EXNER, RATH, GRASEMANN & DRAGANITS: Soft-sediment deformation and deformation of porous sand ...

Contents

Stop 1: The Steinbrunn sand pit revisited: Tectonic or gravitational forcing of soft sediment folds?	130
Stop 2: Deformation Bands in unconsolidated sands and gravels of the sand pit St. Georgen, Burgenland	134

Abstract

This excursion leads to two sand pits in the vicinity of Eisenstadt, Burgenland, which illustrate the geodynamic evolution of the eastern margin of the Vienna Basin and the northern Eisenstadt Basin. Both sites impressively document deformation of unconsolidated sediments, which in addition to their regional significance provide remarkable insights into various processes of soft sediment deformation.

The first stop leads to the famous Steinbrunn sandpit (Fig. 1), a natural monument, which has been recently reexcavated. Here, the Pannonian sands and clays are folded by asymmetric, WSW verging antiforms with several meters amplitude; one of them is now again impressively exposed in three dimensions. Mechanic, geometric and regional geological criteria suggest that the structures were generated in the toe area of a gravitational slump.

The second outcrop of the excursion is located at St. Georgen am Leithagebirge (Fig. 1), where numerous conjugate deformation bands in barely cemented sands and gravels (Burgstall Formation) document an extensional deformation related to the nearby Eisenstadt Fault. The deformed unconsolidated sediments nicely illustrate the special properties and the mechanical differences between deformation bands and brittle fault in solid rock.

Stop 1: The Steinbrunn sand pit revisited: Tectonic or gravitational forcing of soft sediment folds?

Ulrike Exner, Erich Draganits & Bernhard Grasemann

UTM Zone 33N, 606 900 E, 5 301 040 N, 250m NN

The Vienna Basin, located at the junction between the Eastern Alps and Western Carpathians, is one of the classic examples of pull-apart basins (e.g. ROYDEN 1985). During extensional lateral escape tectonics of the Eastern Alps, the basin was formed as part of the Pannonian Basin system (e.g. RATSCHBACHER et al. 1991) along a NE-SW trending system of normal and sinistral strike-slip faults (DECKER 1996). In the Miocene, syntectonic sedimentation accumulated up to 5500 m of marine and terrestrial deposits in the deepest parts of the basin (WESSELY 1983, STRAUSS et al. 2006). The deformation history of the basin has been described as multi-staged (DECKER 1996). An initial ESE-WNW-extensional phase in the Karpatian and Badenian (~17-13 Ma) was accommodated by NNE-SSW striking strike-slip faults and resulted in the initiation of the rhombic pull-apart basin (ROYDEN 1985). An intermediate stage of late-Miocene basin inversion with E-W compressional structures and simultaneous dextral reactivation of the strike-slip faults has been described (PERESSON & DECKER 1997). Pleistocene and present-day kinematics are again characterized by E-W extensional structures and the formation of local subbasins, e.g. the Mitterndorf basin in the SW part of the Vienna Basin (e.g. HINSCH et al. 2005). Structural evidence of shortening like folds – or even more likely in unconsolidated sediments – deformation bands and thrust faults are basically absent in this part of the Vienna Basin. Based on this observation together with the fact that it is difficult to discriminate between tectonic and gravitational forces in deformed sediments with a low degree of lithification (ELLIOT & WILLIAMS 1988), we qualitatively investigated the structures in the re-excavated sand pit.

Outcrop description

In the Steinbrunn sand pit WNW of Eisenstadt, Burgenland, a spectacular example of deformed unconsolidated sediments has been described (MEYER 1974, SAUER et al. 1992). The site is accessible from the road connecting Müllendorf and Neufeld, from which a farm track turning south 100 m E of the bridge crossing the highway A3 leads to the sand pit (Fig. 1). The outcrop exposes a series of SWverging, tight folds within virtually unconsolidated sand and silt layers (Fig. 2). Regional tectonic interpretations attributed this deformation to a late-Miocene, E-W compressional phase of basin inversion which followed the main E-W extensional phase in the early and middle Miocene (PERESSON & DECKER 1997). Since the declaration to a natural monument in 1980, the outcrop was increasingly covered by debris and vegetation and became effectively invisible. Recent re-excavation by the government of the province Burgenland now provides outstanding outcrop conditions which enable a re-evaluation of the remarkable structures in unconsolidated sediments.

In the studied outcrop, the sand layers are only partly cemented, while newly excavated layers in lower levels are virtually uncemented. Only some few sand layers show cementation and lithification, sometimes fading out laterally. Within the clay-rich layers isolated, up to several decimetres large concretions are abundant. The basal parts of the exposed stratigraphy contain more cohesive, cm to m thick silt and silty clay layers, which form conspicuous flameshaped geometries in the fold cores indicative of the mechanics of soft sediment deformation (Potter et al. 2005). Within the fold hinges, mud rich layers develop a pronounced cleavage, which may have been produced by either slump-straining or compaction (FARRELL & EATON 1988).

Conjugate sets of normal faults in parts of the NE-dipping fold limbs cut through sandy layers and terminate within



EXNER, RATH, GRASEMANN & DRAGANITS: Soft-sediment deformation and deformation of porous sand ...



Fig. 2. Outcrop overview of the Steinbrunn sand pit. Two slightly SW-verging antiforms are exposed, the cores are composed of slits and clays, while the external layers comprise calcareous sands which are largely unconsolidated.

silt layers. Markers within the sand layers display only few cm of normal offset, but the fractures are filled with a max. 2 cm thick zone of clay fed from the overlying clay layer (Fig. 3). This feature suggests that the sediment was still even more unconsolidated during the formation of these structures.

