

The Correlation of the Zechstein with the Marine Standard*)

HEINZ KOZUR**)

1 Text-Figure and 7 Tables

Perm Zechstein Biostratigraphie Korrelation

Contents

	Zusammenfassung	85
	Abstract	86
1.	Introduction	86
2.	Short Discussions of the Extent and Correlation of the Stages in the Upper Permian s.str. (Tethyan Scale) and Upper Permian s.l. (Cis-Uralian Scale)	86
3.	Short Evaluation of the Stratigraphy in those Sequences Outside the Germanic Basin that Can Be Well Correlated with the Zechstein	80
4.	Correlation of the Zechstein	94
	Acknowledgements	101

Die Korrelation des Zechstein mit dem marinen Standard

Zusammenfassung

Der untere Z₁ kann mit Hilfe von Conodonten und Ostracoden gut mit dem Dzhulfian (nicht basales Dzhulfian) korreliert werden. Sporomorphen und die Lage der paläomagnetischen Illawarra-Umpolung weit unterhalb des Zechstein zeigen einen ähnlich späten Beginn des Zechstein an. Der oberste Zechstein, zeitlich äquivalent mit der unteren "Übergangs-Folge" (unterer Bröckelschiefer) sensu SEIDEL (1965), hat eine Sporomorphen-Assoziation der *Triquitrites proratus* A.-Z. geliefert, die auch aus dem White Sandstone Member der obersten Chhidru-Formation (oberstes Dzhulfian oder basales Changxingian) der Salt Range bekannt ist. Das Einsetzen von *T. proratus* BALME und anderer für diese Zone charakteristischer Sporomorphen ist eine wichtige stratigraphische Marke für das oberste Dzhulfian in dem riesigen Gebiet von Australien über China, die Salt Range, den Irak bis zum Germanischen Becken. Sowohl in Südchina als auch in der Salt Range kann dieses wichtige palynostratigraphische Niveau mit marinen Faunen korreliert werden.

Die P/T-Grenze liegt nahe der Obergrenze des Tonigen Sandstein-Members der Nordhausen-Formation (siehe Fußnote 4) und in zeitlich äquivalenten Niveaus innerhalb des tieferen Teils des Unteren Buntsandsteins, und damit beträchtlich oberhalb der Zechstein/Buntsandstein-Grenze des Germanischen Beckens. Die Lundbladispora obsoleta – Lunatisporites noviaulensis A.-Z. kann von Thüringen (Toniges Sandstein-Member) bis Polen (Otynisporites-Schluffstein) nachgewiesen werden, und die gleiche Sporomorphen-Assoziation findet sich auch im unteren Tesero-Oolith der Profile Tesero und Sass de Putia in den Südalpen, wo diese Zone mit Hilfe von Conodonten, Foraminiferen, Ostracoden und Brachiopoden in das Obere Changxingian eingestuft werden kann. Eine ähnliche Assoziation ("Taeniaesporites"-Assemblage sensu BALME, 1970) kann auch in der höheren Otoceras boreale-Zone von Grönland nachgewiesen werden.

Der Umfang der oberpermischen tethyalen Stufen in ihren Stratotypen, die Korrelation der tethyalen und borealen (Cis-Ural) oberpermischen Stufen und einige stratigraphische Probleme in jenen marinen Einheiten, die mit Teilen des Zechstein korreliert werden können, werden kurz diskutiert. Alle diese Probleme haben beträchtlichen Einfluß auf die Korrelation des Zechstein mit dem marinen Standard.

^{*)} This paper was accepted in 1987 for publication in the Symposium Volume "Zechstein 1987" in the Zbl. Geol. Paläont, 1991(4). By a mistake of the editors SCHRÖDER et al. this paper was, however, not printed and the manuscript could not be found for longer time. In 1992 Prof. SCHRÖDER found the manuscript. Because in the 1987 version of the manuscript the largest part, in some areas the whole Bröckelschiefer was correlated with uppermost Zechstein in the central Basin (see also Kozur, 1989), the lithostratigraphic subdivision and correlation in the Germanic Basin had not to be changed after the German Stratigraphic Subcommission Perm/Trias had placed the Bröckelschiefer in the Zechstein. Therefore only the new data of the marine scale (rejection of Abadehian as a junior synonym of the Capitanian) and some newer literature references have been added to the otherwise unchanged original manuscript.

^{**)} Author's address: Dr. sc. HEINZ KOZUR, Institut für Geologie und Paläontologie, Universität Innsbruck, Innrain 52, A-6020 Innsbruck.

Abstract

The lower Z_1 can be well correlated by conodonts and ostracods with the Dzhulfian (not earliest Dzhulfian). Sporomorphs and the position of the paleomagnetic Illawarra Reversal well below the base of the Zechstein also indicate a late beginning of the Zechstein. The uppermost Zechstein, time-equivalent of the lower "Übergangs-Folge" (lower Bröckelschiefer) sensu SEIDEL (1965) has yielded the sporomorph association of the *Triquitrites proratus* A.Z., present also in the topmost Dzhulfian (or basal Changxingian) White Sandstone Member (uppermost Chhidru Formation) of the Salt Range. The first appearance of *T. proratus* BALME and other species of this Zone is an important marker for the uppermost Dzhulfian in the huge area from Australia through China, the Salt Range, Iraq to the Germanic Basin. Both in South China and in the Salt Range this important level in the sporomorph stratigraphy can be correlated with marine faunas.

The P/T boundary lies clearly above the Zechstein/Buntsandstein boundary, near the top of the Clayey Sandstone Member of the Nordhausen Formation (see footnote 4) within the lower part of the Lower Buntsandstein of the Germanic Basin. The *Lundbladispora obsoleta – Lunatisporites noviaulensis* A.Z., recognizable from Thuringia (Clayey Sandstone Member) to Poland (*Otynisporites* Siltstone), can be found with the same species composition in the lower Tesero Oolite of the Tesero and Sass de Putia sections in the Southern Alps together with conodonts, foraminifers, ostracods and brachiopods of Late Changxingian age. A very similar association (*"Taeniaesporites"* assemblage sensu BALME, 1970) can be found in the upper *Otoceras boreale* Zone of Greenland.

The extent of Late Permian Tethyan Stages in their stratotypes, their correlations as well as the correlation of the Cis-Uralian Late Permian Stages are briefly discussed. Some stratigraphic problems in those marine successions that can be correlated with parts of the Zechstein are discussed as well. These stratigraphic problems have considerable influence on the correlations of the Zechstein with the marine scale.

1. Introduction

The Zechstein is still today mostly correlated with the Kazanian s.l. (often including the Ufimian) and Tatarian, whereas the Rotliegend is regarded as Lower Permian (e.g. JORDAN & KERKMANN, 1970; HAUBOLD, 1973; HAUBOLD & KATZUNG, 1975; and many international time-stratigraphic correlation schemata). Partly the lower Zechstein was even included into the Kungurian.

Only VISSCHER (1971, 1974) and KOZUR (1974a, 1977, 1980a) placed the Zechstein into the Tatarian. In the direct correlation with the only reliable Upper Permian scale, the Tethyan scale²), even a still younger age, Dzhulfian and Lower Changxingian or maximally Upper Capitanian to Dorashamian (Changxingian) was determined for the Zechstein by Kozur (1974a, 1977, 1980a, 1985). Already in KOZUR (1974a), where the Zechstein Limestone (Z_1) was still placed into the Upper Capitanian, a Lower Dzhulfian age was not excluded for this level. According to our present-day knowledge about the age of the Tatarian, this correlation by KOZUR (1974a and later papers) corresponds to an Upper Tatarian to post-Tatarian or even to a largely post-Tatarian age of the Zechstein (see below). However, this view about a biostratigraphically proven very short time-interval of the Zechstein (about Upper Permian of the three-fold subdivision) was largely rejected (DDR-Fachbereichsstandard, 1980).

The view about an Ufimian to Tatarian age of the Zechstein is regarding the Ufimian and Kazanian part unsubstantiated and only based on the traditional assignment: Rotliegend = Lower Permian and Zechstein = Upper Permian s.l. (two-fold subdivision = Ufimian to Tatarian). But as shown by KozuR (e.g. 1980 a), the Rotliegend comprises the uppermost Carboniferous, Lower and Middle Permian, in some places (e.g. Richelsdorf Mts, eastern margin of Harz Mts, NW-German-Polish Basin) even the lower part of the Tethyan Late Permian. The uppermost Rotliegend *Chelichnus duncani* tetrapod footprint Zone belongs according to KozuR (in press a) to the Late Permian s.str. (Tethyan Upper Permian in the three-fold subdivision of the Permian System). Therefore, the base of the Zechstein must be still younger.

The palynologically (VISSCHER, 1971) and paleontologically/palynologically (Kozur, 1974a,b, 1977, 1980a, 1985, 1988a,b, 1989) documented view about the young age of the Zechstein within the Permian scale was later supported by magnetostratigraphic correlations (WIEGANK & MENNING, 1984; MENNING, 1986). These paleomagnetic data and the newest biostratigraphic data (KOZUR, 1985, 1988a,b and present paper) show that the Zechstein corresponds only to the uppermost Tatarian and to the gap between the Tatarian and Vetlugian in the Cis-Uralian/ Russian Platform standard. In places, even the lowermost Vetlugian can be correlated with the Zechstein, e.g. the Lower Volcanic Beds and the Inter-volcanic Beds in the Ural, where TUZHIKOVA (1985) has found rich sporomorph associations with dominating Lueckisporites virkkiae, norm A in the lower part and with dominating L. virkkiae, norm B (= L. cf. parvus) and norm C (Guttulapollenites sp.) in the upper part of this interval. In the Tethyan scale the Zechstein corresponds to the Dzhulfian and Lower Changxingian (see tables 5, 6 and chapter IV).

The present paper is based on paleontological and palynological investigations by the author in the Zechstein of Middle Europe (in Poland together with the late Dr. Fu-GLEWICZ) and in the Permian of the Southern Alps, Sicily, Greece, Hungary, Transcaucasia, Central and NW Iran (together with Prof. MOSTLER, Innsbruck), Salt Range, South China (together with Prof. WANG, Cheng-Yuan, Nanjing), Cis-Ural/Russian Platform (together with Dr. E.V. MOVSHOVICH, Rostov), Texas, New Mexico, Arizona. This comprehensive work (many paleontological data have been already published) was necessary, because those sequences outside the Germanic Basin that can be well correlated with the Zechstein or a part of it, are themselves often disputed in their ages. Even in the case of correct correlations with these sequences "evidences" for all ages between the Ufimian (inclusively) and the top of the Permian could be found for the Zechstein.

2. Short Discussions of the Extent and Correlation of the Stages in the Upper Permian s.str. (Tethyan Scale) and Upper Permian s.I. (Cis-Uralian Scale)

The stratotype of the Dzhulfian Stage (SCHENCK et al., 1941) lies at the left bank of the Araks river in the section Dorasham II-1 near Dzhulfa (Transcaucasia). The lower

²⁾ The continental Tatarian is by far more difficult to correlate with the international marine scale than the Zechstein. Therefore the correlation of the Zechstein with the Cis-Uralian scale is useless for the age determination of the Zechstein and only interesting for the age determination of the Tatarian. For this reason the correlation of the Zechstein with the Cis-Uralian scale is mostly omitted in the papers by Kozur.

boundary of the Dzhulfian lies there at the base of the Araxilevis Beds and coincides about with the base of the Clarkina leveni Zone (Kozur, 1973, 1980). Later changes of this boundary to the top of the Araxilevis Beds (KOTLJAR et al., 1984) cannot be accepted, because the Araxilevis Beds have a typical Dzhulfian fauna and the absence of Dzhulfian ammonoids has facial reasons. Now also KOTLJAR et

Table 1.

al (1989) placed the Araxilevis Beds again into the Dzhulfian. The top of the Dzhulfian is universally defined by the base of the Phisonites triangulus Zone (see table 1) that coincides with the appearance of *Clarkina subcarinata* (SWEET).

