than proportional increase in CDNC at higher aerosol number concentration. A number balance between below cloud aerosol and cloud droplets indicates that ∼25 % of aerosol with $D_p > 100$ nm are interstitial (not activated). A direct comparison of pre-cloud and in-cloud aerosol yields a higher estimate. Artifacts in the measurement of interstitial aerosol due to droplet shatter and evaporation are discussed. Within each of 102 constant altitude cloud transects, CDNC and interstitial aerosol were anti-correlated. An examination of one cloud as a case study shows that the interstitial aerosol appears to have a background, upon which is superimposed a high frequency signal that contains the anti-correlation. The anti-correlation is a possible source of information on particle activation or evaporation.

1 Introduction

Satellite observations of cloud droplet effective radius indicate a gradient off the shore of Northern Chile. According to MODIS retrievals from the Aqua satellite for the month of October, average cloud droplet radius over the Pacific Ocean increased from 8 to 14 μm from the coast to 1000 km offshore (Wood et al., 2007). This gradient is plausibly attributed to anthropogenic SO_2 from point sources in Chile and Peru, subsequently oxidizded to aerosol sulfate.

Anthropogenic perturbations to marine stratocumulus clouds was one motivation for the VAMOS Ocean-Cloud-Climate-Atmosphere-Land Regional Experiment (VOCALS-REx or VOCALS, for short), an overview of which is provided by Wood et al. (2011). The US DOE G-1 aircraft participated in the international collaboration described by Wood et al. Aboard the G-1 were instruments for in-situ measurements of cloud microphysics, aerosol

concentration, size distribution, and composition, gasses useful as air mass tracers, and navigational and meteorological parameters. The sampling region of the G-1 extended from Arica, Chile, 800 km west to 78° W mainly within a restricted latitude band between Arica at 18.35° S and 20° S.

Allen et al. (2011) have summarized meteorological conditions and the chemical composition of the boundary layer and free troposphere within one degree of 20° S between the coast of Chile and 85° W, relying on aircraft measurements from the United Kingdom BAe 146, NSF C130, and DOE G-1, supplemented by surface observations from the research vessel Ronald H. Brown. Allen et al. (2011) provide multiple comparisons between platforms which, in general, reveals a high degree of consistency. Bretherton et al. (2010), relying principally on the C130, and BAe146 long range aircraft, provide a comprehensive synthesis of marine boundary layer and free troposphere structure, clouds, and precipitation along the 20° S corridor. Further information on the marine boundary layer and aerosol source attribution is given in Rahn and Garreaud (2010) and Chand et al. (2010), respectively. In this study we provide a more complete treatment of aerosol and trace gas measurements from the DOE G-1 with emphasis on the longitudinal and vertical distribution of aerosol concentration and size distribution. Summary information is provided on aerosol composition derived from Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) and Particle into Liquid Sampler (PILS) measurements, the primary description of which will be contained in an article by Lee et al. (2011).

Data are presented for the entire field campaign, segregated into subsets according to longitude, altitude, dew point temperature (T_d), or whether measurements are below, in, or above cloud. We find that dew point is a better indicator of air mass type and origin than altitude and thus trends of trace gasses or aerosol as a function of dew point often show less scatter than the same trends considered as a function of altitude.

The concentration and size distribution of interstitial aerosol are described. These are particles observed in-cloud that either never grew into cloud droplets (i.e., were not activated) or having been activated return to being aerosol particles after cloud droplet evaporation. Properties of interstitial aerosol depend on many variables such as aerosol size and composition, cloud updraft velocity, and entrainment of dry air. Interstitial aerosols have been observed at mountain top sites (e.g. Hallberg et al., 1994; Martinsson et al., 1999; Henning et al., 2002; Mertes et al., 2005) and from aircraft (e.g. Leaitch, 1986, 1996; Gillani et al., 1995; Wang et al., 2009). Results range from nearly complete activation of the accumulation mode to a low fractional activation leaving larger interstitial aerosol particles than expected from an adiabatic cloud droplet activation model (Hallberg et al., 1994; Mertes et al., 2005). Discrepancies could be due to external mixtures, the type and quantity of organic aerosol constituents, or entrainment.

Table 1. Aerosol and cloud droplet instruments.

Instrument	Primary function	D_p range
CPC3025[1]	total aerosol	>3 nm
CPC3010[1]	total aerosol	>10 nm
SMPS (DMA)[2]	aerosol mobility size spectra	15–440 nm
PCASP[3]	cabin	0.1–3 μm
PCASP[3]	pylon	0.1–3 μm
CAS[2]	cloud droplet	0.6–56 μm
CIP[2]	drizzle	8–940 μm
AMS[4]	aerosol composition	60–600 nm
PILS[5]	aerosol composition	<2 μm
PSAP[6]	aerosol absorption	<2 μm

[1] TSI; [2] DMT Inc., model 3081 DMA and model 3010 condensation particle counter for SMPS; [3] PCASP-100X (Particle Measuring Systems, Inc., Boulder, CO) with SPP-200 electronics (Droplet measurement Technologies, Boulder, CO). Size range is nominal; [4] Aerodyne; [5] Brookhaven National Laboratory; [6] Radiance Research.

A simplifying feature of the VOCALS observations is that bulk measurements of aerosol composition indicate a relatively uniform composition of easily activated particles. In-cloud sampling of aerosol is, however, problematic. Two artifacts are discussed. Cloud droplet shatter is observed to create high concentrations of small particles (∼50 nm), with a size distribution extending into the accumulation mode range. The shatter contribution to total in-cloud aerosol becomes minor at ∼D_p > 100–150 nm. Adiabatic heating in the G-1 aerosol inlet has the potential to evaporate cloud droplets creating aerosol. A number-balance based comparison of pre-cloud aerosol with CDNC yields an estimate that ∼25 % of pre-cloud aerosol with D_p > 100 nm is interstitial. An estimate of the interstitial aerosol based on in-cloud measurements, and therefore susceptible to artifact, is larger.

A more robust use of the in-cloud aerosol measurements is in examining the anti-correlation between aerosol interstitial concentration and CDNC. One hundred and two cloud transects were defined and an anti-correlation observed in each. One transect was selected as a case study and used to further illustrate aerosol and cloud droplet variability. A time series and high pass filter showed that the anti-correlation was carried in spikes and dips in aerosol concentration and LWC on a time scale of seconds or less, such as would be produced by an evaporating cloud droplet leaving behind an aerosol particle.

2 Experimental

Aerosol properties are reported at ambient temperature and pressure. Local daylight savings times equal to UTC − 3 are used in this study. Data are archived at http://iop.archive.arm.gov/arm-iop/0special-data/ASP_Campaigns_past/. (Sign in and follow menu to 2008VOCALS.), which uses UTC time.

2.1 Instruments

Aerosol and cloud instruments used in this study are listed in Table 1. The G-1 had its usual navigational and meteorological package for measuring position, winds, temperature, and dew point (chilled mirror hygrometer) http://www.pnl.gov/atmospheric/programs/raf.stm. CO and O_3 were used as air mass tracers. CO was determined by VUV resonance fluorescence (Resonance Ltd., Barrie, ON, Canada). Ozone was measured by a modified UV absorption detector (Model 49-100, Thermo Electron Corporation, Franklin, MA). SO_2 measurements from a modified pulsed fluorescence detector (Model 43S, Thermo Electron Corporation, Franklin, MA) were typically below a 200 ppt limit of detection. Further information on gas phase instruments used in VOCALS can be found in Springston et al. (2005) and Kleinman et al. (2007).

A forward facing two-stage diffuser aerosol inlet system supplied ambient air for aerosol instruments located inside the cabin of the G-1 (Brechtel, 2003). Slowing down air from the G-1 speed of $100\,m\,s^{-1}$ to a few $m\,s^{-1}$ results in $5\,°C$ adiabatic heating. Droplet evaporation rates were calculated from the coupled heat and mass transfer equations in McGraw and Liu (2006), (R. McGraw, personal communication, 2011). Most droplets evaporate within one second. Less clear is the transit time of a cloud droplet through the inlet and plumbing inside the aircraft before impaction occurs. Our estimate is that these times scales are competitive. If evaporation occurs before impaction, aerosols so generated would mistakenly be classified as interstitial. Smaller cloud droplets may evaporate in the outside-cabin PCASP due to active and passive heating applied over a residence time estimated to be 0.1–0.3 s (Strapp et al., 1992).

2.1.1 Aerosol composition

Aerosol composition was measured via an AMS and PILS and acidity determined by NH_4^+ to SO_4^{2-} ratio and conductivity as described by Lee et al. (2011). They found that nonrefractory, sub-micron size aerosol in the marine boundary layer had an average composition between $(NH_4)HSO_4$ and H_2SO_4 with a 10–15 % admixture of organics. Acidic aerosol with this composition contains significant water even at low relative humidity (RH) (Lee et al., 2011). Based on the E-AIM website, http://www.aim.env.uea.ac.uk/aim/aim.php, (Clegg et al., 1998) a solution consisting of 30 molal each NH_4HSO_4 and H_2SO_4 is calculated to be in equilibrium at the ~15 % RH used for particle size measurements. The density of this solution is $1.56\,g\,cm^{-3}$ at 298.15 K, insensitive to temperature (Clegg and Wexler, 2011). If an insoluble organic component with density $1.2\,g\,cm^{-3}$ comprises 10 % of the aerosol mass, then the volume growth factor at 15 % RH (calculated as the sum of the volumes of the solution and organic component divided by the sums of the volumes of the solutes and the organic component), is equal to 1.29, and the radial growth factor is 1.09.

