Eveslogite – Decoding the complexity of eveslogite through three-dimensional electron diffraction

E. Götz¹, M. Klementová², W. Depmeier³, S. V. Krivovichev^{4,5}, M. Czank³, M. Schowalter⁶, L. Palatinus², U. Kolb^{1,7}

¹Institute of Applied Geosciences, Geomaterial Science, Technical University of Darmstadt, Germany ²Department of Structure Analysis, Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic ³Institute of Geosciences, Kiel University, Germany ⁴Kola Science Centre, Russian Academy of Sciences, Apatity, Russia ⁵Department of Crystallography, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia ⁶Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Bremen, Germany ⁷Centre for High-Resolution Electron Microscopy, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany e-mail: Emilia.goetz@tu-darmstadt.de

Eveslogite is an exceptionally complex mineral, found exclusively at Mt. Eveslogchorr, located in the Khibiny alkaline massif, Kola peninsula, Russia. It occurs as a late-hydrothermal formation in veins breaching a poikilitic nepheline syenite, called rischorrite. Despite its discovery in 2003, the structure of eveslogite remained elusive due to its intricacies and the limitations of available methods and instruments at that time (Men'shikov et al. 2003). It was originally thought that the structure resembled that of a heterophyllosilicate (Ferraris & Gula, 2005), but doubts regarding the applicability of the modular approach arose after the crystal structure of a similar mineral, yuksporite, was determined (Krivovichev et al., 2004). To overcome these challenges, advanced techniques such as three-dimensional electron diffraction (3DED; Gemmi et al., 2019) was used to determine the structure and high-angle annular darkfield scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) was employed to verify it. These methods allowed the investigation of the eveslogite structure at the nanoscale, as the small crystal size and complex twinning prevented the use of traditional X-ray diffraction techniques. Additionally, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) provided valuable insights into the elemental composition of the mineral. Contradictory previous findings (Men'shikov et al., 2003) new cell parameters were proposed (a = 14.2359 Å, b = 44.8242 Å, c = 15.9058 Å, $\alpha = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 109.658^\circ$, $\gamma = 90^\circ$, with a cell volume of 9558.08 Å³) and the space group $P2_1$ was unambiguously assigned. This revised cell facilitated the structure determination of eveslogite, which comprises 345 symmetrically independent atom positions [see Figure 1(A)]. Based on the average elemental composition the sum formula of eveslogite is K_{17.5}(Ba,Sr)₈(Na,Ca)₄₀[(Ti,Nb,Fe,Mn)₁₁Si₆₂O₁₇₉(OH,F)₁₂(O,OH)₁₃](H₂O). The essential building blocks that make up the eveslogite structure are heterosilicate tubular chains (see Figure 1(B) and (C)) as well as double-tubes (see Figure 1(D) and (E)), extending along the aaxis. Zig-zag rows of the tubular building units are interconnected by ribbons of $(Ca, Na)O_x$ polyhedra likewise extending along [100]. The heterosilicate tubular building units of eveslogite show a certain degree of similarity with silicate-only modules occurring in charoite and denisovite (Rozhdestvenskaya et al., 2010; Rozhdestvenskaya et al., 2017). Additional diortho-silicate groups are always connected with the heteroatoms. The double-tubes consist of unbranched dreier double silicate chains connected via (Ti,Nb,Fe,Mn)-heterocations (M) to diortho-silicate groups, resembling the structure of yuksporite. Notably, the M positions form MO_6 octahedra or MO_5 square pyramids within the double-tubes. The findings of this research shed light on the complex structure of eveslogite, emphasizing the importance of avoiding twinning and acquiring data from single nm-sized crystals using 3DED. This study not only contributes to a deeper understanding of this remarkable mineral but also showcases the power of advanced electron microscopy techniques in unravelling the intricate structures of minerals.



Figure 1: (A) Structure of eveslogite along [100]. The unit cell is marked by a black box. The heterosilicate tubular chains are shown along [100] in (B) and along the [010] in (C). (D) and (E) show the double-tube along [100] and along [329], respectively. Si is displayed in dark blue, M in light blue, (Ti,Nb) in pink, (Fe,Mn) in orange, Ba in light green, Sr in dark green, K in purple, Ca in blue-grey, Na in yellow, O in red, F in grey and H in white

- Ferraris G, Gula A (2005): Polysomatic aspects of microporous minerals heterophyllosilicates, palysepioles and rhodesite-related structures. Rev Min Geochem 57, 69-104
- Gemmi M, Mugnaioli E, Gorelik TE, Kolb U, Palatinus L, Boullay P, Hovmoller S, Abrahams JP (2019): 3D electron diffraction: The nanocrystallography revolution. ACS Central Science 5, 1315-1329
- Krivovichev SV, Yakovenchuk VN, Armbruster T, Döbelin N, Pattinson P, Weber H-P, Depmeier W (2004): Porous titanosilicate nanorods in the structure of yuksporite, (Sr,Ba)₂K₄(Ca,Na)₁₄(Mn,Fe){(Ti,Nb)₄(O,OH)₄[Si₆O₁₇]₂[Si₂O₇]₃}(H₂O,OH)_n, resolved using synchrotron radiation. – Amer Min 89, 1561-1565
- Men'shikov Y, Khomyakov A, Ferraris G, Belluso E, Gula A, Kulchitskaya E (2003): Eveslogite, (Ca,K,Na,Sr,Ba)₂₄[(Ti,Nb,Fe,Mn)₆(OH)₆Si₂₄O₇₂](F,OH,Cl)₇, a new mineral from the Khibina alkaline massif, Kola Peninsula, Russia. – Zap Vseross Mineral Obshch 132, 59-67
- Rozhdestvenskaya IV, Mugnaioli E, Czank M, Depmeier W, Kolb U, Reinholdt A, Weirich T (2010): The structure of charoite, (K,Sr,Ba,Mn)₁₅₋₁₆(Ca,Na)₃₂[Si₇₀(O,OH)₁₈₀](OH,F)₄.nH₂O, solved by conventional and automated electron diffraction. - Min Mag 74, 1, 159-177
- Rozhdestvenskaya IV, Mugnaioli E, Schowalter M, Schmidt MU, Czank M, Depmeier W, Rosenauer A (2017): The structure of denisovite, a fibrous nanocrystalline polytypic disordered 'very complex' silicate, studied by a synergistic multi-disciplinary approach employing methods of electron crystallography and X-ray powder diffraction. - IUCrJ 4, 3, 223-242