The stratotype of the Dorashamian (ROSTOVCEV & AZ-RERJAN, 1971, biostratigraphic extent see table 1) is the section Dorasham II-3, about 1.2 km SW of the Dzhulfian

System	Serie	Stage/ Substage	- Amm boreal	onoid Zon e tethyal	Fusulinid A. Z.	Conodont Zone
Trias.	Scythian	Brahmanian	Oph. commune	Ophiceras tibeticum		I. isarcica
		G		Hypophiceras changxingense		H. parvus
		n g e	Otocerae boreale	Rotodiscoceras asiaticum		
		t i a		Pleuronodoceras mapingense	Palaeofusulina sinensis	Clarkina changxingensis Hindeodus
		n Changxingian	Otoceras concavum	Tapashanites chaotianensis		latidentatus
		D		Paratirolit. waagani		
		r		Shevyrev. shevyrevi	Palaeofusulina minima-	Clarkina subcarinata-
	lloper	5 5		Dzhulfites spinosus	Nankingella nuizhouensis	Hindeodus
	Permian	a	2	I. transcaucasicus	guizilouensis	JUI 1 E110 1 D
Perm.				Phisonit. triangulus		
			<i>Cyclolobus</i> genozone	Vedioc eras ventroplanum	Codanofusiella	Clarkina orientalis A.Z.
				Araxoceras latum	Reichelina Reichelina	
		Dzhulfian		Araxilevis ¹) Beds		C. leveni
			•		Codonofusiella kwangsiana	Merrillina divergens- Clarkina liangshanensis A.Z.
	Niddle Permian	Capitanian		Timorites genozone	Lepidolina kumaensis	Mesogondolella "babcockae"- Clarkina bitteri A.Z.

stratotype. In general, it is regarded as a time-equivalent of the Changxingian. But the Chinese authors (e.g. ZHAO et al., 1981) correlated the Dorashamian only with the Lower Changxingian. Detailed investigations of conodonts both in South China (WANG & WANG, 1979; ZHAO et al., 1981; own unpublished material) and in Transcaucasia. NW and Central Iran (KOZUR, MOSTLER & RAHIMI-YAZD, 1975; KOZUR et al., 1978; and further rich own unpublished material) have shown that the view of the Chinese authors is correct. Therefore the Dorashamian can be preserved as independent Substage of the Changxingian (Tables 1, 7). Equivalents of the Upper Changxingian are present in the lowermost Karabagljar Formation of the whole Dorashamian type area (Pleuronodoceras occidentale Zone sensu ZA-CHAROV & PAVLOV, 1986). They contain the Hindeodus latidentatus conodont fauna that can be correlated with the upper Changxingian and at least with the lower Transitional Beds and with the lower Oloceras woodwardi Zone (see table 7). Because of the correct correlation of these beds with the Otoceras woodwardi Zone, they were placed mostly into the Triassic, despite of their exclusively Permian Upper Changxingian fauna. However, now also ZACHAROV & PAV-LOV (1986) placed these beds with ammonoids into the Permian, as KOZUR (1972 and later papers) did by conodonts.

In South China, in the type area of the Changxingian Stage, the Dzhulfian is generally equated with the Wuchiaping Limestone below the Changxing Limestone (e.g. ZHAO et al., 1981). But on the base of the conodont fauna (compare conodont ranges in the Upper Maokou and Wuchiaping Formation, given by ZHANG et al., 1984 with the conodont data in Table 1 of the present paper) the lower 60 m of the Wuchiaping Limestone are older than the type Dzhulfian.

The overlying Changxing Limestone was regarded by FURNISH & GLENISTER (1970) as the type of the Changxingian (stratotype: Meishan section of Changxing county, here used biostratigraphic extent see in Table 1). The upper boundary of the Changxingian and therefore the P/T boundary is not yet definitely defined. ZHAO et al. (1978) included in their precise biostratigraphic original definition of the Changxingian an unnamed ammonoid Zone into the Changxingian. ZHAO et al. (1981) placed these beds into the basal Triassic on the base of some doubtful, badly preserved specimens of Otoceras? sp., despite the fact that the macro- and microfauna as a whole is typical Late Permian, e.g. with Permian brachiopods, last representatives of Pseudotirolites and Pseudogastrioceras (last goniatite) and the Permian Hindeodus latidentatus conodont fauna. Only in the uppermost Transitional Beds the H. parvus A.Z. may be present that has transitional character between Permian and Triassic conodont faunas.

SHENG et al. 1984) united the Transitional Beds with the immediately overlying beds of the *Claraia wangi* Zone to the Transitional Beds s.l. with mixed Permian-Triassic faunas. But the dominating Triassic elements of the "mixed" faunas, e.g. *Claraia wangi* (PATTE), *Ophiceras* spp., and *lsarcicella isarcica* (HUCKRIEDE) have been derived exclusively from the Triassic *Claraia wangi* Zone that was not included into the Transitional Beds by KOZUR (1980b), YIN (1985) and DAGIS & DAGIS (1987).

The Transitional Beds s.str. consist of "mixed" layers 1 and 2, without the *Claraia wangi*-bearing "mixed" layer 3. "Mixed" layer 1 has an undoubtedly Permian macro- and microfauna. Rather "mixed" layer 2 is disputed concerning its Permian or Triassic age. According to the occurrence of *Hypophiceras* in these beds, the *Hypophiceras changxingense* Zone is here discriminated for this assemblage (type locality: Meishan section, cephalopod fauna described by WANG, 1984 for the *Hypophiceras* fauna).

The only "Triassic" elements of the H. changxingense Zone are Otoceras and Hypophiceras. The latter one is a Permian xenodiscid, whereas Oloceras is the last representative of the Upper Permian Otoceratacea. Moreover, the determination of Otoceras? sp. is doubtful and DAGIS & DAGIS (1987) denied that Otoceras is present in the Transitional Beds s.str. They regarded the Transitional Beds s.str., like BANDO et al. (1980) and KOZUR (1980b) as topmost Changxingian in agreement with the original definition of this Stage by ZHAO et al. (1978, see above). However, DAGIS & DAGIS (1987) placed by this the Transitional Beds below the Otoceras concavum Zone, because they assumed a Triassic age for this Zone. But the upper Transitional Beds ("mixed" bed 2) contain according to ZHANG (1984, 1987) Hindeodus parvus. Despite the fact that the only figured specimen may be also a juvenile form of an advanced *H. latidentatus*, the presence of the *H. parvus* Zone is seemingly proven by this specimen, because also advanced specimens of H. latidentatus are restricted to the H. parvus A.Z. Therefore at least the upper part of "mixed" bed 2 cannot be older than upper Otoceras woodwardi Zone, where H. parvus and advanced H. latidentatus appeared. The Otoceras woodwardi Zone is, however, younger than the O. concavum Zone. The conodont fauna of the lower O. woodwardi Zone with H. latidentatus (without advanced forms) corresponds to the conodont fauna of the Upper Changxingian. Therefore the lower 0. woodwardi Zone and the still older 0. concavum Zone can be correlated with the Changxingian. This correlation is also supported by sporomorphs (see Chapter III: Southern Alps). Only the upper Otoceras woodwardi Zone, from where Ophiceras has been reported, may be somewhat younger than the "mixed" bed 2 of the Transitional Beds.

The Upper Permian s.I. (Ufimian, Kazanian, Tatarian) of the Cis-Uralian/Russian Platform standard has a totally different extent than the Tethyan Upper Permian s.str. This Upper Permian s.I. consists in the type areas of its Stages mostly of continental beds. Only in the Kazanian a widespread marine transgression came from the Boreal Permian sea. The correlation of this Upper Permian s.l. both with the marine Middle and Upper Permian s.str. of the Tethyan scale and with the Zechstein is therefore very difficult. Also the correlation with sporomorphs is difficult, because the Upper Permian s.l. even on the Russian Platform belongs to the margin of the Angaride floral province whereas the Zechstein belongs to the Euramerian floral province and the Permian of the Tethys belongs to the Cathaysian, Euramerian or northern margin of the Gondwanide floral provinces.

The Ufimian has marine equivalents in Novaja Zemlja, where the ammonoid genera Daubichites, Sverdrupites and Alludoceras have been found (STEPANOV, 1984). This ammonoid fauna can be correlated with the Roadian of the southwestern U.S.A. According to EFREMOV (1956) the vertebrates of the Ufimian indicate a correlation with the tetrapod faunas of the San Angelo and Flowerpot Formations of latest Leonardian age. The Ufimian sporomorphs with typical representatives of Hamiapollenites likewise indicate a correlation with the Flowerpot Formation. Therefore part of the Ufimian can be correlated with the Tethyan uppermost Early Permian (latest Leonardian s.str. = latest Chihsian s.l.) and part of the Ufimian corresponds to the basal Middle Permian (Roadian). In any case, the Ufimian is by far older than the Tethyan Upper Permian s.str. and the Zechstein.

Even the Tatarian belongs partly to the Middle Permian. The Illawarra Reversal lies about at the base of the Suchona Formation. In the other hand, it lies within the Capitanian of southwestern U.S.A. Therefore the lower Tatarian belongs to the Capitanian (Tethyan Middle Permian). This magnetostratigraphic correlation is supported by palynological data and the evaluation of the conchostracan faunas (Kozur, 1988a,b, 1989). These data indicate that the Severodvina Formation (lower part of upper Tatarian) is pre-Dzhulfian. Equivalents of the Dzhulfian may be only present in the Vjatka Formation of the uppermost Tatarian. Only these beds or part of them may correspond to the lower Zechstein.

At the very base of the Vetluga Group (lowermost part of the Vochma Formation) in some places sporomorphs of the Upper Changxingian are known. The Lower Changxingian and at least parts of the Dzhulfian seem to be missing on the Russian Platform (gap between the Tatarian Vjatka Formation and the Vetluga Group). Where this gap is shorter or missing (in parts of the Ural) all post-Tatarian Permian beds have been placed erroneously into the Triassic (TUZHIKOVA, 1985, see chapter 1.).

3. Short Evaluation of the Stratigraphy in those Sequences Outside the Germanic Basin that Can Be Well Correlated with the Zechstein

In the eastern part of the Southern Alps the largest part of the Val Gardena Sandstone Formation belongs to the Middle Permian (KAHLER, 1974; KOZUR 1977; HOLUB & KOZUR, 1981), indicated by intercalations of fusulinidbearing limestones of Roadian, Wordian and Capitanian ages. In the marginal parts of the basin³), to which belong also the Dolomites, the Val Gardena Sandstone Fm. replaces more and more the Bellerophon Limestone Formation and ranges therefore far into the Upper Permian. It begins there, in turn, later and later and it is represented mostly by continental beds with some marine intercalations (ASSERETO et al. in LOGAN & HILLS, 1973; KOZUR, 1977; HOLUB & KOZUR, 1981).