2.1.2 Aerosol size distributions

Size distributions of aerosol particles in the Aitken and accumulation mode were quantified with one minute time resolution using an SMPS (scanning mobility particle sizer) consisting of a cylindrical differential mobility analyzer (DMA) and a condensation particle counter. It is assumed that particles are spherical so that mobility and geometric diameters are equal. Data were analyzed using the inversion algorithms described by Collins et al. (2002). A Nafion dryer upwind of the DMA reduced RH to 14 % ($1\sigma = 2$ %). In reporting "dry" size distributions in this article, water of hydration at 14 % RH is not taken into account. Reported particle diameters are thus approximately 9 % larger than in the dry state. In the case where there are few particles below the 15 nm lower limit of detection of the SMPS, the total number of particles in the size range 15–440 nm should be a good approximation for CN. Comparisons between the CPC3010 ($D_p > 10$ nm) and the SMPS generally show agreement within 10 % for sampling periods without appreciable concentrations of small particles.

Two Passive Cavity Aerosol Spectrometer (PCASP) units with SPP-200 electronics upgrade were used to measure aerosol with nominal diameter between 0.1 and 3 μm. One PCASP was mounted on a pylon on the nose of the aircraft and the other located inside the cabin. In the data archive the two PCASPs are identified as units A and B. Midway through the program these units were switched, so that from the start of the program through 28 October, unit A was outside and unit B inside. From 29 October till the end of the VOCALS campaign, unit B was outside and unit A inside. The relative humidity of air sampled by both PCASPs was decreased by the use of deicing heaters which yields an increase in temperature, reported to be 10 to 20 °C (Strapp et al., 1992; Hallar et al., 2006; Snider and Petters, 2008). Cabin heat also contributed to the drying of aerosol measured inside the aircraft.

PCASPs were calibrated with PSL particles with a refractive index (RI) of 1.59. For the data archive, a Mie scattering code was used to generate data at RI = 1.55, a value slightly more representative of continental aerosol. For this study, a second Mie scattering calculation was used to adjust size bins to an RI of H_2SO_4 (1.41). This is close to the volume weighted refractive index determined from nonrefractory components of sub micrometer size aerosol measured by the AMS (RI = 1.46). Addition of retained water (RI = 1.33) results in a lower refractive index, close to 1.41.

Comparisons were made between aerosol volume determined by the SMPS and the two PCASPs. In order to match size ranges, volumes are calculated for D_p between 0.11 and 0.44 μm. A linear regression of PCASP A volume vs. SMPS volume had a slope of 0.84 and $r^2 = 0.91$; for PCASP B the slope and r^2 were 0.98 and 0.93, respectively. Agreement is well within the 30 % uncertainty limits typically cited for PCASP and SMPS volume measurements. Volumes

determined by PCASP A and B vary by only a few percent according to which unit is inside or outside of the aircraft.

PCASP and SMPS number distributions differed, primarily due to a low detection efficiency of small particles in the PCASP ($D_p < 160$ nm), which was confirmed only recently in a post-flight calibration of PCASP A. PCASP size distributions were adjusted to match those from the SMPS. A set of global adjustment factors to be applied to all PCASP data were determined by performing a linear regression between the SMPS and PCASP A and PCASP B for 15 size bins. All non-cloud data were used for these regressions. To test the normalization procedure, plots were made of PCASP vs. SMPS number concentration integrated over the overlap size range 110–440 nm (not shown). A least squares regression yields slopes of 0.95 and 0.96 for PCASP A and B vs. SMPS, respectively, both with an r^2 of 0.85. By construction slopes should be close to unity. Scatter in the number comparisons is a measure of how well a single set of adjustment factors works in the differing environments encountered over 16 flights. Normalization resulted in an increase of total particle concentration by 41 % and 18 % from PCASP A and PCASP B, respectively.

By adjusting the PCASP data, we are relying on the SMPS as providing an intrinsically more accurate size distribution. The adjustment procedure allows for the determination of number concentrations and size distributions with 1 s time resolution using PCASP data which on average agrees with the SMPS. Fast response aerosol number concentrations will prove useful in examining the anti-correlation between cloud droplets and interstitial aerosol.

2.1.3 Cloud microphysics

Cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) was determined via a Cloud and Aerosol Spectrometer (CAS) probe manufactured by Droplet Measurement Technologies (DMT). Results were integrated over a diameter range between 2.5 and 50 μm. Cloud liquid water content (LWC) was measured with a Particle Volume Monitor (PVM, Gerber et al., 1994) and checked against a hot wire detector. Drizzle concentration was determined from a DMT Cloud Imaging Probe (CIP) which together with the CAS and hot wire detector are packaged together as a Cloud, Aerosol, and Precipitation Spectrometer (CAPS), mounted on a pylon off the nose of the G-1. Data has been archived at 0.1, 1, and 10 Hz, and is available on request at 40 and in some cases 200 Hz.

2.2 Flights

Figure 1 shows the 3-D sampling region of the G-1 during 16 of 17 research flights. An electrical failure on 18 Oct. limited data collection and that flight is not used in this study. Instrument status and flight times are tabulated in spreadsheet format on the same ftp site as the data. Flight duration was ap-


Fig. 1. Ground track of G-1 color coded by CO concentration. A portion of one flight south of -20.5 latitude not shown.

proximately 4 h. Thirteen of 16 flights started between 08:57 and 10:20 (11:57 and 13:20 UTC).

Flight objectives were to determine the horizontal and vertical variability of cloud and aerosol properties. The range of the G-1 allowed for sampling between Arica at 70.3° W out over the Pacific to 78° W, an E-W distance of 800 km. Vertical sampling was primarily done via multiple transects at different altitudes or ascents and descents through clouds, and less often by porposing between cloud and above-cloud regions.

A typical flight track designed to sample longitudinal variations in cloud and aerosol properties is shown in Fig. 2. Because clouds thinned and sometimes disappeared due primarily to solar heating as the day progressed, the out bound leg was usually devoted to cloud sampling and the inbound leg to sampling in the boundary layer below cloud height. In order to examine interstitial aerosol, 102 constant altitude transects were identified in which the maximum liquid water content (LWC) was at least 0.1 g m^{-3}. Often there were multiple transects in a single cloud separated by a step change in altitude of order tens of m or greater. For many transects clouds were broken or occupied only a portion of the transect. One cloud on Flight 081028a (Fig. 2) is singled out as a case study to illustrate the anti-correlation between cloud droplet number concentration and interstitial aerosol found in all 102 cloud transects.

As indicated in Fig. 3, aerosol number concentration measured by SMPS was nearly the same on outbound and inbound legs, even though below-cloud measurements at a particular longitude could be separated by 500 m in altitude and more than 3 h in time (compare with Fig. 2). There are isolated occurrences in other flights of transects within 100 m of cloud base and in the mid to bottom MBL, in general indicating well mixed aerosol below cloud base. On average,

Fig. 2. Longitude-altitude cross section of flight track for 081028a color coded for cloud liquid water content in $g\,m^{-3}$. Cloud at $-75.2°$ W longitude is used to illustrate anti-correlation between cloud droplets and interstitial aerosol.

Fig. 3. Below cloud level SMPS measurement of number of particles with $D_p > 75$ nm.

pre-cloud aerosol can be represented by low altitude samples collected at the same longitude. In addition, because horizontal gradients in composition are small, we allow air within 15 km of a cloud to be called pre-cloud. These approximations lead to scatter in relations between cloud and pre-cloud properties.

There were 3 intercomparison flights between the G-1 and C130, BAe-146 and Twin Otter that yielded data on LWC and CDNC (from a CDP or CAS probe). Results are summarized in Table 2. Intercomparisons were conducted with a several minute time separation between aircraft. Small differences in location, especially altitude, had a large influence on LWC. More significant are differences in CDNC where the G-1 values have to be reduced by 10, 17 and 38 % to match the C130, TO, and BAe146, respectively. Although the comparison with the BAe146 is based on a short sample through broken clouds, a particle balance between below cloud and in-cloud is consistent with the G-1 CDNC being approximately 20 % too high – of the same order as published estimates of measurement uncertainty (Fountoukis et al., 2007). This factor also agrees with inter-platform differences in CDNC vs. longitude (not shown).

3 Results

Source regions for aerosol and trace gases have been analyzed by Allen et al. (2011) and Bretherton et al. (2010) by means of back trajectories calculated for marine boundary layer (MBL) at 950 hPa and free troposphere (FT) at 850 hPa starting from 20° S at distances from the shore varying from 70.5° W to 90° W. Much of this work is pertinent to the longitudinal and vertical pollutant distribution measured by the G-1. Allen et al. (2011) and Bretherton et al. (2010) found that pollutants in the near coastal MBL could be explained by low altitude winds from the S and SE that intersected the Chilean coast. Trajectories terminating further offshore where pollution levels are lower tend to remain off shore, missing coastal emission sources. FT back trajectories were more diverse. In one population, descending air from the direction of Australia and South Asia carried pollutants to the VOCALS region. Another category of FT trajectory prevalent between the coast and 75° W were northerlies from Peruvian coastal regions. A contribution to the FT consisting of return coastal flow from the MBL is hypothesized by Allen et al. (2011) based on instances with high humidity and low O_3.