Most of the observed folds have a tight fold geometry with straight fold limbs and amplitudes of several meters. In the westernmost part of the outcrop, some fold axial planes are refolded, forming type 3 (hooks-and-crescent) refold structures with high angles between the axial planes but almost parallel fold axes (Fig. 4). These fold shapes indicate either polyphase folding, which seems unrealistic for a short phase of basin inversion, or high strain during progressive folding and shearing. The latter has been frequently described in subaqueous slump structures (e.g. STRACHAN & ALSOP 2006).

In the immediate vicinity, outcrops within the same stratigraphic level do not display any comparable structures with E-W shortening kinematics. In contrast, exclusively E-W extensional structures (faults and deformation bands with normal offset) can be observed.

Line-length and area balancing give a rough estimate of the percent of shortening (~ 50%) and depth of an inferred detachment horizon (~ 2m below the current base of the outcrop, i.e. some 8 m below the topographic surface).

The new observations in the sand pit of Steinbrunn, enabled by the re-excavation of the outcrop, question the tectonic origin of the observed fold structures. We propose an alternative interpretation of the deformation features as the frontal zone of a gravitational slump, where shortening strain leads to the formation of tight folds (FARRELL 1984).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Burgenländische Landesregierung for re-excavation of the Steinbrunn sand pit. This work was supported by the FWF Austrian Science Fund grant number T 325-N14 and P20092-N10. We thank M. Harzhauser and M. Wagreich for stimulating discussion in the field.

References

- DECKER, K. (1996): Miocene tectonics at the Alpine-Carpathian junction and the evolution of the Vienna Basin. Mitt. Ges. Geol. Bergbaustud. Österr., **41**: 33-44, Wien.
- DECKER, K., PERESSON, H. & HINSCH, R. (2005): Active tectonics and Quaternary basin formation along the Vienna Basin Transform fault. - Quat. Sci. Rev., **24**: 307-322, Oxford.
- ELLIOTT, C.G. & WILLIAMS, P.F. (1988): Sediment slump structures: a review of diagnostic criteria and application to an example from Newfoundland. - J. Struct. Geol., **10**: 171-182, Oxford.
- FARRELL, S.G. (1984): A dislocation model applied to slump structures, Ainsa Basin, South Central Pyrenees. - J. Struct. Geol., 6: 727-736, Oxford.
- FARRELL, S.G. & EATON, S. (1988): Foliations developed during slump deformation of Miocene marine sediments, Cyprus. J. Struct. Geol., **10**: 567-576, Oxford.
- HINSCH, R., DECKER, K. & PERESSON, H. (2005): 3-D seismic interpretation and structural modeling in the Vienna Basin: implications for Miocene to recent kinematics. Austrian J. Earth Sci., **97**: 38-50, Wien.
- MEYER, W. (1974): Die geodätische Aufnahme der geologischen Wandaufschlüsse in der Sandgrube Steinbrunn (Burgenland). -Wiss. Arb. Burgenland, **53**: 31-50, Eisenstadt.



Fig. 3. Details of the exposed folds. (a) Clay injections in the southern exposed fold core. (b) Sand beds are disrupted in the upper limb of the northern antiform, showing clay intrusions into the fragmented beds. (c) Conjugate sets of normal faults with only 1-2 cm offset are filled with clay from the overlying layer.

- OWEN, G. (1996): Experimental soft sediment deformation: structures formed by the liquefaction of unconsolidated sands and some ancient examples. - Sedimentology, **43**: 279-293, Oxford.
- PERESSON, H. & DECKER, K. (1997): Far-field effects of Late Miocene subduction in the Eastern Carpathians: E-W compression and inversion of structures in the Alpine-Carpathian-Pannonian region. - Tectonics, 16: 38-56, Washington.



Fig. 4. Stereoplot, lower hemisphere. (a) Bedding planes with variable dips to NNE and SSW. (b) Constructed fold axis from the bedding poles, striking NNW-SSE. Axial planes are dipping moderately to the NE.

- PILLER, W.E., DECKER, K. & HAAS, M. (1996): Sedimentologie und Beckendynamik des Wiener Beckens. Exkursionsführer Sediment '96, 11. Sedimentologentreffen, Wien. 41p.
- POTTER, P.E., MAYNARD, J. B. & DEPETRIS, P.J. (2005): Mud and Mudstones. - 297 p. (Springer) Berlin.
- RATSCHBACHER, L., FRISCH, W. & LINZER, H. G. (1991): Lateral extrusion in the Eastern Alps. Part 2: structural analysis. -Tectonics, **10**: 257-271, Washington.
- ROYDEN, L.H. (1985): The Vienna Basin: A thin-skinned pullapart basin. - In: Biddle, K.T. & Christie-Blick, N. (Eds.): Strikeslip deformation, basin formation, and sedimentation. - SEPM Spec. Publ., 37: 319-338, Tulsa.
- SAUER, R., SEIFERT, P. & WESSELY, G. (1992): Guidebook to excursions in the Vienna Basin and the adjacent Alpine-Carpathian thrustbelt in Austria. - Mitt. Geol. Ges., **85**: 1-264, Wien.
- STRACHAN, L.J. & ALSOP, G.I. (2006): Slump folds as estimators of palaeoslope: a case study from the Fisherstreet Slump of County Clare, Ireland. - Basin Res., 18: 451-470.
- STRAUSS, P., HARZHAUSER, M., HINSCH, R. & WAGREICH, M. (2006): Sequence stratigraphy in a classic pull-apart basin (Neogene, Vienna Basin). A 3D seismic based integrated approach. - Geol. Carpath., 57: 185-197, Bratislava.
- WESSELY, G. (1983): Zur Geologie und Hydrodynamik im südlichen Wiener Becken und seiner Randzone. - Mitt. Österr. Geol. Ges., **76**: 27-68, Wien.