In contrast to this view about a Middle to Late Permian age of the Val Gardena Sandstone Fm., HAUBOLD (1973) and HAUBOLD & KATZUNG (1975) placed the Val Gardena Sandstone Fm. just in the marginal parts of the basin as a whole into the Lower Permian "Upper Autunian". The tetrapod footprints from the Bletterbach section, on which this age determination has been based, belong to the Upper Permian s.str. HAUBOLD's view about the correlation of the Bletterbach fauna with the Autunian was partly followed by CONTI et al. (1977). Only in CONTI et al. (1986) the Late Permian age of most of the Val Gardena Sandstone Fm. in the marginal part of the South Alpine Permian basin was again accepted, but now, in turn, too young ages were attributed to this sequence. The entire Val Gardena Sandstone Fm. of the Bletterbach-Butterloch section was placed into the Abadehian (in the sense of a postCapitanian Stage, this Abadehian is in reality Lower Dzhulfian, whereas most of the type Abadehian is a timeequivalent of the Capitanian) and Dzhulfian. Only for the basal part of the Val Gardena Sandstone Fm. a latest Capitanian age was not excluded. This is not in agreement with the paleomagnetic and palynologic data.

According to DACHROTH (1976) the lower part of the Val Gardena Sandstone Fm. in the Dolomites belongs to the inversely magnetized Kiaman Interval. This part must be therefore older than Late Capitanian. This is in good agreement with the sporomorph association with Corisaccites sp. ex gr. alulas VENKATACHALA & KAR from the basal Val Gardena Sandstone Fm. in the Bletterbach/Butterloch section, because this sporomorph group is unknown above the Wordian to lower Capitanian. A Late Dzhulfian age of the upper Val Gardena Sandstone Fm. of this section (P. crenulata – P. microcorpus association sensu CONTI et al. (1986) cannot be confirmed. This association that is characterized by the joint occurrence of L. virkkiae POTONIÉ & KLAUS and many other Late Permian species with the Middle Permian Gigantosporites spp. is surely older than the basal Zechstein, were Gigantosporites is already missing. However, the Zechstein begins somewhat above the base of the Dzhulfian. Gigantosporites is also missing in the middle Parvikirkbya transita ostracod A.Z. that contains in the Bükk Mountains Araxilevis intermedia (ABICH) = Pustula ? buekkensis SCHRÉTER, a guide form of the Lower Dzhulfian Araxilevis Beds of the Dzhulfian type area. Thus, the P. crenulata - P. microcorpus association of the upper Val Gardena Sandstone Fm. of the Bletterbach-Butterloch section is either basal Dzhulfian or uppermost Capitanian.

Also the Late Dzhulfian to Changxingian age of the lower Bellerophon Limestone Formation and the (Late) Changxingian age of the upper Bellerophon Limestone Fm. in the Bletterbach-Butterloch section cannot be confirmed. Even the evidences for a Changxingian age of the uppermost Bellerophon Limestone Fm. in this section (CONTI et al., 1986) are very weak. The small foraminifer genus Robuloides is not restricted to the Changxingian, but it occurs even in the pre-Dzhulfian Hachik Beds in the Transcaucasian Dzhulfian type area. Palaeofusulina sinensis SHENG, which would indicate Late Changxingian age was not figured and even the generic assignment was doubtful (CONTI et al., 1986). Palaeofusulina was also reported from other sections in the Bellerophon Fm., but never figured. The only figured specimen has been derived from the overlying Tesero Oolite (PASINI, 1984). Even this species is a very doubtful Palaeofusulina. It is so small that it could belong only to the "Palaeofusulina" simplex group that begins in the Dzhulfian upper Wuchiaping Limestone of South China below the type Changxingian. This species was also reported from the uppermost Bellerophon Limestone Fm. of the Sass de Putia section. It does not belong to Palaeofusulina, but to Nanlingella and it is a long-ranging species (Dzhulfian to Lower Changxingian). Even real Palaeofusulina are already present in undoubtedly Dzhulfian beds (e.g. Hydra, Greece, together with Dzhulfian conodont index species, NESTELL & WARDLAW, 1987).

In the Dolomites, the fusulinid genus *Nankingella* is dominating in the uppermost Bellerophon Limestone Fm., mostly represented by *N*. cf. *quasihuanensis* SHENG that is restricted to the Dzhulfian in South China (RUI, 1979; YAO et al., 1980). Also *Codonofusiella, Nanlingella simplex* (SHENG & CHANG), *Reichelina* and *Staffella* are present, but rare. In the more basinal facies (Carnian Alps, Italy and Bükk Mts, Hungary) *Codonofusiella* and *Staffella* are common in the upper Bellerophon Limestone Fm. These genera are com-

³) What is here named as basin, is in reality the southern shelf of the Dinaric branch of the Permian Southern Tethys that reached until the eastern Southern Alps. Remnants of the marginal parts of this pelagic Southern Tethys are known in Slovenia ("clastic Trogkofel beds", olistostrome units with the pelagic Lower Permian *"Gondolella" slovenica* fauna, see RAMOVŠ, 1982).

Table 2

------Range chart of ostracods, conodonts, holothurian sclerites and conchostracans in the Zechstein and lower part of Buntsandstein. The used lithostratigraphic subdivision of the Zechstein in the NW German – Polish Basin and for the Z1–Z4 also in the Thuringian Basin are primarily based on RICHTER-BERNBURG (1955), REICHENBACH (1970) and KADING (1978a,b).

OS = Otynosporites Siltstone; LO B = Lower Oolitic Beds; CS M = Clayey Sandstone Member; LW M = Lower "Wechsellagerung" Member; Trans. Mbt. = Transitional Member; B.F. = Bernburg Formation; Z.-L. = Zechsteinletten.

mon in the Dzhulfian, but absent or very rare in the Changxingian of the Asiatic Tethys.

Only the uppermost 1.5 m of the Bellerophon Limestone Fm. in the Sass de Putia section could belong to the basal Changxingian. These beds contain Comelicania that was for a long time regarded as brachiopod index genus for the Phisonites triangulus Zone of the basal Changxingian, where it

has really its main occurrence. But in the Bükk Mts Comelicania is present already in the Parvikirkbya fueloepi ostracod Zone that can be well correlated with the Upper Dzhulfian (KOZUR, 1985). On the other hand, this genus was never reported from beds younger than the lowermost Changxingian. Therefore the Comelicania beds of Sass de Putia should not be younger than earliest Changxingian, but a



Text-Figure 1.

Diachronous boundary between the Bellerophon Limestone Formation and the basal Werfen Group (Tesero Oolite) in the Southern Alps.

Lithostratigraphic subdivision and range of brachiopods after NERI, PASINI & POSENATO (1986) for the Tesero section (A) and after BROGLIO LORIGA et al.

(1986) for the Sass de Putia section (B). Range of the other fossils after the present author. Lithology: 1 = a) marly, b) intraclastic limestone; 2 = a) colitic, b) peloid limestone; 3 = bioclastic limestone, a) with algae, foraminifers, b) with ostracods, microgastropods, bivalves; 4 = marly dolomite; 5 = marl; 6 = silty marl. Range of fossils (fossil distribution above beds A 14 and B 59 not shown): 1 = Permian foraminifers; 2 = Permian algae; 3 = *Hindeodus latidentalus* (Kozur, MostLER & RAHIMI-YAZD); 4 = *Theelia dzhulfaensis* MostLER & RAHIMI-YAZD; 5 = *Ombonia*; 6 = *Crurithyris*; 7 = *Spinomarginifera*; 8 = *Araxathyris*; 9 = Comelicania; 10 = Janiceps.

contentaria, 10 = Jainteps. xxx = Upper Changxingian sporomorph association (*L. obsoleta – L. noviaulensis* A.Z.), dominated by spores of marine fungi. Among the land-derived sporomorphs the following species are characteristic = "Anaplanisporites" stipulatus JANS., Apiculatisporites lanjouwii JANS., Kraeuselisporites apiculatus JANS., Lundbladispora obsoleta BALME, partly still attached to each other in tetrahedral tetrads, Klausipollenites schaubergeri (POT. & KLAUS) JANS., Lunati-sporites noviaulensis (LESCHIK) SCHEUR., Protohaploxypinus samoilovichii (JNS.) HART, Striatoabieites richteri (KLAUS) WILSON, Tessellaesphaera tessellata FOSTER etc., megaspores = Olynisporites entriassicus FuGL.

+++ = Upper Dzhulfian sporomorph association. Beside rare spores of marine fungi the following species are characteristic = *Nuskoisporites dulhuntyi* Pot. & KLAUS, *Alisporites spp., Falcisporites zapfei* (Pot. & KLAUS) LESCHIK, *Klausipollenites schaubergeri* (Pot. & KLAUS) JANS., *Lueckisporites virkkiae* Pot. & KLAUS, rare, Lunatisporites noviaulensis (LESCHIK) SCHEUR. Scale: 1 cm = 0.5 m.

90

Zec	chatein-Bunteandatein boundarv (generally)	Ze	chst	ein						Bunt	sands	tein 🔶
NH	German-Polish Basin (WAGNER, 1987, FUGL., 1980)	Z1	Z2	23	Z4	Z 5	Z6	Z7	Suboo	0S litic	(Beds	LO B
Thi	ringian Basin (SEIDEL, 1956)	Z1	22	Z3	Z 4	Upper: most ZL.	= Lo Tr No	wer ans ordhi	Upper Mbr. ausen l	CS M	SC M	LW M B.F
1	Acratia ? acuata (JONES)	5**					•					L
2	Bairdia lebae KROMMELBEIN	6**										
4	Bairdia pommeriana KROMMELBEIN	8	-									
5	Bairdiacypris jonesiana (KIRKBY)	9 * *										
6	"Basslerella" suavis IVANOV 1	0**										
8	Callicythere n. ap. aff. mazurensis (STYK)		1	2++					1	1		
9	Cavellina subelongata (GEINITZ)	3**	*	++								
10	Cooperuna aff. rostrataformis (SHEVZOV)	4**	-									
11	Cryptobairdia ampia (REUSS) Cryptobairdia hisingeri (MUNSTER)	6**	*									
13	Cryptobairdia knüpferi (IVANOV)	.7**										
14	Cryptobairdia mucronata (REUSS)	8**	*									
15	Dorscobliquella pulchra KNOPFER	19## 20##	*	++								
17	Europermiana wiekensis KNOPFER	21**										
18	Fabalicypris parva (KOTCHETKOVA)	22**										
19	Haworthina ? patria IVANOV	23++										
20	Healdia dahlarueni KRÖMMELBEIN	25**										
22	Healdia incisurelloides KNOPFER	26++										
23	Heladianella ? richteriana (JONES & KIRKBY)	27**										
24	Hungarella ogmoconchelloides (KNOPFER)	28==										
26	Kirkbya permiana JONES	50**										
27	Microcheilinella nuciformis (JONES)	51**										
28	Nicrochailinelle pusilla (KRÖMMELBEIN)	52** 										
30	Nemoceratina suprapermiana (JORDAN)	34**										
31	Paraberounella ep.	35**										
32	Polycope sp.	36**										
33	Roundyella lebaensis KROMMELBEIN	37## 38++										
35	Vallumoceratina permiana (KRÖMMELBEIN)	39**										
36	Vallumoceratina rugensis KNÜPFER	40++										
37	Waylandella seitzi KRÖMMELBEIN	41++										
39	Clarkina rosenkrantzi (BENDER & STOPPEL)	2										
40	Merrillina divergens (BENDER & STOPPEL)	2**										
41	Stepenovites inflatus (BENDER & STOPPEL) Achistrum an	4**										
43	Eocaudina ap.	+~~ ~ 43**										
44	Theelia dzhulfaensis MOSTLER & RAHIMI-YAZD	44**										
45	Arabellites ? capricornu (SEIDEL)	45										
47	n everilles eucoxus (SEIDEL) Delosites falcatus (SEIDEL)	40++ 97##	+									
48	Eunicites biconvexus (SEIDEL)	48**	*									
49	Eunicites magnidentatus (SEIDEL)	49**	*									
50	Eunicites spinosus (SEIDEL) Staurorephalites compressue (SZANTAWSKI)	50**	*									
52	Palaeolimnadia sp. aff. cishycranica NOVOZHILOV							5	2***			
53	Falsisca eotriassica eotriassica KOZUR & SEIDEL							5	3*****			
54	Euestheria gutta gutta LJUTKEVICH							5		*****	**	****++++
56	Euestheria jakutica (NOVOZHILOV)								56.			
57	Euestheria gutta oertlii KOZUR								57.		•• * :	*******
58	Falsiece n. ep. aff. verchojanica (MOLIN)									58+	**	
60	Vertexia tauricornis tauricornis LJUTKEVICH										59#3 60#3	***+++++
61	Vertexia tauricornis transita KOZUR & SEIDEL										6	1 • • + + # # #
62	Liograpta (Magniesth.) ? malangensis (MARLIERE)										63	2 • • • • • • •
64	Liograpia (Magniesineria) ? lerichi (MARLIÈRE) Cornia germari (BEVRICH)										6	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
65	Polygrapta rybinskensis (NOVOZHILOV)											65**