3.1 Spatial distributions

Data have been segregated into marine boundary layer, pre-cloud, in-cloud, and free tropospheric subsets, as defined in Table 3. Except when used in a general sense, the term "MBL" refers to non-cloud air below 800 m altitude. The particular definition of MBL can be recognized as it refers to, e.g. a region in which an average concentration or size distribution has been calculated. Below-cloud is that part of the data within the MBL (used as a general term) that is defined as being below clouds that were encountered on ascents and descents. Pre-cloud air is an approximation to the air actually ingested into a cloud. Because there are only a few instances of flight transects within cloud followed or preceded by a flight segment within ~100 m of cloud base, several approximations are used in defining pre-cloud air, as given in Table 3 and described in Sect. 2.2. Clouds were located primarily between 800 and 1200 m altitude with cloud base and inversion height increasing with distance from the shore (Rahn and Garreaud, 2010; Bretherton et al., 2010).

Figure 4 shows frequency distributions of sub-micrometer aerosol number and volume concentration and CO and O_3 mixing ratio as a function of longitude for the below cloud layer. Aerosol number concentration and volume decrease 2.3 and 3.6-fold, respectively between 70° and 75° W, the difference between number and volume appearing in the aerosol size distributions, presented below. There is a monotonic decrease in CO between 70° and 75° W totaling 10 ppb, while O_3 increases by 9 ppb and continues to increase west of 75° to the limit of our sampling at 78° W. In contrast, CO and aerosol number and volume do not have a significant zonal

Table 2. Cloud sampling intercomparisons.

Platform	Date	G-1			Other aircraft		
		CDNC	LWC	Time[1]	CDNC	LWC	Time[1]
TO	26 Oct	402	0.16	10:47–10:52	335	0.14	10:54–11:04
C130	04 Nov	226	0.10	11:28–11:35	203	0.16	11:25–11:33
BAe-146	12 Nov	47.3[2]	0.0065[2]	12:49–12:56	29.3	0.0095	12:45–12:51

[1] rounded off to nearest minute. [2] Maximum values $\sim 400\,\mathrm{cm^{-3}}$, $0.1\,\mathrm{g\,m^{-3}}$, in-cloud for ~ 1 min.

Table 3. Definition of atmospheric layers.

Variable[1]	Data Subset			
	marine boundary layer	pre-cloud	in-cloud	above-cloud
Altitude (m)	<800	below and within 15 km of cloud	>600	>1200
RH (%)	n/a	<90	n/a	n/a
Theta (°C)	n/a	n/a	n/a	>22
LWC ($\mathrm{g\,m^{-3}}$)	<0.01	<0.01	>0.02, $\mathrm{LWC_{avg}}$>0.05	<0.01

[1] Condition applies to each 1s time period within averaging time, except for $\mathrm{LWC_{avg}}$ which is an average value over a 1 minute SMPS scan.

gradient west of 75°. Median concentrations in the free tro-posphere are also given in Fig. 4. Concentrations are highest near the coast except for O_3. Relative to the marine bound-ary layer, CO and especially O_3 have a greater concentration in the free troposphere. Particle volume decreases several-fold with altitude but number concentration decreases only marginally, a result consistent with size distributions shown in a following section. The broad covariance between MBL and FT aerosol and trace gases suggest coupling between these atmospheric layers.

Even though the sampling region of the G-1 was primarily north of the 20° S corridor reported on by Allen et al. (2011), there is excellent agreement in longitudinal structure in the MBL and FT for the 3 quantities that can be directly com-pared: O_3, CO, and CN (10 nm lower limit from CPC 3010 on BAe146, 15 nm from SMPS on G-1). SO_2 concentra-tions were below our detection limit of ~ 0.2 ppb except for a few near coast measurements, not surprising in a cloud cov-ered MBL where there is opportunity for aqueous phase re-action with H_2O_2. With a more sensitive instrument, Allen et al. (2011) report mean SO_2 concentrations of 20–30 ppt, with higher values near the coast and concentrations approach-ing 1/2 ppb in a few discrete layers at 2–3 km altitude. The general picture based on longitudinally dependent SO_2 and aerosol sulfate measurements is that even near the shore, within the MBL, air masses had a high sulfate to SO_2 molar ratio indicating that with respect to sulfur theses air masses were aged.

Frequency distributions as a function of altitude have been constructed by dividing the data set into altitude bins as shown in Fig. 5. Data points in and out of clouds are in-

cluded for CO and O_3, but points with LWC $>0.01\,\mathrm{g\,m^{-3}}$ are excluded for aerosol number and volume. Because samples are not uniformly distributed in longitude or altitude, there are biases in constructing these vertical profiles. As can be seen from Fig. 1, high altitude points are primarily east of 74°. Also, according to Fig. 5f, data points between 400–800 m are closer to the shore than those in the lower altitude bin (100–400 m) thereby accounting for aerosol volume in-creasing with altitude in the two low altitude bins (Fig. 5b). The vertical structure shown in Fig. 4, in general, appears more pronounced than that shown in Fig. 5. This is partly an artifact caused by sampling inhomogeneities mentioned above. There is also a real difference in the data sets as the FT data in Fig. 4 incorporates the requirement that potential temperature, θ, exceed 22 °C, a constraint that insures sepa-ration between below and above cloud aerosol size spectra.

Figure 5e shows that in each of the three above cloud al-titude bins, dew point varies by about 40 °C, indicating that at a given altitude in the FT air masses with very different histories are being sampled. As dew point is controlled by the maximum altitude to which an air parcel is lifted, lower dew points indicate air masses that subsided from the middle or upper troposphere, whilst higher dew points indicate air masses that have a boundary layer origin. The association between dew point and air mass origin prompts us to exam-ine aerosol and trace gas concentration as a function of dew point in Fig. 6. A qualitative correspondence between layers defined according to dew point and those defined according to altitude is that the below-cloud part of the MBL corre-sponds to the two bins with highest dew point (> 10 °C), the cloud layer has dew point between 6 and 10 °C, and the three

Fig. 4. Box plot showing frequency distribution of measurements in the MBL below 800 m of **(a)** aerosol number concentration and **(b)** volume measured by SMPS, **(c)** O_3, and **(d)** CO. Box indicates the 25th to 75th percentile range with line for median. Whiskers show 10th and 90th percentile values. Blue lines indicate the median values of FT observation divided into two degree longitude bins.


Fig. 5. Frequency distribution as a function of altitude. Box indicates the 25th to 75th percentile range with line for median. Whiskers show 10th and 90th percentile values. Circles are 5th and 95th percentile. Altitude bins are 100–400 m, 400–800 m, 800–1200 m, 1200–1600 m, 1600–2000 m, and above 2000 m.

above cloud layers are represented by three dew point ranges between 6 and $-40\,°C$.

For water soluble substances with a surface source, the decrease in temperature and specific humidity with altitude is an effective cold trap preventing upward transport and leading to a qualitative proportionality between accumulation mode aerosol and water vapor (Kleinman and Daum, 1991). Aerosol volume decreases with dew point, in this case by a factor of 6, due to precipitation removal of particles large enough to act as CCN whilst insoluble substances such as CO and O_3 are not affected. Particle number concentration does not decrease to the same extent because most of the particles in dry air are too small to act as CCN. Trends in the number concentration of larger particles, say $D_p > 0.1\,\mu m$, more nearly follow aerosol volume.

Ozone is seen to increase in drier air as expected for a gas with an upper atmospheric source. Also contributing to the O_3 gradient is surface deposition and photochemical destruction by $O_3 + h\nu \rightarrow O\,(^1D); O(^1D) + H_2O \rightarrow 2\,OH$, which proceeds more rapidly in moist air near the surface (Ayers et al., 1992). Given a long enough residence time, near surface O_3 concentrations can approach zero as seen by Kley et al. (1996) in the remote Pacific. Although photochemical destruction of O_3 in the MBL is expected, concentrations in the limited geographic area covered by the G-1 increase with distance from the coast. The VOCALS region, unlike the remote Pacific, is characterized by strong subsidence and it is likely that the source of O_3 in the MBL towards the west is subsidence from the FT. Indeed, O_3 from the FT is used as an

Fig. 6. Frequency distribution as a function of dew point. Box plot format same as in Fig. 5. Dew point bins are 16 to 12 °C, 12 to 10 °C, 10 to 6 °C, 6 to 0 °C, 0 to −20 °C, −20 to −40 °C. Qualitatively, the first 2 bins are below cloud, the third bin in the cloud layer, and the driest 3 bins above cloud.

inert tracer to quantify cloud top entrainment (e.g. Faloona et al., 2005). Aerosol particles will be entrained as a component of FT O_3 containing air. Although large particles in the FT are fewer than in the MBL (Sect. 3.2, following), they can, as shown by Clarke et al. (1996, 1997), provide CCN to replace those lost by drizzle – a process of particular importance in the drizzled out Pocket of Open Cell (POC) regions further west than the G-1 sampled (Wood et al., 2011).