Table 3.			
Range chart of sporomorphs in the Zechstei	n and lower part of Buntsandstein.		
sporadic occurrence	*** common to dominant	000 <10 %	××× 30 - 50 %
Abbreviations see Table 2.			

late Dzhulfian age cannot be excluded. Sporomorphs from the immediately underlying marly layer indicate a Dzhulfian age (Text-Fig. 1).

The boundary between the Bellerophon Limestone Fm. and the basal Werfen Group (Tesero Oolite horizon) is clearly diachronous (see Text-Fig. 1). Toward the deeper part of the basin the lowermost Tesero Oolite Horizon is replaced by the facies of the Bellerophon Limestone, whereas its upper part is replaced by the fine-bedded or laminated marls of the Mazzin Member, so that the Mazzin Member finally rests directly on the Bellerophon Limestone Fm. in the deeper parts of the basin, e.g., in San Antonio (BRANDNER et al., 1986). Therefore the top of the Bellerophon Limestone Fm. cannot be regarded anymore as synchronous level and as P/T boundary, as already pointed out by KOZUR (1972, 1974 a, 1989), who placed the P/T boundary within the lowermost Werfen beds (first appearance of the genus Isarcicella), a view that was for the first time also taken into consideration by other authors during the Brescia Symposium (1986).

The lateral replacement of the Tesero Oolite by the Bellerophon Limestone Fm. (below) and by the Mazzin Member (above) is shown in Text-Fig. 1. About 1.7 m above the top of the Bellerophon Limestone Fm. in the Tesero section and 0.5 m above the top of this Formation in the Sass de Putia section a rich conodont fauna with H. latidentatus and Stepanovites begins that indicates Late Changxingian age (below the Transitional Beds, see chapter 2 and Table 1). In the Tesero section the Late Changxingian age of this horizon is additionally supported by Changxingian brachiopods (see Text-Fig. 1). In both sections the Upper Changxingian fauna is accompanied by a rich sporomorph association of the Lundbladispora obsoleta - Lunatisporites noviaulensis A.Z. (see also explanation to Text-Fig. 1) that can be well correlated by numerous common species with the Taeniaesporites association (BALME, 1970) of the upper Otoceras beds of Eastern Greenland. Like there, spores of marine fungi are very common also in the Southern Alps beside the land-derived sporomorphs. Even the fungi themselves have been found in the Sass de Putia section. Lundbladispora obsoleta, often still attached in tetrahedrons, and other spores of lycopodid origin are most frequent among the land-derived sporomorphs.

In the Salt Range, the Chhidru Formation was named by FURNISH & GLENISTER (1970) Chhidruan Stage and it was regarded to be younger than the Araksian (Dzhulfian) and older than the Changxingian. On the other hand, many other authors (e.g. WATERHOUSE, 1972, 1976; LEVEN, 1975; KOTLJAR et al., 1984) regarded the whole Chhidru Fm. as older than Dzhulfian. KOZUR (1978) placed the Chhidru Fm. into the uppermost Abadehian. This part of the Abadehian corresponds to the Lower Dzhulfian according to the newer data about the age of the Abadehian (GLENISTER et al., 1992; KOZUR, 1992a,b,c, 1993) and Dzhulfian; the largest part of the Abadehian corresponds to the Capitanian. The PAKISTANI-JAPANESE RESEARCH GROUP (1985) regarded the Chhidru Fm. as Dzhulfian as well. The majority of the Titular Members of the SCPS places the Chhidruan into the "Midian" (stratigraphic extent see Table 7) and Iowermost Dzhulfian (DICKINS, in Permophiles, 12, 1987)

or into the uppermost "Midian" and lower Dzhulfian (JA-PANESE WORKING GROUP in Permophiles, **12**, 1987). Conodont studies by the present author and the evaluation of the distribution of the other faunal elements published by the PAKISTANI-JAPANESE RESEARCH GROUP (1985) indicate a Dzhulfian age for the Chhidru Fm., but its uppermost part (White Sandstone Member) could reach up to the basal Changxingian (see Table 7).

The overlying Kathwai Member of the Mianwali Formation was for a long time regarded as Lower Triassic, because its ammonoid fauna was correlated with the Ophiceras faunas. WATERHOUSE (1972, 1976), in turn, placed the entire Kathwai Member into the Late Permian. GRANT (1970) and GRANT & COOPER (in LOGAN & HILLS, 1973) placed the lower part of the Dolomite Unit (lower Kathwai Member) on the basis of brachiopods into the Late Permian, in the latter paper into the Changxingian. KOZUR (1972, 1974b, 1978) placed the Lower Dolomite Unit into the latest Permian, because the conodont fauna indicates for this part a correlation with the Otoceras woodwardi zone, placed into the Permian by KOZUR (1972 and later papers). The careful investigations of the PAKISTANI-JAPANESE RESEARCH GROUP (1985) have shown that even fusulinids (Reichelina sp.) are present in the lower Dolomite Unit. In the basal beds of the Dolomite Unit Comelicania was found (DICKINS, Permophiles, 1987). These beds cannot be younger than basal Changxingian.

In Greenland the Foldvik Creek Group was placed in the last years into the Kungurian-Ufimian (WATERHOUSE, 1972, 1976), into the Guadalupian (Wordian-Capitanian, e.g. SWEET, 1976) or into the Dzhulfian (NASSICHUK et al., 1965). The age of the rich brachiopod fauna is disputed, but the ammonoid and conodont faunas yielded important data for the correlation. Godthaabites kullingi FREBOLD from the upper Foldvik Creek Group indicates an Early Dzhulfian age for this part of the Formation, because the only occurrence of the genus Godthaabites outside Greenland is in the Lower Dzhulfian of Transcaucasia and Iran (ZACHAROV, 1983). Clarkina rosenkrantzi (BENDER & STOPPEL) s.str., present in the entire Foldvik Creek Group with exception of its uppermost part, indicates a Dzhulfian age. An earliest Changxingian age for the uppermost Földvik Creek Group above the occurrence of C. rosenkrantzi cannot be excluded.

The overlying Otoceras beds are mostly placed into the Triassic. According to DAGIS & DAGIS (1987) equivalents of the O. concavum Zone are missing in Greenland (gap) and only the O. boreale Zone is present. The sporomorph association of the upper 0. boreale Zone of Greenland belongs to the L. obsoleta - L. noviaulensis A.Z. (= "Taeniaesporites" association sensu BALME, 1979) that is also present in the lower Tesero Horizon of the Southern Alps, where it can be dated by conodonts, ostracods and brachiopods as Late Changxingian (see above). Also in Greenland this Upper Changxingian conodont fauna is present (SWEET, 1976). In the lower O. boreale Zone the Protohaploxypinus association sensu BALME (1979) is present that is according to BALME (1979) nearer related to Zechstein sporomorph associations than to any Lower Triassic sporomorph association all over the world. This view is confirmed by the discovery of the sporomorphs association of the T. proratus A.Z., in the

Zec	hetein-Bunteandetein boundary (generally)	Ze	chat	ein		_	_			Bunt	eande	tein 🛶
NW	Gorman-Polish Basin (WAGNER, 1987, FUGL., 1980)	Z1	Z2	Z3	Z4	Z5	Z 6	Z7	Suboo	0S itic	Beds	LO 8
Thi	ringian Basin (SEIDEL. 1956)	Z1	Z2	Z3	Z4	Upper: most ZL.	= Lo Tr No	wer ans ordha	Upper . Mbr. ausen f	CS M	SC M	L₩ M B. F.— -
Spo	pres (total)	- 1	0000	0000	0000	1 20000xx:	 ××××	xxx		•ו×	•••••	******
Mor	nosaccate pollen (total)	000	0000	0000	000	DBABBB	øxøx	(Ø×Ø		0000		0000000
810 1	accate pollen (total) <i>Lupatisporitas labdacus</i> (KLAUS) CONTI et al.	1+++					• • XX	XXX		X=X=		*****
2	Perieaccue granulatus KLAUS	2 · · ·		• •								
3	Potonieisporites novicus BHARADWAJ	3	• • • •	••								
4	Crustaeaporites globosus LESUNIK Labiisporites granulatus LESCHIK	5+++	· • • • •	·++								
6	Striatoabieites microcorpus (SCHAAR.) n. comb.	6	• • • •	••								
7	Vittatina costabilis WILSON	7	• • • •	••••	••							
9	Nuskoisporites dulhuntyi POTONIE & KLAUS	9										
10	Vestigisporites minutus CLARKE	10+++	++++	++ • •	•••	• • • •						
11	Lueckieporites cf. parvus KLAUS (= norm Bc)	11 · · · 12 · · ·		+***		****						
13	Limitisporites delasaucei (POT. & KLAUS) SCH.	 13+++	++++	++++	+++	•••••		••				
14	"Limitisporites" moersensis (GREBE) KLAUS	14		++*			• • • •	••				
15	Lueckisporites virkkise POTONIE & KLAUS Protobaploxypinus chaloperi CLARKE	15 ** * 16•••				**** · · · · · ·						
17	Guttulapollenites sp.	17		• • • •	•••	• • • • • • •	++++	+++				
18	Paravesicaspora splendens (LESCHIK) KLAUS	18	• • • •	•+++	++•	 						
20	Functallaporites BPP. Falcisporites zapfai (POTONI¢ & KLAUS) LESCHIK (19··· 20+++	· • • • •	·++++	+++	++++ <i>=</i> -				+++++		
21	Klausipollenites schaubergeri (POT. & K.) JANS.	21***	****	C 10C 10C 10C 10C 10C		*****	****	-		•••		
22	Lunatisporites noviaulensis (LESCHIK) SCHEUR.	22 · · ·			+++	*****	****		\sim	****		******
23	Protohaploxypinus samoilovichii (JANS.) HART	23+++ 24 · · ·				++++ * *	****	***		****		++++
25	Strotersporites richteri (KLAUS) WILSON	25+++	++++	++++	***	*****	***			****		
26	Cycadopites follicularis WILSON & WEBSTER	26 • • •	• • • •		· + + ·	+*****	****	***		****		*******
28	Iraqiapora labrata SINGH			2			28 · ·			****		
29	Kraeuselisporites ep.						29 · ·	+++				
30	Cordaitina sp. Playfordiaspora sp.						30++ 31++	·+++				
32	Potonieisporites sp.						32++	+++				
33	Vestigisporites sp.						33+-	****				
35	Protohaploxypinus jacobii (JANSONIUS) HART						35+-	++++				
36	Gnetaceaepollenites sp.						36+-	++++				
37	<i>Triquitrites proratus</i> BALME <i>Deltoidepora nigrans</i> (NAUMOVA) n. comb.						37+-	**** ****		****	r	
39	Brevitriletes cf. hennellyi FOSTER						39+-	++++		++++		
40	Rewanispora minuta (SINGH) n. comb.						40.	• • • • • • • •		****	r	
41	Lunat. cf. transversundatus (JAN.) CONTI et al.						41+	****		****		
43	Lunat. ep. aff. <i>pellucidus</i> (GOUBIN) HELBY						43-			****	r	
44	Densoisporites playfordi (BALME) DETTMANN "Anaplanianorites" stipulatus JANSONTUS						44 -		л	++++	•	+++#####
46	Araucariacites sp.								4	6		******
47	Concavissimisporites grumulus FOSTER								4	 		
48	Lensolsporites sp. att. nejburgii (SCHULZ) BALM Endosporites cf. papillatus JANSONIUS								4	9		
50	Endosporites ap. sensu BALME, 1979								S	0++++	÷	
51	Lundbladispora ep. att. brevicula BALME								5	1++++	•	
53	Falcisporites stabilis BALME								5	3		
54	Striatoabieites duiveni (JANSONIUS) HART								S	4 • • • •		
55	Striatopodocarpites rugosus (JANSONIUS) HART Kraeuselisporites spiculatus JANSONIUS								5	5+++4 6****	•	******
57	Lundbladispora obsoleta BALME								5	7****	r i	******
58	Lunatieporites pellucidus (GOUBIN) HENELLY									58 ·		******
60	Denaoisporites nejburgii (SCHULZ) BALME										6	
61	Endosporites papillatus JANSONIUS										é	1++****
62	Kraeuselisporites punctatus JANSONIUS Lundbladispora bravicula RALME										é	3+++++++
64	Lundbladispora willmotti BALME										é	4******
65	Lunatisporites hexagonalis (JANSONIUS) n. comb.										é	5++++++
66	Echitril etes sp. Otynisporites sp.						66+ 67+	+++4 +++4	•			
68	Hughesisporites simplex FUGLEWICZ						2		6	8***	r	
69	Triangulatisporites reticulatus FUGLEWICZ								69) 		******
71	Otynisporites toberculatus FUGLEWICZ								7	·1****	- K	******
72	Trileites vulgaris FUGLEWICZ								7	2****	•	******
173	<i>laichireila permotriaesica</i> (FUGLEWICZ) n. comb.										7	·3++++++b

uppermost Zechstein that is very similar to the *Protohaploxy*pinus association of the lower *Otoceras* beds of Greenland.

4. Correlation of the Zechstein

The lower boundary of the Zechstein is placed at the base of the first marine bed in the basinal facies. The lower part of the Zechstein Conglomerate is often non-marine, because fluviatile conglomerates have been often united with the marine clastic basal sediments of the Zechstein.

The lithostratigraphic subdivision and correlation of the Z_1-Z_4 are since RICHTER-BERNBURG (1955) well established. Problems still exist with the subdivision of the Z_5-Z_7 and with the Zechstein-Buntsandstein boundary. This boundary is in its present (1987) use diachronous between the central parts of the basin and the more marginal parts⁴). The subdivision and correlation from the Z_5 to the basal Buntsandstein is shown in table 4.

The Z₅ (REICHENBACH, 1963, 1970) was later subdivided by KADING (1978 a,b) into the Z_5 (Ohre Formation) and Z_6 , but the upper boundary of the Z_6 was not used uniformly in these two papers. KÄDING (1978a) placed the top of the Z_6 below the Lower Bröckelschiefer, KADING (1978 b) placed it below the Basis Sandstone of the Upper Bröckelschiefer. BRÜNING (1986) followed KÄDING (1978a) and he regarded all beds below the Gray-green Boundary Bank (sensu SEIDEL, 1965) and above the Z_4 as $Z_5 + Z_6$. But between the top of the Z₄ and the base of the Gray-green Boundary Bank only one cycle can be observed. This can be proven in the Calvörde Block, where the Gray-green Boundary Bank (0.5 m brown and gray siltstones and anhydrite) can be recognized just above the Ohre (Z_5) Halite. Therefore the Gray-green Boundary Bank is not situated above the Z_6 , but it corresponds to the base of the Z_6 (or perhaps even partly to the recessive top of the Z₅). In Thuringia beside the Gray-green Boundary Bank seemingly the lower part of the cycle 1 of the basal Bröckelschiefer (sensu SEIDEL, 1969) belongs to the Z_6 . These beds are characterized by the occurrence of dolomite nodules. But no clear separation from the Z₇ is possible in Thuringia so that there the uppermost Zechstein beds should be rather designated as Z₆/7. According to BEST (in KLARE & SCHRÖDER, 1987) the Z₆ is named as Friesland Formation ("Serie"), the Z₇ as Mölln Fm. Above the latter Formation still a further Zechstein cycle is present (unnamed). In the Polish subdivision the Z_5 corresponds to the P Z_4 b, the Z_6 to the P Z_4 c, the Z₇ to the P Z₄d, the unnamed uppermost cycle corresponds to the P Z_4 e and an also there unnamed interval (see table 4).

In the Calvörde Block the Z₆ anhydrite is still well developed just below the anhydrite-bearing Bröckelschiefer. The lower part of this Bröckelschiefer that begins in the upper part of the cycle 1 sensu SEIDEL (1969) should correspond to the Z₇ (see Table 4). This cycle clearly ends at the base of the Basis Sandstone of the upper Bröckelschiefer sensu BRÜNING (1986). But we should notice here that SEIDEL (1965) placed these beds into the upper 5-6 m of the "undeutlich geflaserte Folge" of the lower Bröckelschiefer. These beds correspond to the lower part of cycle 2 sensu SEIDEL (1969). In the upper meter of these beds the 0.1 - 0.2 m thick gray-green Bröckelschieferbank sensu SEIDEL (1965) can be recognized in large parts of Germany (SEIDEL, 1965; BRÜNING, 1986). Until this horizon the sabkha conditions continued in the more basinal parts of the basin. Perhaps this horizon corresponds to the last saline cycle in the very centre of the basin (P Z₄ e) in the Zuber facies of Poland, still above equivalents of the Z₇ (= P Z₄ d, see WAGNER, 1987).

At the base of the upper Bröckelschiefer sensu SEIDEL (1965) that corresponds to the base of the upper part of cycle 2 sensu SEIDEL (1969) a very characteristic horizon can be traced in the entire Germanic Basin (with exception of the coarse-clastic marginal parts). This horizon is characterized by a mm-fine, very dense flaser-bedding that indicates the end of the sabkha conditions also in the central parts of the Germanic Basin, because there the first fresh-water sediments begin that are unknown in the Upper Zechstein (including the lower Bröckelschiefer sensu SEIDEL, 1965). Immediately above these beds the first conchostracans have been found (KOZUR & SEIDEL, 1983 a,b) that can only exist under fresh-water or slightly brackish conditions. This very densely flaser-bedded horizon marks the base of the Buntsandstein (Baltic Formation) in western Poland (WAGNER, 1987) and this Zechstein/Buntsandstein boundary is here accepted as a natural and seemingly in the whole basin (with exception of the coarse clastic marginal parts) synchronous boundary (Table 4)

The Zechstein can be well correlated by conodonts, ostracods and sporomorphs with several marine and partly also with continental sequences in many areas outside the Germanic Basin, e.g. in the Southern Alps, Hungary, Transcaucasia, NW- and Central Iran, in the Salt Range and in Greenland. But as mentioned in chapter 1, the stratigraphic subdivision and above all the correlation with the Late Permian stages are in these areas often disputed. Therefore the stratigraphic problems of these areas as well as the biostratigraphic extent of the Late Permian Stages have been discussed in chapters 2 and 3. The biostratigraphic extent of the Late Permian Stages as used in the present paper is shown in Table 1. In Tables 6 and 7 the most important European and Asiatic Middle and Upper Permian sequences, including the stratotypes of the Late Permian Stages are correlated with each other and with the Tethyan, Cis-Uralian/Russian Platform and world standards.

Without these stratigraphic investigations outside the Germanic Basin even correct correlations of certain stratigraphic units of these areas with the Zechstein could lead to quite contrary chronostratigraphic datings of the Zechstein. The stratigraphic correlation of the uppermost Zechstein, for instance, is in the moment decisively influenced by the stratigraphic position of the White Sandstone Member of the uppermost Chhidru Formation (see chapter 3) that belongs to the same sporomorph zone as

⁴⁾ Meanwhile also the German Stratigraphic Subcommission Perm/Trias has decided (1. 5. 1992 in Aschersleben, written remarks Prof. ROSENFELD, Münster) that the Bröckelschiefer as time-equivalent of the Zechstein in the central part of the Germanic Basin must be placed into the Zechstein. However, as pointed out by KOZUR (1989) only in some areas the whole Bröckelschiefer belongs to the Zechstein. In other areas, e.g. in Thuringia, the upper Bröckelschiefer, consisting mainly of fresh-water lake and fluviatile deposits, is younger than the Zechstein in the central Basin (see Table 4). A similar view was expressed by BEST (in KLARE & SCHRÖDER, 1987). Because the Bröckelschiefer is not more placed into the Nordhausen Formation, this term was abandoned and replaced by the Calvörde Fm. However, in the type section of the Nordhausen Fm. in Nordhausen, the beds placed into the Bröckelschiefer are according to their conchostracan fauna with many spined vertexiids in reality the upper red part of the Sandy Claystone Member of the Nordhausen Fm. that are only facially similar to the Bröckelschiefer. Therefore it was not necessary to replace the term Nordhausen Fm.

Table 4.

Correlation of the lithostratigraphic units near the Zechstein/Buntsandstein Boundary from the Thuringian Basin until western Poland. Vertical distances are not time- or thickness-related, gaps not indicated. N.F. = Nordhausen Formation; upper Z.L. = uppermost Zechsteinletten.