In the MBL, CO is anti-correlated with O_3 consistent with the oppositely directed east-west trends shown in Figs. 4c and d. Emission sources are located on land which lends some logic to CO concentrations being highest near the shore but does not address the near-shore O_3 which not only is low but is also anticorrelated with CO. CO-O_3 anticorrelations of the type observed in winter by Parrish et al. (1998) do not seem relevant in the low NO_x VOCALS region. Allen et al. (2011) suggest the possible effects of fresh emissions but also note that a transport process may be responsible. An explanation for the low near-shore O_3 may have to await process analysis of chemical transport model results (Yang et al., 2011).

The anti-correlation between CO and O_3 disappears at the 0 to −20° C dew point level and in the driest air is replaced with a significant positive correlation due to long range transport of pollution plumes. An extreme example occurred on Flight 081025a and is identified on Fig. 7. The polluted layer was at 74.5° W between 2300 and 2500 m altitude. Dew point at −40 °C was among the driest observed. Insoluble pollutants, CO and O_3 averaged 115 and 83 ppb, the highest levels observed during the campaign. Aerosol number and volume were several-fold less than at lower altitude but were the highest values observed in such dry air. Ten day back trajectories generated from the HYSPLIT model (Draxler and

Fig. 7. Box plot showing frequency distributions for **(a)** sulfate and **(b)** organic aerosol measured with the AMS as a function of dew point. Box plot has same format as in Fig. 5. Each gray point represents data averaged over a ∼60 s DMA scan. Data points with LWC >0.01 g m^{-3} are excluded. Blue points are 7 min of measurements in an organic rich plume on 25 October. An indication of the AMS signal to noise is provided by the small number and magnitude of points with negative concentration.

Rolph, 2010) descend from high altitude (>7000 m) over the Pacific but are inconclusive regarding the origin of this air mass. As CO definitely has a boundary layer source, these descending air parcels maintain a chemical record of a prior boundary layer residence.

In order not to distort statistical relations by a single high concentration plume, correlations for data with dew point between −20 and −40 °C have been calculated with and without data from 25 October. With the 25 October plume, a reduced major axis least squares regression yields a CO to O_3 ratio of 1.0 with an r^2 of 0.68. Removing the 25 October data yields a CO to O_3 ratio of 1.2 with an r^2 of 0.41. Both values indicate very efficient O_3 production and/or loss of O_3 in transport as compared with surface observations that have a CO/O_3 ratio a factor of 3 lower (Parrish et al., 1998). The 25 Oct. plume shows up in the concentrations of aerosol sulfate and even more in organic aerosol (Fig. 7). A linear regression between organic aerosol and CO gives a ratio of 7.2 µg m^{-3} per ppm CO ($r^2 = 0.56$). A similar ratio of 6.9 µg m^{-3} per

ppm CO is obtained without data from 25 October. These values are an order of magnitude lower than found in polluted boundary layer air masses after about one day of photochemical processing (Kleinman et al., 2008), indicating precipitation removal of aerosol.

In addition to the CO plumes observed in the driest air there are observations of high CO (>80 ppb) concentration, located over a range of altitudes with dew points between 0 and $6\,^\circ$C (i.e. in the bin just above the cloud layer). CO in these cases is anti-correlated with O_3. HYSPLIT back trajectories intercept or come close to the Peruvian coast in agreement with wind measurements presented by Bretherton et al. (2010) and Rahn and Garreaud (2010). Bretherton et al. (2010) note that heating of the Andean slope mixes moisture into a layer that becomes the lower free troposphere when advected over the Pacific. High concentrations of CO in the moist above cloud layer are further evidence of the upward mixing of continental boundary layer air. The next driest bin in Fig. 6 also has data points with high CO. Here the anti-correlation between CO and O_3 has changed into a lack of correlation.

Concentrations of aerosol sulfate and organics both decrease with altitude (Lee et al., 2011) or dew point (Fig. 7). There is a selective reduction in aerosol sulfate in dry air which could reflect a greater solubility in cloud droplets and propensity for wet removal, relative to organics, and/or a different mixture of sources affecting boundary layer and free tropospheric air. Whereas the organic to sulfate ratio is ~ 0.1 in the marine boundary layer it increases to above 0.5 in the driest air and is greater than 1 in the plume encountered on 25 October. Absorption measurements were examined for information on light absorbing carbon aerosol. Except for isolated plumes and areas near land, aerosol absorption was too low to reliably quantify with a median value of $0.6\,\text{Mm}^{-1}$ in the boundary layer and $0.4\,\text{Mm}^{-1}$ above.

3.2 Aerosol size distribution

Aerosol size distributions measured with the SMPS are shown as a function of longitude in Fig. 8 for the below cloud layer and free troposphere. Below cloud aerosol has a characteristic two mode structure separated by a minimum. As described by Hoppel et al. (1986) this minimum is the result of a selective activation of larger aerosol particles in the cloud forming process. Aqueous phase chemistry, principally the oxidation of SO_2 to sulfate adds solute mass. Upon cloud evaporation, larger aerosol particles that had been activated create an accumulation-size mode (sometimes called a droplet mode) that is distinct from the smaller aerosol particles that have not increased in mass by aqueous phase chemistry. Composition measurements reported by Lee et al. (2011) show that sub micron size aerosol is primarily partially neutralized H_2SO_4, consistent with a formation route by aqueous phase oxidation of SO_2. It would be expected that the droplet mode contains a higher ratio of sulfate to or-

Fig. 8. Aerosol size distribution measured with SMPS **(a)** in the MBL below 800 m and **(b)** above cloud layer.

ganics than the Aitken mode. This, however, could not be tested using AMS size distributions because the volume of the Aitken mode was too small to quantify. With increasing distance from the shore, Fig. 8 shows that the droplet mode contains an increasing fraction of the total aerosol. At the same time, the droplet mode becomes narrower with a decreasing proportion of larger particle ($D_p > 300$ nm). The droplet mode size is nearly invariant ($D_p = 160$-185 nm) but the Hoppel minimum diameter and the Aitken mode size move to lower values. A possible mechanism for the movement of the Hoppel minimum diameter and Aitken mode to a smaller size is that aerosol concentrations are lower further from the coast, which in the presence of a fixed vertical velocity results in smaller particles being activated. In contrast, free tropospheric aerosol is predominately unimodal with a mode size between that for Aitken and accumulation modes observed in the MBL.

Size distributions of aerosol particles as functions of dew point are shown in Fig. 9. Particles in the boundary/cloudy layer with $T_d > 6\,^\circ$C are seen to have a bimodal distribution characteristic of cloud processing. Layers with dew point between -20 and $6\,^\circ$C have on average a broad size distribution centered at 80–100 nm. Most often, the individual size

Fig. 9. Aerosol size distribution in cloud-free air from the SMPS as a function of dew point. Qualitatively, dew points from 10 to 16 °C are located below cloud, 6–10 °C is the cloud layer, and dew points below 6 °C are in the free troposphere, above cloud.

distributions are close to unimodal but when compared with a single log normal fit, have tails at large and small diameter. Though the apparent widths in the dry subsets shown in Fig. 9 are in part due to averaging over samples with different mode diameters between ∼40 and 110 nm, the individual distributions are wide with a median geometric standard deviation of 1.81 At the lowest dew points there are an increasing number of samples with an additional smaller, nucleation mode, not fully resolved by the SMPS. As a consequence, the average aerosol size becomes smaller.

3.3 First indirect effect

In Fig. 10, pre-cloud aerosol (Table 3) with $D_p > 100$ nm are compared with CDNC. As discussed below, 100 nm is a representative critical diameter for activation given composition, size spectra, and updraft velocity. Cloud droplet concentrations have been multiplied by 0.8 which brings the G-1 measurements into better agreement with the intercomparison data given in Table 2. An increase in CDNC with aerosol as shown in Fig. 10 is a necessary condition for the first indirect effect but as others have noted it is more difficult to make an association with an increase in cloud albedo (Twohy et al., 2005 and references therein). It can be seen that additional aerosol results in a less than proportionate increase in cloud droplets, a result that has been observed by other groups (e.g., Leaitch et al., 1986; Ramanathan, 2001; Twohy et al., 2005).