(9	ΕI	DEL	Thuringian Basin ., 1965, 1969). KOZUR & SEIDEL, 1983 c)	SI	argin of Harz Mts. (RADZINSKI, 1967)		Sut E RE 1	hercyne asin (S CHENBA(e Basin/Ca SCHULZE, 1 CH, 1963,	alvörde 969. 1970)		Po (WA Fl)lish Basin AGNER. 1987. JGL., 1980)	P	resent Daper
	Sa 20 C1 (N	aye	v Claystone Mbr. (N. F.) 3 m av Sandstone Member dhausen Formation)		45-55 m Lower Sandstons- Claystone Interbed= ding with colitic beds	B	Low Zor	er Clay	ystone 15-20 m (Zone with horizon c	οο β2 οο β1 Graubank n oolite po α	BUNTS	B a 1 t c	Sub-Oolitic Beds Otynispor. Siltstone. oolites	BUNTS	N o r d h a u
B U N T S A	B c k	с у с. З	9-14 m reddish-brown clavatone/siltatone, sandstone flaser; first conchostracan-bearing fresh-water deposits 5-10 m reddish-brown claystone/siltatone, mm-dense edst flaser	BUNT	18 m upper part (siltstone with sandstone flaser, in the lower part horizon with very dense flaser- B bedding)	N T S A N D S T F	Sar	dstone-	35-40 m -Claystone 	2 Zone with se	A N D S T E I N	F o r m a t i o n	Sub-Oolitic Beds	A N D S T E I N	s e n F m.
S T E I N	1 8 C h i e f	1 e 2 c y	5-6 m reddish-brown siltstone, medium- to coarse-grained sdst. 3-7 m reddish-brown clayst. with anhydrite	S A N D S T E	r ö 5 m middle part c (siltstone. part= k ly coarse sdst.) e l	IN		Brö	10 m ckelschief	fer		Rew	 PZ4e 		 Z 7
z	e r Z e	1 9 1 u p	2-5 m red siltstone, with sandstone flaser, dolomite nodules 0,1-0,5 m Gray-green Boundary Bank	IN	c 10 m lower part h i (predominantly e f e Gray-green r Boundary Bank	ZEC	Z	0,25-0 2 m bro 0.5 m l siltsto	,5 m anhyd own silts brown and one and an	drite tone gray ahydrite	Z E C H S T	a 1 F M	PZ4c	Z E C H S T	Z 6/7 Z 6
E C H S T E I N	c h s t e i n	р r m. Z. L.	5-8 m reddish-brown, basal reddish-green siltsto= nes, anhydrite/gypsum nodules, partly sandstone flaser		claystone)	H S T E I N	c h s t.	0,3-3 (0,3 m (5 m si with si	m pink hal anhydr ltstone, p andstone f	lite siltst. partly flager	E I N		PZ4b	E I N	Z 5
	4	A1 3	ler Salinar	z	Z4 Aller Salinar		Z4	A	ller Hali	te			PZ4a		Z4

the uppermost Zechstein. The present day opinions about the age of this lithostratigraphic unit vary among the Titular Members of the Subcommission on Permian Stratigraphy between pre-Dzhulfian Late Permian (e.g. KOTLJAR), basal Dzhulfian (e.g. DICKINS), Early Dzhulfian (e.g. KATO) and uppermost Dzhulfian or basal Changxingian (KOZUR).

In the following, only the chronostratigraphic correlation of the basal and uppermost Zechstein will be discussed to show the stratigraphic range of this lithostratigraphic unit. The more detailed correlation of the lithostratigraphic units within the Zechstein with the sporomorph zonation and the marine standard is shown in Table 5. The range of the sporomorph zones within the lithostratigraphic units of the Zechstein is rather well established. The only larger discrepancy exists regarding the base of the *Lueckisporites* cf. *parvus* A.Z. (= sporomorph association with dominating norm Bc among the *Lueckisporites* palynodemes). In the Plattendolomite quarry Oberrhon (southern Thuringia) this zone is well documented in the claystones immediately above the Plattendolomite. VISSCHER (in KO-ZUR, 1978) recognized dominating *L. virkkiae*, norm B among the *Lueckisporites* palynodemes. Later investigations of further samples from this level in the locality Oberrohn by the present author confirmed these data. DYBOVA-JA-CHOWICZ et al. (1984), in turn, stated that still in the P Z₄ a (= Z₄) *L. virkkiae*, norm Ac prevails and only in the P Z₄ b to P Z₄ d (Z₅ to Z₇) *L. virkkiae*, norm Bc dominates. WAGNER (1987) stated that no sporomorphs are known from the P Z₄ d. May be that the data presented by DYBOVA-JACHOwicz et al. (1984) have to be generally lowered one cycle. In this case, also in Poland the *L*. cf. *parvus* A.Z.. would be present already in the Z₄ that is not far away from the data by VISSCHER and the present author.

The correlation of the *L*. cf. *parvus* A.Z. with the marine standard is not yet well established, but because the overlying *T. proratus* A.Z. begins in the uppermost Dzhulfian, an Late Dzhulfian age for the *L*. cf. *parvus* A.Z. is probable.

The lower Z₁ can be well correlated with the standard scale by conodonts, ostracods and sporomorphs. Merrillina divergens (BENDER & STOPPEL), the most characteristic conodont species of the Zechstein Limestone, is known from unit 5 (Codonofusiella kwangsiana Zone) and the Araxilevis Beds (basal unit 6) of Central Iran (both lower Dzhulfian) as well as from the upper Hachik Formation (Codonofusiella Zone) and the overlying Araxilevis Beds of NW Iran and Transcaucasia (Lower Dzhulfian in the type area; KOZUR, MOSTLER & RAHIMI-YAZD, 1975; KOZUR, 1978; KOZUR et al., 1978; IRANIAN-JAPANESE RESEARCH GROUP, 1981). From the Cordilleran miogeosyncline of western North America WARDLAW & COLLISON (1986) reported the joint occurrence of M. divergens and "Neogondolella" bitteri (KOZUR) in their zone 7 that they placed near the Wordian-Capitanian boundary. CLARK & BEHNKEN (1979), in turn, regarded even beds with Clarkina ? wilcoxi (CLARK & BEHNKEN) (and M. praedivergens KOZUR & MOSTLER) below zone 7 of WARDLAW & COLLISON (1986) as post-Capitanian. With regard to the ammonoid- and fusulinid controlled Upper Permian conodont ranges of the Asiatic Tethys, the M. divergens – C. bitteri fauna of western North America belongs probably also to the Lower Dzhulfian.

The other conodont species of the Z_1 (listed in Table 2) have a longer range (mostly Upper Capitanian to Middle Dzhulfian). *Clarkina bitteri* (KOZUR) was determined as *Neogondolella* aff. *idahoensis* by SWIFT & ALDRIDGE (1986). As a whole, the conodonts indicate a Dzhulfian age for the Z_1 (see Tables 1 and 2).

The conodont correlation of the Zechstein Limestone is confirmed by other fossils. The sporomorph association of the Z₁ to lower Z₃ (see Table 3) can be well correlated with the association II₃ by BARABÁS-STUHL (1981) of the Mecsek Mountains (southern Hungary) and with the *L. noviaulens*is – *P. splendens* and *Sch. maximus* – *P. splendens* associations sensu CONTI et al. (1986) from the upper Bellerophon Limestone of the Southern Alps. Most characteristic for these typical Upper Permian sporomorph associations with rich occurrence of *L. virkkiae* POTONIÉ & KLAUS is the absence of *Gigantosporites* spp., still common in the Upper Capitanian⁵).

Direct correlation of the ostracod faunas (see Table 2) are rather difficult, because only very few species of the Zechstein Limestone can be found in the Tethyan Late Permian that belong even partly to other subspecies. According to KOZUR (1985) *Bairdia plebeia* REUSS occurs from the middle *P. transita* A.Z. to the lower *P. fueloepi* Zone (Dzhulfian).

Hungarella aff. ogmoconchelloides (KNÜPFER) occurs in the upper *P. transita* A.Z. (Dzhulfian). *Roundyella lebaensis* KRÖMMEL-BEIN occurs in the Late Dzhulfian *P. fuelopi* Zone, but with a different subspecies. As a whole, the ostracods indicate a Dzhulfian, but not earliest Dzhulfian age for the basal Zechstein.

With regard to the Cis-Uralian standard, the magnetostratigraphic data indicate that the base of the Zechstein cannot be older than the upper part of Late Tatarian, because the Illawarra Reversal lies on one side considerably below the base of the Zechstein (DACHROTH, 1976; WIE-GANK & MENNING, 1984; MENNING, 1986), on the other side it is situated about at the base of the Suchona Formation near the Early/Late Tatarian boundary (STROK et al., 1984). The same results yielded paleontological/palynological correlations. The Severodvina Formation of the lower Late Tatarian can be well correlated by conchostracans with the lower Kövágószöllös Formation of the Mecsek Mountains (KOZUR, 1985, 1988a,b) that has, in turn, a sporomorph association with Gigantosporites. This association is a little older than the basal Zechstein (already without Gigantosporites, but see footnote 5). The sporomorph association of the Zechstein without Gigantosporites follows in the Mecsek Mountains immediately above this association. The base of the Zechstein must be therefore younger than the Severodvina Formation. It can be only situated within the uppermost Tatarian Vjatka Formation, if the Zechstein is not as a whole post-Tatarian, what cannot be quite excluded (Kozur, 1985).

With regard to the North American standard, the conodont fauna of the Zechstein Limestone (Table 2) is younger than the conodont fauna of the uppermost Capitanian in its Western Texas type area, where still the *Mesogondolella postserrata* group is dominating. Because the uppermost Lamar Limestone of the type Capitanian belongs already to the basal Dzhulfian (KOZUR, 1992a,b,c, 1993) the base of the Zechstein must be somewhat younger than the base of the Dzhulfian s.l..

The richest sporomorph association from the uppermost Zechstein was found by FUGLEWICZ & KOZUR (in prep.) in the borehole Otyn IG-1 (western Poland) in 2 horizons 8 m and 21.5 m below the base of the Baltic Formation (base of Buntsandstein). This association (present species see Table 3 under Z_7) is clearly younger than the sporomorph association of the P Z₄ c that contains according to DYBOVA-JACHOWICZ et al. (1984) still the association with dominating L. virkkiae, norm Bc (= L. cf. parvus), missing in the uppermost Zechstein sporomorph association of the borehole Otyn IG-1. The former view of DYBO-VA-JACHOWICZ et al. (1984) that the association with dominating L. virkkiae, norm Bc ranges up to the P Z₄ d was corrected by WAGNER (1987), who pointed out that no sporomorphs were known so far from the P Z_4 d and P Z_4 e. Just from this interval the sporomorph association of the Otyn IG-1 borehole has been derived. For the lower horizon, 21.5. m below the top of the Zechstein, cannot be excluded that it corresponds to the upper P Z₄ c (upper Z_6); the upper horizon 8 m below the top of the Zechstein belongs to the Z₇.

As shown in Table 4, the interval from the P Z_4 d to the top of the Zechstein in western Poland can be correlated with the Bröckelschiefer on the Calvörde Block and with the lower (but not lowest) Bröckelschiefer sensu SEIDEL (1965) in Thuringia.

The sporomorph association in the uppermost Zechstein of the borehole Otyn IG-1 is placed into the *T. proratus*

⁵) However, it has been taken into consideration that both in the Southern Alps and in the Mecsek Mts the Upper Permian sporomorph associations contain up to 10 % Gondwanide elements. May be that also *Giganlosporites* is a southern element, missing in the Germanic Basin. In this case, the associations with dominating *L. virkkiae* norm Ab and *Giganlosporites* of the Southern Alps and the Mecsek Mts could have the same age as the association with dominating *L. virkkiae* norm Ab, but without *Giganlosporites* in the Germanic Basin. However, in the Mecsek Mts the latter association lies above the *Gigantosporites*-bearing association.

Table 5. Correlation of the Middle European Rotliegend to basal Buntsandstein lithostratigraphic units with the Permian time-scale, the Euramerian Permian sporomorph zonation and the Cis-Uralian Standard.

A.Z. (see Table 5). As shown in Table 3, it is distinguished from the underlying sporomorph association of the Zechstein (L. cf. parvus A.Z. and L. virkkiae A.Z., see Table 5) e.g. by the first appearance of T. proratus BALME and I. labrata SINGH as well as by the considerably higher percentages of spores and monosaccate pollen. But almost all guide-forms of the Late Permian pollen are still present, even if some of these forms are very rare. The overlying sporomorph association of the L. obsoleta - L. noviaulensis A.Z. is distinguished by the common to dominant occurrence of Lundbladispora obsoleta and other cavate trilete spores of lycopodid origin (see Table 3).

The T. proratus A.Z. of the uppermost Zechstein can be well correlated with the T. proratus A.Z. of the White Sandstone Member (uppermost Chhidru Formation) of the Salt Range (BALME, 1970) and even with the Playfordiaspora crenulata Zone of eastern Australia (FOSTER, 1982), where likewise T. proratus appears. This species has a huge regional distribution from South China to Australia and across the Salt Range to Middle Europe. T. proratus has evolved in the Cathaysian

(1	Used time-scale proposed standard)	Sporomorph Assemblage Zones	Gərmanic Basin	Cis-Ural/ Russ. Platf.
	upper	Lundbladispora obsoleta - Lunatisporites noviaulensis	Clayey Sandstons Member and time- equivalents	basal
U	Changxingian		Upper Bröckel≖ schisfer and time-eqivalents	Group
P 9 9	lower	Triquitrites proratus	Lower Z 7 Bröckel=	
P e r m i		II4 Lueckisporites ct. parvus	Z 6 Z 5 Z 4	
n	Dzhulfian	II3 Lueckisporites virkkies	Z 3 Z 2 Z 1	
_		II2 L. virkkiæs-Gigantospo= rites hallstattensis		Upper Tatarian
M i	Capitanian	II1 L. virkki an -		Lower Tatarian
d	Wordian	UUR IBALLI LEB		Kazanian
8	Roadian	L. virkkiae-Corisaccites Crucisaccites		
L 0 ₩	Leonardian s.str.	L. virkkiae-Corisaccites- Crucisaccites-Hamiapollen.		Ufimian
e r			l I	Kungurian
P	Artinskian			Artinskian
e r	Sakmarian		Rotliegend	Sakmarian
m .	Asselian s.str.			Asselian
L c.	Gzhelian			Gzhelian

floral province, where the genus *Triquitrites* is present in the entire Permian and the immediate forerunner of *T. proratus* is known. *T. proratus* begins in South China in well dated marine sequences in the Upper Dzhulfian, has it main occurrence in the Changxingian and reaches upward with a few

specimens into the basal Lower Triassic (OUYANG, 1986). The White Sandstone Member is latest Dzhulfian or earliest Changxingian in age (see chapter 3). The same age can be assumed for the *T. proratus* A.Z. of the Germanic Basin.

It is interesting that the association of the T. proratus A.Z. (and the contemporaneous P. crenulata Zone of Australia) is known in the huge area from Australia to the Germanic Basin always from beds that lie below a sharp, climatically controlled lithologic change. More precisely, this change lies in the upper part of the very short time-interval of the T. proratus A.Z. This sporomorph zone is always situated in the uppermost part of sediments of "Permian type", already somewhat transitional to sediments of "Lower Triassic type" and in the basal part of sediments of "Lower Triassic type", still somewhat transitional to sediments of "Permian type", if in the latter sediments any sporomorphs are present: Bowen Basin (Australia): Uppermost part of Baralaba Coal Measures and lowermost part of Rewan Formation; Salt Range: Uppermost part of Chhidru Formation (the basal part of the Kathwai Member has not yet yielded sporomorphs); Germanic Basin: Uppermost part of the Zechstein (just those parts of the Zechstein in the central basin that are placed into the basal Buntsandstein - lower Bröckelschiefer - in the marginal parts of the basin), the upper Bröckelschiefer has not yet yielded sporomorphs; Ural/Russian Platform: in places in the basal Vetluga Group above a gap; Greenland: In the lower Otoceras-bearing beds above a gap.

The T. proratus A.Z. and time equivalents (P. crenulata Zone of FOSTER, 1982, upper P. meishanensis – M. gigantea and Y. radiata - Gardenasporites spp. assemblage of OUYANG, 1986) lies always somewhat before an important break in the flora and fauna. Moreover, during the T. proratus A.Z. and the following L. obsoleta – L. noviaulensis A.Z. shallow-marine deposits are world-wide marked by mass occurrences of marine fungi and their spores that are in this time generally by far more frequent than land-derived sporomorphs. These mass occurrences of marine fungi and their spores indicate most probably a time of reduced influx of sunlight on the earth surface because of the presence of aerosols. Such aerosols could originate both from a cosmic impact or an extraordinary strong volcanism. The latter cause is more probable, because the time interval of mass occurrences of marine fungi and their spores in shallow-marine deposits corresponds to the uppermost Dzhulfian and Changxingian, a time interval of at least several 100.000 years that requires the repeated origination of rather dense aerosols during this time interval. The maximum activity of the Siberian trap volcanism coincides with this time interval (KOZUR, 1989).

The above mentioned strong world-wide climatic changes during the Changxingian are clearly related to the rather long-lasting event that created the aerosols (higher albedo, self-strengthening effects). World-wide (but over very large distances different) and sharp climatic changes in a short interval that have also caused sharp lithofacial changes in continental and shallow-marine sediments, reduced input of sun-light, mass occurrences of marine fungi in shallow-marine environments could finally lead to a collapse of the shallow marine and continental ecosystems that may be finally triggered or strengthened in its effects by any high energy event (peak in the volcanic activity, impact of a cosmic body) or by an anoxic event (HALLAM, pers. comm.) in the basal Triassic. The interaction of these conditions during the Changxingian is seemingly the cause for the big turnover in the fauna in the time-interval from the uppermost Dzhulfian to basal Triassic.

The species composition of the *T. proralus* A.Z. indicates the beginning of a world-wide more uniform flora after the strong Permian floral provincialism. Just in this time the

first floral immigrants from formerly sharply separated floral provinces reached the central parts of other floral provinces (e.g. the Euramerian *Playfordiaspora crenulata* reached Australia, whereas before this species penetrated the Gondwanide province not further south than to its northern margin, e.g. in the Salt Range and North Africa; the Gondwanide *Iraquispora labrata* reached the whole Euramerian and Cathaysian floral provinces; the Cathaysian *Triquitrites proratus* reached Australia, the Salt Range and the Germanic Basin).

In this connection is interesting that all over the world the *T. proratus* A.Z. and its time-equivalents (see above) are overlain by beds with predominant cavate trilete spores of lycopodid origin (*L. obsoleta – L. noviaulensis* A.Z.), quite independent from the Permian floral provinces. This Upper Changxingian sporomorph association was found by the present author in the Clayey Sandstone Member of Thuringia, in the lower Claystone-Sandstone Interbedding up to the ooß1 on the SE margin of Harz Mts, in the "Graubank Zone" of the Subhercyne Basin and by FUGLEWICZ & KOZUR (in prep.) in the *Otynisporites* Siltstone of the boreholes Otyn IG-1 and Gorzów-Wielkopolski IG-1.

Only above this association sporomorphs appear that are restricted to the (Early) Triassic, like *Lundbladispora wilmotti* BALME and *Lunatisporites pellucidus* (GOUBIN) HELBY s.str. (see Table 3).

The Late Changxingian age of the L. obsoleta -L. noviaulensis A.Z. can be well proven in the Southern Alps, where this association (with the same species as in the Germanic Basin) occurs together with the Upper Changxingian conodont H. latidentatus (H. parvus is not yet present there !) and Changxingian brachiopods, ostracods (see Text-Fig. 1). The same sporomorph association occurs also in the upper O. boreale Zone of Greenland, thus indicating a Late Changxingian age of the O. boreale Zone that is also shown by the conodont fauna of the 0. boreale Zone in Greenland (SWEET, 1986) and in the lower half of the Perigondwanian O. woodwardi Zone (KOZUR, 1980c; BANDO et al., 1980; BHATT & ARORA, 1984).

The Late Changxingian age of the L. obsoleta -L. noviaulensis A.Z. indicates that the P/T boundary lies well above the Zechstein/Buntsandstein boundary near the top of the Clayey Sandstone Member of Thuringia (KOZUR, 1984, lecture to the 27th Intern. Geol. Congr.; KOZUR, 1986, 1987, and in KLARE & SCHRÖDER, 1987). But it must be pointed out that this P/T boundary corresponds to the P/T boundary at the top of the Changxingian (including the Transitional Beds s.str. = H. changxingense Zone, see chapter 2) and not at the time-diachronic base of the Otoceras faunas that lies within the Changxingian. ECKE (in KLARE & SCHRÖDER, 1987) came to an almost identical P/T boundary in the Germanic Basin at the top of the "Graubankbereich", but it is not clear, which P/T boundary he used and what is the base of his correlations. Compared with the Cis-Uralian standard, ECKE placed the top of the "Graubankbereich" at the top of the Tatarian,

_ ⊢	Stage	Substage	Hungary			Germanic Basin (is-Urals/Russian	
			Balaton Highland	Mecsek Mountains	Carnian Alps - Dolomitem	Thuringian Basin F	llatform Standard	
t	Olenskian	Saithian	Hidegkút Sandstons Mbr. (fossil -rich. ferruginous calcarecus sandstones and siltetones)		Campil Member (fossil-rich ferruginous. calcareous eandstones and siltstones)	Volpriehausen Formation E	Jaskunchak Group	* P/T boundary
			"Gastropod Oolite". sarls		"Gestropod Oolite"			MURCHISON (1841)
			red and gray maris. mariy A and sandy limestones		gray and red marie. marly S and silty limestones	Bernburg Formation	let)uca Group	
<u></u>			bedded grav liesetones, sarly c		grav, often sarly s	<u> </u>	5 5 7 7	
10	Brahmanian		limestones, partly dolomitic		limestones 🕷			
			thir-baddad. evaporitic b		Andraz Horizon (evaporitic)	N Sandy red (sabkha sal.) o Clavatone	- <u></u>	
0		Ellesmerian	dolomitic maris with Claraia		Mazzin Member: thin-bedded	r Kester varted (list 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
+			Mangi/ U. Sr Lesoachi		upper part with <i>Claraia</i>			
			Nádaskút Dolomite Member:		wangi/C. griesbachi			
			oolitic dolomites, in the lower part sandy dolomites. gray and	Kövägoszolos rm. (Mambers A-D)	Tesero	u ulayey sanastone memuer s (predominantly limnic)	_	
			partly red doloaitic sandsto=		polite:		_	
			nes. siltstones	Wember D:	<pre>oulitie.</pre>	E	_	
0	Changxingian		thick-hadded rad sandstones	reddish ronal merates	aarly	F Upper Bröckelschiefer	_	
			with cross-bedding and ripole	sandatones.	intra=	<pre>multiple()</pre> multiple()		
				siltstones.	clastic			
- 40 Lui				basal with	1 imeetones	A louar Bräckelachieter	_	
-		Uorashaatan		Golomite nodules (sebkha saliner)	e Badiota	with dolomite nodules		
~					I Factes: black fos=		J	* base of Triassic
				Mesbers A-C: red. variesated	I Siliferous Is. Fiamazza	Zechatein		
Ŧ				and gray sand=	L Facies:			
	Ozhul fian			stones, siltsto- nes, mudstones,	s dolomitic dolomitic			
				some conglomera:	F breccias.			
·····			Balaton Red Sandstone Formation				upper	
-			(red siltatones, sandstones,	Boda Aleurolite	Val Gardena Formation:			
			sabkha selinar, in the basal pert conglomerates)	Formation: red siltstones,basal	precominantly red samu= stones, siltstones,	Grenzkongloasrat/Elalaoen rm.		
	Capitanian			variegated sand:				## []]awarra
				stone. siltatone	basally http://www.all			K ev eraa.
				Ceerdi Conglom.	conglomerates			
	Wordian					Eisenach Formation	Kazanian	
	Roadian +							