An alternate explanation for the less than proportionate increase in cloud droplets has been offered by Lance (2011) based on a careful analysis of CDP (Cloud Droplet Probe) and CAS probes showing a high incidence of "extended" coincidence errors at CDNC as low as 200 cm^{-3} (Lance et al., 2010). As the CDP and CAS probes are widely used to provide cloud droplet data pertinent to many cloud microphysics problems, systematic errors must be taken seriously. In the context of the present study, simulations of coincidence errors (Fig. 13 of Lance et al., 2010) showed that undercounting at CDNC > 200 cm^{-3}, caused a departure from a lin-

Fig. 10. Cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) in blue and total in-cloud particle concentration (CDNC + measured interstitial aerosol) in green as a function of pre-cloud aerosol. CDNC has been multiplied by 0.8. Aerosol concentration, measured with an SMPS, is the sum of particles with $D_p > 100$ nm. Each data point is ∼one minute of in-cloud data and an average of several minutes of pre-cloud aerosol data. Broken clouds are eliminated. Equation of red line is CDNC = −463 + 276 Log$_{10}$(N$_{PRE-CLOUD}$). On the black 1 to 1 line, the total number concentration of in-cloud particles (aerosol + cloud droplets) is equal to the number concentration of pre-cloud aerosol. Blue data points above the black line are due to activation of aerosol smaller than 100 nm, imprecision in indentifying pre-cloud air, or measurement inaccuracies. Green symbols denoted as "Total in-cloud" are the sum of coincident measurements of CDNC plus interstitial aerosol with $D_p > 100$ nm. An illustration of N$_{INT}$ by the number balance and direct observation method is given by the lengths of the blue and green arrows, respectively. The blue arrow starts at a blue point with specified CDNC and ends on the 1 to 1 line. The green arrow starts at another blue point with specified CDNC and ends on the corresponding green Total in-cloud point.

ear response that appears similar to the VOCALS data in our Fig. 10. The same simulations showed positive errors in volume mean diameter and, for $D_p < 20$ μm, positive errors in LWC (Figs. 12 and 15, respectively). Field observations in warm clouds were in agreement with simulations, indicating that LWC derived from the CDP was approximately a factor of two higher than that determined from a hot wire detector at a CDNC of 600 cm^{-3} (Fig. 7). It is only the disagreement in LWC that we have the measurements to address. Data presented in Kleinman et al. (2011) have been rearranged to show the fractional bias in liquid water concentration as a function of CDNC. From a least squares regression, the fractional bias for the CAS relative to LWC from the hot wire and Gerber probes is −3.7 % and −5.4 %, respectively, at CDNC = 600 cm^{-3}. These results do not rule out errors in the G-1 measurements of CAS droplet size and number, but the nearly exact cancellation in the calculation of LWC seems improbable. With diagnostics limited to LWC, we have no explanation for the difference between the G-1 results and those presented by Lance et al., (2010) and Lance (2011) except to note the comment in the later reference concerning changes to the CAS probe.

Fig. 11. A 60 s in and below-cloud SMPS size distributions from the 28 October case study cloud. Black circles are in-cloud SMPS measurements which have been fit to a sum of 3 log normals (blue line). The 3rd mode is identified as interstitial aerosol. The dashed red line indicates the sum of the 2 smaller modes which in this case make a minor contribution to number concentration in the PCASP size range. For comparison the purple trace is pre-cloud aerosol. Points in the SMPS size distributions are joined by straight lines for easy visualization.

3.4 In-cloud aerosol

Aerosol particles that are measured coincident with cloud liquid water at 1s time resolution will be called interstitial. For the purpose of defining an interstitial number concentration, N_{INT}, the minimum diameter is 110 nm for PCASP measurements (lower limit of detection) or 100 nm for the SMPS, as in Fig. 10.

3.4.1 Measurement artifacts

Cloud droplet shatter contributes to N_{INT}. In-cloud SMPS size spectra show extremely high concentrations of small particles, i.e. 10^3 to more than 10^4 particles cm^{-3} smaller than 50 nm diameter. Similar concentrations of small particles in-cloud have been previously observed and attributed to droplet shatter (e.g., Hudson and Frisbie, 1991; Clarke et al., 1997; Weber et al., 1998). Given extremely high concentrations of small particles, the much less numerous accumulation mode aerosol do not stand out. Rather, they appear as a shoulder or sometimes a bump in the size distribution that is quantifiable as a separate mode by fitting the distribution to a sum of log normals. A single example from a Case Study presented below is given in Fig. 11. In this case, a small percentage of in-cloud particles large enough to be detected by the PCASP are part of a tail on the shatter distribution. A majority of larger particles appear to be part of a mode that is distinct from the shatter size distribution. According to the log normal fits for all of the in-cloud data with LWC>0.1 g m^{-3}, the average shatter contribution to aerosol with D_p>100 nm and 150 nm is 19 % and 10 %, respectively (calculated as summed shatter divided by summed accumulation mode, with summation over all SMPS


Fig. 12. In-cloud aerosol size distribution with LWC >0.1 g m^{-3} averaged over campaign.

scans satisfying cloud criteria). Excluding the shatter, the inside-cabin PCASP shows a mode with a geometric mean diameter of 140 nm, which is smaller than the mean diameter of the accumulation mode in the MBL (Fig. 8a). Although, the log normal fits are suggestive, there is no a priori reason why cloud droplet shatter should have this functional form, which contributes uncertainty to the quantitative apportionment of larger particles between droplet shatter and interstitial aerosol.

Cloud droplet evaporation caused by heating in the PCASP or aerosol inlet can contribute to aerosol detected in-cloud. Complete or partial evaporation is possible. As shown in Fig. 12, the signature of partial evaporation is a population of large aerosol particles with D_p extending from ~350 nm to 3 µm (Leaitch et al., 1996). These larger particles without a modal structure are only observed with the outside mounted PCASP and are in contrast to the almost complete absence of particle larger than 600 nm observed in clear air. Bringing air into the cabin of the G-1 yields a 5 °C temperature increase which is calculated to evaporate most cloud droplets within one second (R. McGraw, personal communication, 2011), a time scale competitive with aerosol impaction on a bend in the sampling line. Complete evaporation of cloud droplets is a large potential source of interstitial aerosol. However, a comparison of the in-cabin PCASP and SMPS with the nose mounted PCASP shows a similar size distribution aside from the larger particles noted above. Some degree of similarity might be expected as both PCASPs have sites where droplets can impact and shatter and both have adiabatic heating along with active heating from a de-icer (Strapp et al., 1992). With increasing LWC or with increasing cloud droplet size, the outside PCASP concentration increases relative to the in-cabin measurement.

3.4.2 Magnitude of interstitial aerosol

The number concentration of interstitial aerosol with D_p>100 nm, N_{INT}, can be obtained indirectly from a number balance between pre-cloud and in-cloud particles, based

Fig. 13. Interstitial aerosol and cloud droplet number in cloud sampled on 28 October (see Fig. 2) **(a)** Time series of aerosol from inside-cabin PCASP and CDNC at 1 Hz and with 400 s binomial smoothing (red lines). Color bar indicates time in seconds. **(b)** Scatter plot of 1Hz CDNC vs. PCASP N_{INT}. Red line is least squares fit. **(c)** Scatter plot of high frequency anomaly (1 Hz signal – low frequency component) for CDNC vs. PCASP N_{INT}. Red line is least squares fit. **(d)** Scatter plot of CDNC vs. PCASP after 10 s box car averaging. Points are color coded according to scale in **(a)** and are joined to indicate continuity. Note that the points fit within the envelope of points in **(b)** but appear more spread out because of a change of scale. **(e)** Scatter plot of CDNC vs. PCASP after 400 s binomial smoothing. Points are color coded as in **(a)** and **(d)**.

on the assumption that aerosol smaller than 100 nm are not activated. N_{INT} obtained by number balance is illustrated for a single cloud data point by the blue arrow in Fig. 10. The fraction of in-cloud particles that are interstitial, defined using average values in the expression ($N_{INT}/(N_{INT} + CDNC)$), is 0.27. As in Fig. 10, CDNC incorporates a factor of 0.8, based on the assumption that actual CDNC are lower than reported (see Sect. 3.3). As can be seen from the red line representing a fit to the pre-cloud aerosol, most particles not activated are at higher aerosol concentration, where competition for water vapor is more likely.

N_{INT} can also be directly measured, again using the criterion that $D_p > 100$ nm. This is illustrated in Fig. 10 for a single cloud data point by a green arrow which connects a pre-cloud aerosol concentration with the corresponding sum of cloud droplets plus measured interstitial aerosol. Based on the in-cloud aerosol measurements, the total number of in-cloud particles is, on average, a factor of 1.29 greater than the pre-cloud aerosol (i.e. ($N_{INT} + CDNC)/N_{PRE-CLOUD} = 1.29$). The N_{INT} derived by direct measurement is greater than that inferred by particle balance, which by definition gives ($N_{INT} + CDNC)/N_{PRE-CLOUD} = 1.0$. Allowance for a 19 % contribu-

tion from shatter reduces the imbalance to a factor of 1.20. This factor represents a combination of measurement error and methodological bias including but not limited to an activation threshold different than 100 nm. The fraction of pre-cloud aerosol not activated ($N_{INT}/N_{PRE-CLOUD}$) has an average value of 0.48 based on measured values of N_{INT}, which reduces to 0.39 after accounting for an average shatter fraction of 19 %.

### 3.4.3   Interstitial aerosol – CDNC anti-correlation

Interstitial aerosol were anti-correlated with CDNC in all 102 cloud transects. Similar observations have been made by others (e.g. Gultepe et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2009). As a representative example we consider a case study cloud observed on Flight 081028a, centered at 75.2° W (Fig. 2). Figure 13a shows a 1 Hz time series of CDNC and N_{INT} measured with the CAS and inside-cabin PCASP, respectively. There are multiple spikes lasting no more than 1s in which a decrease in CDNC is accompanied by an increase in N_{INT}. There are also variations on time scales of tens of seconds or longer in which the relation between CDNC and N_{INT} is less apparent.

A plot of CDNC vs. N_{INT} (Fig. 13b) shows an overall anti-correlation but with considerable scatter. The anti-correlation that is apparent in the high frequency spikes in Fig. 13a is partially obscured by changes in pre-cloud aerosol and/or cloud dynamics that are important over spatial scales of order 5 km and greater. In order to account for the non-uniformity of the cloud, we qualitatively separate low and high frequency components. A 400 s binomial filter, subjectively selected, has been applied, yielding low frequency components shown by red lines in Fig. 13a. High frequency components called anomalies to avoid confusion with 10 Hz data presented later on are defined as the difference between a 1 Hz signal and the corresponding low frequency filtered component. A graph of high frequency anomalies in Fig. 13c has reduced scatter.

Low frequency changes in aerosol and cloud droplets contribute to scatter in Fig. 13b. Cloud regions which have different aerosol inputs or different dynamics can have CDNC vs. aerosol plots that are shifted relative to each other. In Fig. 13d, the original 1 s data has been subject to a 10 s running box car average, which is a visual aid to picking out contiguous points. Lines are drawn between consecutive data points and color coded to correspond to the time sequence in Fig. 13a. One can pick out 4 or 5 strands that represent contiguous cloud portions up to 10 km in length that individually show an anti-correlation between CDNC and N_{INT}. Among the 102 clouds transects, this type of structure is common. At a still larger spatial scale approaching the length of the transect, CDNC and N_{INT} are correlated (Fig. 13e). Depending on mesoscale structure, the low frequency changes in CDNC and N_{INT} can be correlated, anti-correlated, or scattered.

Higher frequency measurements are useful in interpreting the presence of interstitial aerosol. Increasing the time response of cloud droplet measurements to 10 Hz as in Fig. 14, shows that the cloud contains regions in which CDNC decreases to near zero accompanied by large decreases in LWC but relatively small changes in volume mean radius. It is expected that the interstitial aerosol shows similar high frequency structure but this is not observable with our sampling line.

A regression between the high frequency anomalies of CDNC and accumulation mode aerosols has a slope of -2.0 for the case study cloud in Fig. 13, approximately equal to the median slope of -2.1 found for the entire data set of 102 clouds. Several factors contribute to the slope being steeper than minus 1, the value that would be obtained if removal of a single cloud droplet resulted in the appearance of an interstitial aerosol particle detected by the PCASP. First is the possibility of an overestimate in CDNC as suspected from the intercomparison data in Table 2. If actual CDNC are 80 % of measured, correcting the CDNC changes the slope by a factor of 0.8 (i.e. from -2 to -1.6). Second, cloud droplets could be formed from aerosol particles smaller than the 110 nm lower limit of detection of the PCASP. Sub-cloud aerosol size distributions have a Hoppel minimum at $\sim$75 nm, indicating

Fig. 14. **(a)** Cloud droplet number concentration, **(b)** liquid water content, and **(c)** cloud droplet volume mean radius for the same cloud transect and time period used in Fig. 13. Data collected at 10 Hz shows increased structure, in particular containing holes with near zero cloud droplet concentration and LWC. Distance covered is 35 km, comparable to the size of mesoscale structures apparent on satellite photos. Note change in cloud properties near 11:24:30.

that sometime in the air mass history, particles of that size were activated (Cantrell et al., 1999). Third, is a degraded time response due to mixing in the inlet manifold leading to the inside-cabin PCASP. This effect has been simulated by constructing a PCASP signal which is the negative of CDNC, then subjecting it to 3 point binomial smoothing to simulate mixing. The resulting regression slope between the high frequency components of CDNC and the synthetic PCASP signal was -1.49, instead of -1 before smoothing. Results depend on the degree of smoothing and our choice is meant to be only illustrative. Supporting evidence for the importance of time response comes from the PCASP mounted on the aircraft nose which yields a median slope of -1.35.

The case study cloud shown in Figs. 13 and 14 is representative in many ways, but as a single cloud is not meant to illustrate features resulting from the natural range in adiabaticity, position relative to cloud top and bottom, aerosol concentration, LWC, drizzle, and mesoscale structure seen during VOCALS.

4 Discussion

4.1 First indirect effect

Cloud reflectivity (albedo) is dependent on many processes, the relation between cloud droplets and aerosol (Fig. 10) being only one component. More important to reflectivity is cloud liquid water path or, given a fixed cloud depth, liquid water content (Schwartz and Slingo, 1996; Brenguier et al., 2000). Measurements during VOCALS along 20° S from ~72 to 86° W indicate that the westward decrease in aerosol concentration is accompanied by an increase in cloud depth and liquid water path (Allen et al., 2011; Bretherton et al., 2010) so that changes in reflectivity seen from satellites have to be apportioned between dynamical effects and aerosol perturbations, with the possibility that the two effects are coupled (Stevens and Feingold, 2009). The first indirect effect, which corresponds to the change in cloud reflectivity caused by an aerosol perturbation, can be masked by other aspects of cloud physics. An example of dynamical and aerosol effects operating in different directions is provided by our case study cloud. In that case interstitial aerosol decreases with westward distance in agreement with trends observed for below-cloud aerosol (Figs. 3 and 4a). By itself the decrease in aerosol is expected to lead to fewer but larger cloud droplets and a less reflective cloud towards the west. However, Fig. 14b and c, show an abrupt increase in cloud liquid water content and droplet size that presumably has a dynamic origin, positioned such that a decrease in cloud albedo caused by fewer aerosol particles is opposed by an increase in cloud albedo (dependent on cloud depth variations) caused by increased liquid water.

4.2 Interstitial aerosol

In an adiabatic cloud, a high activation fraction should follow from the combination of chemical composition, size distribution, and vertical velocity, w, observed during the VOCALS campaign. This is supported by results from an adiabatic parcel model (Robinson, 1984), initialized with the MBL average aerosol size distributions observed at 71–72 and 76–77 longitude, corresponding to relatively high and low concentrations, respectively. It was assumed that aerosol had the properties of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, which in terms of hygroscopicity is a close approximation to the actual composition. Bretherton et al. (2010) report standard deviations of w centered on $0.4\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ for near shore cloud measurements from the C130. At a vertical velocity of $0.4\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ the critical diameter for activation is calculated to be 110 and 85 nm for the high and low concentration aerosol environments, respectively.

Air parcel calculations should take into account the range in w (i.e., the pdf as used by e.g. Snider and Brenguier, 2000 and Snider et al., 2003) which brings in values lower (and higher) than the median, opening the way for some large particles to remain unactivated. However as pointed out by

Guibert et al. (2003) particles respond to the supersaturation existing along their trajectories and a very low vertical velocity at cloud base may not be persistent. Also, no account is taken of entrainment.

A common feature of most cloud transects is that N_{INT} rarely approaches zero even in regions with high CDNC or high LWC, i.e., regions most likely to have a high updraft velocity and/or be close to adiabatic. At the highest aerosol concentrations this might be due to competition for liquid water during ascent. However, this phenomena also occurs at low aerosol concentration, as in the case illustrated in Fig. 13. Whereas rapid increases in N_{INT} that coincide with a decrease in CDNC (Fig. 13a–d) have a physical explanation, the "background" N_{INT} does not have a clear interpretation and may be associated with droplet scatter and/or droplet evaporation. This "background" presumably contributes to the excess of in-cloud particles compared with pre-cloud air (Fig. 10).

Regarding the high frequency component of N_{INT}, it has a plausible magnitude after due consideration is given to the inside-cabin PCASP response time. It also has a plausible mechanism as cloud top entrainment of dry air evaporates cloud droplets leaving behind interstitial aerosol particles. The anti-correlation could also be explained in terms of regions with weak updrafts. Depending on the horizontal dimensions of the entrained air or weak updraft, the time sequence of anti-correlated CDNC and N_{INT} (Fig. 13a) will be more or less spiky as observed from a moving platform. We have shown 1 Hz and 10 Hz data but it is known that clouds are inhomogeneous on smaller scales (Gerber et al., 2005).

5 Conclusions

During the VOCALS-REx field campaign, the DOE G-1 covered a longitude range between Arica on the coast of Chile (70.3° W) and 78° W, an 800 km distance over which MODIS retrievals show a strong gradient in CDNC and effective radius. Aerosol number and volume concentration in the MBL decreased with off-shore distance with most of the decrease occurring between the coast and 75° W. This pattern is consistent with the trajectory analysis of Allen et al. (2011) and Bretherton et al. (2010) that indicate a source region to the south along the Chilean coast, whose impact is felt most often east of 75° W and with decreasing frequency further west. MBL concentrations of O_3 increase with distance from the coast while CO concentrations decrease indicating a nearby continental source for the latter.

Aerosol in the MBL is acidic sulfate with a 10–15 % admixture of organics consistent with emissions dominated by SO_2 point sources (Lee et al., 2011). MBL aerosol have an Aitken and accumulation mode with geometric mean diameters of 45–60 nm and 160–185 nm, respectively, separated by a Hoppel minimum. In the FT, number size distributions are unimodal and broad, centered at 90 nm.

In each of three altitude ranges, collectively spanning the FT from 1200 to 3000 m, dew point varied by more than 40 °C, showing that within a narrow altitude span, air masses with very different histories were sampled. The requirement that an ascending air mass has a relative humidity less than 100 %, combined with a strong dependence of temperature on altitude implies that the lowest dew point air observed (−40 °C) originated above 8 km altitude (assuming a surface temperature of 15 °C and a lapse rate of 6.5 °C Km^{-1}, for purpose of illustration). Conversely, moist air implies a low altitude source.

Frequency distributions of CO, O$_3$, aerosol number, and aerosol volume are provided as functions of altitude and dew point. Using dew point in place of altitude has the advantage of separating air masses according to history and highlights the different behavior of soluble and insoluble pollutants (Kleinman and Daum, 1991). Dew point more clearly picks up trends in O$_3$ because O$_3$ has a source in the dry upper atmosphere and a MBL sink. There is a pronounced decrease in aerosol volume with dew point as low dew point air masses have been subject to cloud processes that have removed all but a few percent of their total water. Removed along with water are soluble substances such as accumulation mode particles that are CCN. The decrease with dew point of aerosol number concentration is less extreme because of the dominance of smaller less easily activated particles in the FT. The FT population of aerosol, however, does contain some accumulation mode size particles ($D_p > 100$ nm) which will subside into the MBL, much the same as O$_3$, thereby providing a source of CCN to replace that lost by drizzle (Clarke et al., 1996, 1997). Relative solubility may play a role in the 5 fold increase in the organic aerosol to sulfate ratio with decreasing dew point. It is also possible that the high ratio at low T_d reflects emission rates in areas not impacted by SO$_2$ sources. Even with an elevated organic to sulfate ratio in dry air, the organic aerosol to CO ratio is an order of magnitude lower than observed in day old plumes in the boundary layer in other field studies.

Comparison was made between (a) pre-cloud aerosol and cloud droplets and (b) between pre-cloud air and the sum of interstitial aerosol and cloud droplets. According to comparisons "a" and "b" the fraction of pre-cloud aerosol that is interstitial is 27 % and 48 %, respectively. In comparison "b" there is a 29 % over-prediction of total particles in-cloud, assuming a 100 nm critical diameter for activation. Droplet shatter and evaporation of cloud droplets during in-cloud aerosol sampling are discussed as possible artifacts. Pursuant to methodological assumptions, droplet shatter contributes close to 20 % of interstitial aerosol larger than 100 nm, and a rapidly decreasing fraction at larger diameter. Adiabatic parcel model calculations based on measured aerosol composition, concentration, size distribution, and a 0.4 m s^{-1} vertical velocity yield a critical diameter for activation between 85 and 110 nm, for clean and polluted conditions. While this support the use of 100 nm as a lower bound to aerosol diameter in comparisons "a" and "b", it yields fewer interstitial aerosol than observed.

One hundred and two constant altitude cloud transects were identified and used to examine relations between CDNC and the number concentration of interstitial aerosol (N$_{INT}$) as measured by a heated PCASP inside the aircraft cabin. In each transect CDNC and N$_{INT}$ are anti-correlated, suggesting that a decrease in cloud droplets by e.g. evaporation leads to the appearance of interstitial aerosol. One cloud sampled over a 35 km transect was selected for a case study. Within this cloud there were 4 or 5 regions with distinctly different relations between CDNC and N$_{INT}$ contributing to scatter over the 35 km cloud. Much of the scatter could be removed by applying a high pass filter and examining anomalies determined as the total signal less the low frequency variation. The in-cloud aerosol concentration contains high frequency components that are a possible source of information on particle activation and/or evaporation sitting on top of a low frequency background, the later partly due to scatter and partly of unknown origin, that we tentatively associate with an over prediction of interstitial aerosol.

References

Allen, G., Coe, H., Clarke, A., Bretherton, C., Wood, R., Abel, S. J., Barrett, P., Brown, P., George, R., Freitag, S., McNaughton, C., Howell, S., Shank, L., Kapustin, V., Brekhovskikh, V., Kleinman, L., Lee, Y.-N., Springston, S., Toniazzo, T., Krejci, R., Fochessato, J., Shaw, G., Krecl, P., Brooks, B., McMeeking, G., Bower, K. N., Williams, P. I., Crosier, J., Crawford, I., Connolly, P., Allan, J. D., Covert, D., Bandy, A. R., Russell, L. M., Trembath, J., Bart, M., McQuaid, J. B., Wang, J., and Chand, D.: Southeast Pacific atmospheric composition and variability sampled along 20° S during VOCALS-REx, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5237–5262, doi:10.5194/acp-11-5237-2011, 2011.

Ayers, G. P., Penkett, S. A., Gillett, R. W., Bandy, B., Galbally, I. E., Meyer, C. P., Elsworth, C. M., Bentley, S. T., and Forgan, B.

W.: Evidence for photochemical control of ozone concentrations in unpolluted marine air, Nature, 360, 446–449, 1992.

Brechtel, F. J.: Description and assessment of a new aerosol inlet for the DOE G-1 research aircraft, final technical report of work performed by BMI under contract #0000058843 to Brookhaven National Laboratory, August 2003.

Brenguier, J.-L., Pawlowska, H., Schüller, L., Preusker, R., Fisher, J., and Fouquart, Y.: Radiative properties of boundary layer clouds: Droplet effective radius versus number concentration, J. Atmos. Sci., 57, 803–821, 2000.

Bretherton, C. S., Wood, R., George, R. C., Leon, D., Allen, G., and Zheng, X.: Southeast Pacific stratocumulus clouds, precipitation and boundary layer structure sampled along 20° S during VOCALS-Rex, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10639–10654, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10639-2010, 2010.

Cantrell, W., Shaw, G., and Benner, R.: Cloud properties inferred from bimodal aerosol number distribution, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 27615–27624, 1999.

Chand, D., Hegg, D. A., Wood, R., Shaw, G. E., Wallace, D., and Covert, D. S.: Source attribution of climatically important aerosol properties measured at Paposo (Chile) during VOCALS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10789–10801, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10789-2010, 2010.

Clarke, A. D., Li, Z., and Litchy, M.: Aerosol dynamics in the equatorial Pacific Marine boundary layer: Microphysics, diurnal cycles and entrainment, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 773–736, 1996.

Clarke, A. D., Uehara, T., and Porter, J. N.: Atmospheric nuclei and related aerosol fields over the Atlantic: Clean subsiding air and continental pollution during ASTEX, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 25281–25292, 1997.

Clegg, S. L., Brimblecombe, P., and Wexler, A. S.: A thermodynamic model of the system H^+-NH_4^+-SO_4^{2-}-NO_3^--H_2O at tropospheric temperatures, J. Phys. Chem. A, 102, 2137–2154, 1998.

Clegg, S. L. and Wexler, A. S.: Densities and apparent molar volumes of atmospherically important electrolyte solutions. 2. The system H^+-HSO_4^--SO_4^{2-}-H_2O from 0-3 mol kg^{-1} as a function of temperature and H^+-NH_4^+-HSO_4^--SO_4^{2-}-H_2O from 0-6 mol kg^{-1} at 25 °C using a Pitzer ion interaction model, and NH_4HSO_4-H_2O and $(NH_4)_3H(SO_4)_2$-H_2O over the entire concentration range. J. Phys. Chem. A 115, 3461–3474, 2011.

Collins, D. R., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H., Improved inversion of scanning DMA data, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 36, 1–9, 2002.

Draxler, R. R. and Rolph, G. D.: HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) Model access via NOAA ARL READY Website available at: http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php, last access: January 2011, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, USA, 2010.

Faloona, I., Lenschow, D. H., Campos, T., Stevens, B., van Zanten, M., Blomquist, B., Thornton, D., Bandy, A., and Gerber, H.: Observations of entrainment in Eastern Pacific marine stratocumulus using three conserved scalers, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 3268–3285, 2005.

Fountoukis, C., Nenes, A., Meskhidze, N., Bahreini, R., Conant, W. C., Jonsson, H., Murphy, S., Sorooshian, A., Varutbangkul, V., Brechtel, F., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Aerosol-cloud drop concentration closure for clouds sampled during the Intercontinental Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation 2004 campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 112,

D10S30, doi:10.1029/2006JD007272, 2007.

Gerber, H., Arends, B. G., and Ackerman, A. S.: A new microphysics sensor for aircraft use, Atmos, Res., 31, 235–252, 1994.

Gerber, H., Frick, G., Malinowski, S. P., Brenguier, J.-L., and Burnet, F.: Holes and entrainment in stratocumulus, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 443–459, 2005.

Gillani, N. V., Schwartz, S. E., Leaitch, W. R., Strapp, J. W., and Isaac, G. A.: Field observations in continental stratiform clouds: Partitioning of cloud particles between droplets and unactivated interstitial aerosols, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 18687–18706, 1995.

Guibert, S., Snider, J. R., and Brenguier, J.-L.: Aerosol activation in marine stratocumulus clouds: 1. Measurement validation for a closure study, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8628, doi:10.1029/2002JD002678, 2003.

Gultepe, I., Isaac, G. A., Leaitch, W. R., and Banic, C. M.: Parameterizations of marine stratus microphysics based on in situ observations: Implications for GCMs, J. Climate., 9, 345–357, 1996.

Hallar, A. G., Strawa, A. W., Schmid, B., Andrews, E., Ogren, J., Sheridan, P., Ferrare, R., Covert, D., Elleman, R., Jonsson, H., Bokarius, K., and Luu, A.: Atmospheric Radiation Measurements Aerosol Intensive Operating Period: Comparison of aerosol scattering during coordinated flights, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D05S09, doi:10.1029/2005JD006250, 2006.

Hallberg, A., Noone, K. J., Ogren, J. A., Svenningsson, I. B., Flossmann, A., Wiedensohler, A., Hansson, H.-C., Heintzenberg, J., Anderson, T. L., Arends, B. G., and Maser, R., Phase partitioning of aerosol particles in clouds at Kleiner Feldberg, J. Atmos. Chem., 19, 107–127, 1994.

Henning, S., Weingartner, E., Schmidt, S., Wendisch, M., Gäggeler, H. W., and Baltensperger, U., Size-dependent aerosol activation at the high-alpine site Jungfraujoch (3580 m asl), Tellus, 54B, 82–95, 2002.

Hoppel, W. A., Frick, G. M., and Larson, R. E.: Effects of non-precipitating clouds on the aerosol size distribution in the marine boundary layer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 13, 125–128, 1986.

Hudson, J. G. and Frisbie, P. R.: Cloud condensation nuclei near marine stratus, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 20795–20808, 1991.

Kleinman, L. I. and Daum, P. H.: Vertical distribution of aerosol particles, water vapor, and insoluble trace gases in convectively mixed air, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 991–1005, 1991.

Kleinman, L. I., Daum, P. H., Lee, Y.-N., Senum, G. I., Springston, S. R.,Wang, J., Berkowitz, C., Hubbe, J., Zaveri, R. A., Brechtel, F. J., Jayne, J., Onasch, T. B., and Worsnop, D.: Aircraft observations of aerosol composition and ageing in New England and Mid-Atlantic States during the summer 2002 New England Air Quality Study field campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D09310, doi:10.1029/2006JD007786, 2007.

Kleinman, L. I., Springston, S. R., Daum, P. H., Lee, Y.-N., Nunnermacker, L. J., Senum, G. I., Wang, J., Weinstein-Lloyd, J., Alexander, M. L., Hubbe, J., Ortega, J., Canagaratna, M. R., and Jayne, J.: The time evolution of aerosol composition over the Mexico City plateau, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1559–1575, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1559-2008, 2008.

Kleinman, L. I. et al.: Interactive comment on "Aerosol concentration and size distribution below, in, and above cloud from the DOE G-1 during VOCALS-REx", Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, C6380–C6384, doi:10.5194/acpd-11-C6380-2011, 2011.

Kley, D., Crutzen, P. J., Smit, H. G. J., Vömel, H., Oltmans, S.

J., Grassl, H., and Ramamathan, V.: Observations of near-zero ozone concentrations over the convective Pacific: Effects on air chemistry, Science, 274, 230–233, 2006.

Lance, S., Brock, C. A., Rogers, D., and Gordon, J. A.: Water droplet calibration of the Cloud Droplet Probe (CDP) and in-flight performance in liquid, ice, and mixed-phase clouds during ARPAC, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 1683–1706, doi:10.5194/amt-3-1683-2010, 2010.

Lance, S.: Interactive comment on "Aerosol concentration and size distribution measured below, in, and above cloud from the DOE G-1 during VOCALS-REx" by L. I. Kleinman et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, C5622–C5623, doi:10.5194/acpd-11-C5622-2011, 2011.

Leaitch, W. R., Strapp, J., and Isaac, G. A.: Cloud droplet nucleation and cloud scavenging of aerosol sulphate in polluted atmospheres, Tellus, 38B, 328–344, 1986.

Leaitch, W. R., Banic, C. M., Isaac, G. A., Couture, M. D., Liu, P. S. K., Gultepe, I., Li, S.-M., Kleinman, L. I., Daum, P. H., and MacPherson, J. I.: Physical and chemical observations in marine stratus during the 1993 North Atlantic Regional Experiment: Factors controlling cloud droplet number concentrations, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 29123–29135, 1996.

Lee, Y.-N., Springston, S., Jayne, J., Wang, J., Senum, G., Hubbe, J., Alexander, L., Brioude, J., Spak, S., Mena-Carrasco, M., Kleinman, L., and Daum, P.: Aerosol composition, chemistry, and source characterization during the 2008 VOCALS Experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., in preparation, 2011.

Martinsson, B. G., Frank, G., Cederfelt, S.-I., Swietlicki, E., Berg, O. H., Zhou, J., Bower, K. N., Bradbury, C., Birmili, W., Stratmann, F., Wendisch, M., Wiedensohler, A., and Yuskiewicz, B. A.: Droplet nucleation and growth in orographic clouds in relation to the aerosol population, Atmos. Res., 50, 289–315, 1999.

McGraw, R., and Liu, Y.: Brownian drift-diffusion model for evolution of droplet size distributions in turbulent clouds, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L03802, doi:10.1029/2005GL023545, 2006.

Mertes, S., Lehmann, K., Nowak, A., Massling, A., and Wiedensohler, A.: Link between aerosol hygroscopic growth and droplet activation observed for hill-capped clouds at connected flow conditions during FEBUKO, Atmos. Environ., 39, 4247–4256, 2005.

Parrish, D. D., Trainer, M., Holloway, J. S., Yee, J. E., Warshawsky, M. S., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Forbes, G. L., and Mody, J. L.: Relationship between ozone and carbon monoxide at surface sites in the North Atlantic region, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 13357–13376, 1998.

Rahn, D. A., and Garreaud, R.: Marine boundary layer over the subtropical southeast Pacific during VOCALS-REx – Part 1: Mean structure and diurnal cycle, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4491–4506, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4491-2010, 2010.

Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosols, climate, and the hydrological cycle, Science, 294, 2119–2124, 2001.

Robinson, N. F.: The efficient numerical calculation of condensational cloud droplet growth, J. Atmos. Sci., 41, 698–700, 1984.

Schwartz, S. E. and Slingo, A.: Enhanced shortwave cloud radiative forcing due to anthropogenic aerosols, in Clouds, Chemistry and Climate – Proceedings of NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Ringberg, Germany, 21–25 March 1994, edited by: Crutzen, P. and Ramanathan, V., Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 191–236, 1996.

Snider, J. R. and Brenguier, J.-L.: Cloud condensation nuclei and cloud droplet measurements during ACE-2, Tellus, 52B, 828–842, 2000.

Snider, J. R. and Petters, M. D., Optical particle counter measurement of marine aerosol hygroscopic growth, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1949–1962, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1949-2008, 2008.

Snider, J. R., Guibert, S., Brenguier, J.-L., and Putard, J.-P.: Aerosol activation in marine stratocumulus clouds: 2. Köhler and parcel theory closure studies, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8629, doi:10.1029/2002JD002692, 2003.

Stevens, B. and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a buffered system, Nature, 461, 607–613, 2009.

Strapp, J. W., Leaitch, W. R., and Liu, P. S. K.: Hydrated and dried aerosol-size distribution measurements fro the Particle Measuring Systems FSSP-300 Probe and the deiced PCASP-100X Probe, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 9, 548–555, 1992.

Springston, S. R., Kleinman, L. I., Nunnermacker, L. J., Brechtel, F., Lee, Y.-N., and Wang, J.: Chemical evolution of an isolated power plant plume during the TexAQs 2000 study, Atmos. Environ., 39, 3431–3443, 2005.

Twohy, C. H., Petters, M. D., Snider, J. R., Stevens, B., Tahnk, W., Wetzel, M., Russell, L., and Burnet, F.: Evaluation of the aerosol indirect effect in marine stratocumulus clouds: Droplet number, size, liquid water path, and radiative impact, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D08203, doi:10.1029/2004JD005116, 2005.

Wang, J., Daum, P. H., Yum, S. S., Liu, Y., Senum, G. I., Lu, M.-L., Seinfeld, J. H., and Jonsson, H.: Observations of marine stratocumulus microphysics and implications for processes controlling droplet spectra: Results from the Marine Stratus/Stratocumulus Experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D18210, doi:10.1029/2008JD011035, 2009.

Weber, R. J., Clarke, A. D., Litchy, M., Li, J., Kok, G., Schillawski, R. D., and McMurry, P. H.: Spurious aerosol measurements when sampling from aircraft in the vicinity of clouds, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 28337–28346, 1998.

Wood, R., Bretherton, C., Huebert, B., Mechoso, C. R., and Weller, R., VOCALS-SouthEast Pacific Regional Experiment (REx) Scientific Program Overview, available online at: http://www.eol.ucar.edu/projects/vocals/documentation/vocals_overview.pdf last access: January 2011, June 2007.

Wood, R., Mechoso, C. R., Bretherton, C. S., Weller, R. A., Huebert, B., Straneo, F., Albrecht, B. A., Coe, H., Allen, G., Vaughan, G., Daum, P., Fairall, C., Chand, D., Gallardo Klenner, L., Garreaud, R., Grados, C., Covert, D. S., Bates, T. S., Krejci, R., Russell, L. M., de Szoeke, S., Brewer, A., Yuter, S. E., Springston, S. R., Chaigneau, A., Toniazzo, T., Minnis, P., Palikonda, R., Abel, S. J., Brown, W. O. J., Williams, S., Fochesatto, J., Brioude, J., and Bower, K. N.: The VAMOS Ocean-Cloud-Atmosphere-Land Study Regional Experiment (VOCALS-REx): goals, platforms, and field operations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 627–654, doi:10.5194/acp-11-627-2011, 2011.

Yang, Q., W. I. Gustafson Jr., Fast, J. D., Wang, H., Easter, R. C., Morrison, H., Lee, Y.-N., Chapman, E. G., Spak, S. N., and Mena-Carrasco, M. A.: Assessing regional scale predictions of aerosols, marine stratocumulus, and their interactions during VOCALS-REx using WRF-Chem, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11951–11975, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11951-2011, 2011.