Peri	gondwanian and Standard Stage	Central Tethyan Cis-Uralian Scale	Upper Permian (* Tethvan Scale	vertical distances are not time- South China	or thickness-related). K ashmir	Salt Range	Zentraliran Abadeh	Transcaucasia
<u>+ 2 1 4</u>	lowermost Brahmanian	Vetlugian	l owermost Brahmanian	yellow-gray audstones. marls with C. wangi, Ophiceras, I. isarcica.	K lower Unit E3 h with <i>Ophiceras</i> , u <i>I. isarcica</i>	Dolomite Unit of Kathwai Mbr.	Bedded marly limestones with Isarcicella isarcica, basal with stromatolites and	Yellowish, gray, greenish- gray marly limsto nes , marls with <i>Isarcicella isercica</i> , bssal with stromatolites
. н . s				basal only <i>H. parvus</i>	a H. parvus		Hindeodus parvus	and <i>Hindeodus parvus</i>
				Transitional Beds	u <i>H. lati</i> = h E2 <i>dentatus</i> .		0,1-0,3 m greenish. yellowish and pinkish-gray	0.3-2 m reddish shales. marls. few limestones or ls.
	Changxingi a n		Changxingian.	Changxing Changxing Limestone	F Unit <i>Xingensis</i> C. subcæ		shales, in the upper part rarely stromatolites. H H. latidentatus, C. subcar a	nodules, in the uppermost part only red shales. H. latidentatus, C. subc ar
				or sili= and ceous sasayse conti=	El rineta	Y	rinata, C. changxingensis m b	rinata. P. occidentale
a 0				shales γ nental and lime= γ beds	Unit <i>Clarkina</i> subcari=		rt (a	
				stones	2 D nata		Unit 7 t	
L 02 3					e 1		L.	
<u>е н с</u>	Ozhulfian		Dzhulfian	Muchiaping/Loping Fm.: Fm.:		Chhidru Form.	Unit 6	
1 2		<u> </u>		limestones shales	-H-H-		Unit 5	
			Abadehian	Mackou	6	Kalabagh Member	A Unit 4 b	Hachik Formation
- G G -	Capi tanian	latar i an	(Midian)	Limestone Ting- or Mingshan Fm. chia- (siliceous shan		Margal Formation	a d Unit 3 [.] h	Arpin Formation
	Mordian 🔶	Kazanian 🔶	Murghabian 🖡	shales) Fn.			uppermost Unit 2	
┤┋	= Layers of	tuffitic orig	ain					

Table

Г

T

100

but the uppermost Tatarian is not younger than Early Dzhulfian (see chapter 2). The Lower and Middle Dzhulfian Araksian, in turn, he placed below the Zechstein. The "Taeniaesporites association" (BALME, 1979) of Greenland, well correlable with the sporomorphs of the "Graubankbereich", he placed into the upper part of the Lower Buntsandstein in his nejburgii-fimbriatus phase. This sporomorph association, in turn, is well correlable with the basal Olenekian sporomorph associations in many parts of the world and also the Conchostracans of this stratigraphic interval indicate a correlation with the basal Olenekian (= Lower Jakutian) as pointed out by KOZUR & SEIDEL (1983 b). This level is 6 ammonoid zones younger than the O. boreale zone, from where the "Taeniaesporites association" of Greenland has been derived (see BALME, 1979). The top of the Chhidruan is placed by ECKE in the middle part of the Zechstein, despite of the fact that the topmost Chhidru Formation (T. proratus A.Z., even with Lundbladispora obsoleta BALME) can be only correlated with the uppermost Zechstein sporomorph association.

Seemingly ECKE has recognized the Permian character of the sporomorph association of the "Graubankbereich", in this we are in full agreement. The indicated "correlations" by ECKE seem to show only an arrangement of the Upper Permian (or assumed Upper Permian – large parts of the Tatarian are Middle Permian) stages of the Cis-Uralian and world standard beside the Zechstein and lower part of Lower Buntsandstein, but not a fossil-proven correlation.

The correlation of the Zechstein and lowermost Buntsandstein with the marine scale shows that the Zechstein was deposited in a very short time interval (Dzhulfian, above the basal Dzhulfian to basal Changxingian). Its duration was therefore not longer than one Tethyan Stage, a time-interval of 3 ammonoid and conodont zones and of one fusulinid zone (see Table 1). This could correspond to a time-interval of about 1 my as estimated by RICHTER-BERNBURG (in KLARE & SCHRÖDER, 1987), at least the Zechstein was not longer than 3 my.

Acknowledgements

I thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support of my studies in Texas, New Mexico, Greece, Sicily and the Cis Urals.

For beautiful excursions and excellent guidance to the famous Transcaucasian, Cis-Uralian, South Chinese and Texas type sections of the Permian Stages and to important sections in the Permian of the Southern Alps, Sicily, Greece, Turkey, Bolivia, Mexico, Arizona, New Mexico, eastern Siberia and Japan I thank very much all my friends and colleagues, especially Prof. G. CASSINIS, Pavia, Prof. Dr. CATALANO and fellow workers, Palermo, Prof. B.I. CHUVASHOV, Jekaterinburg, Prof. DING, Hui, Taiyuan, Prof. Dr. B.F. GLENISTER, Iowa City, Prof. Dr. N. IMOTO and Prof. Dr. Y. NOGAMI, Kyoto, Prof. Dr. O. KAYA, Bornova-Izmir, Dr. A.I. KHANCHUK and Dr. Y.D. ZACHAROV, Vladivostok, Prof. G.V. KOTLJAR, St. Petersburg, Prof. Dr. D.V. LE MONE, El Paso, Dr. S. LUCAS, Albuquerque, Dr. A. MAVRIDIS, Athen, Dr. D. MERINO, La Paz, Dr. M. MORALES, Flagstaff, Prof. Dr. M.K. NESTELL, Arlington, Prof. WANG, Chen-yuan, Nanjing. I am very grateful to Prof. G. SEIDEL, Jena, for his help and especially

I am very grateful to Prof. G. SEIDEL, Jena, for his help and especially for numerous very important excursions to key sections of the Thuringian Buntsandstein and Zechstein and for his outstanding explanations of the lithostratigraphy and correlation of the Zechstein and Buntsandstein of Thuringia.

Many colleagues have sent me important material from Permian keysections in Europe and Asia. I am very grateful for this important help. Especially grateful I am for rich material from the uppermost Zechstein and Buntsandstein of western Poland (late Dr. FUGLEWICZ), from the Cis-Ural, Russian Platform and Pamir (Dr. E.V. MOVSHOVICH, Rostov, and the late Profs. S.V. MEJEN and V.E. RUZHENCEV), from NW- and Central Iran, Himalaya (Prof. H. MOSTLER, INNSDRUCK, Prof. WATERHOUSE, St. Lucia), from the Changxingian stratotype and other Chinese sections (Prof. WANG, Chen-Yuan, Nanjing), from Turkey (Prof. O. KAYA, Izmir), from Crete island (Dr. J. KRAHL, München) and for conodont material from the Salt Range (Prof. W.C. SWEET, Columbus).

l am grateful to Prof. U. ROSENFELD, Münster, for critical reading of the manuscript.

References

Full reference lists are published by KOZUR (1978, 1980a). Papers, quoted there, are only listed, if mentioned in the present paper.

- BALME, B.E. (1979): Palynology of Permian-Triassic boundary beds at Kap Stosch, East Greenland. – Medd. Grønl., 200(6), 37 pp. København.
- BANDO, Y., BHATT, D.K., GUPTA, V.J., HAYASHI, SH., KOZUR, H., NA-KAZAWA, K. & WANG, Zhi-Hao (1980): Some remarks on the conodont zonation and stratigraphy of the Permian. – Rec. Res. Geol., 8, 1–53, Delhi.
- BARABÁS-STUHL, Á. (1981): Microflora of the Permian and Lower Triassic sediments of the Mecsek Mountains (south Hungary). – Acta Geol. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 24(1), 49–97, Budapest.
- BHATT, D.K. & ARORA, R.K. (1984): *Oloceras* bed of Himalaya and Permian-Triassic boundary – Assessment and elucidation with conodont data. – J. Geol. Soc. India, **25**(11), 720–727, Bangladore.
- BOGOSLOVSKAJA, M.F. et al. (1980): Objasnitelnaja zapiska k stratigraficheskoj skale permskich otlozhenij oblasti Tetis. – 50 pp., Leningrad.
- BRANDNER, R., DONOFRIO, D. A., KRAINER, K., MOSTLER, H., NA-ZAROV, M. A., RESCH, W., STINGL, W. & WEISSERT, H. (1986): Events at the Permian-Triassic boundary in the Southern and Northern Alps. – Abstracts, Brescia Field Conference, 15–16, Brescia.
- BROGLIO LORIGA, C., FONTANA, D., MASSARI, F., NERI, C., PASINI, M.
 & POSENATO, R. (1986): The Upper Permian sequence and the P/T boundary in the Sass de Putia Mt. (Dolomites). – Field Guide-Book, Brescia Field Conference, 73–90, Pavia.
- BRÜNING, U. (1986): Stratigraphie und Lithofazies des Unteren Buntsandsteins in Südniedersachsen und Nordhessen. – Geol. Jb., A 90, 3–125, Hannover.
- BRÜNNING, U. (1986): Die Zechstein/Buntsandstein-Grenze in Niedersachsen und Hessen – Schwermineraluntersuchungen als Beitrag zur Geologie des Bröckelschiefers. – Geol. Jb. Hessen, **116**, 23–44, Wiesbaden.
- CASSINIS, G. (ed.) (1988): Proceedings of the Field Conference on: Permian and Permian-Triassic boundary in the South-Alpine segment of the western Tethys, and additional regional reports. – Mem. Soc. Geol. Italiana, **34**, 366 pp., Roma.
- CLARK, D.L. & BEHNKEN, F.H. (1979): Evolution and taxonomy of the North American Upper Permian *Neogondolella serrata* complex. – J. Paleont., **53**(2), 263–275, Lawrence.
- CONTI, M.A., FONTANA, D., MARIOTTI, N., MASSARI, F., NERI, C., NI-COSIA, U., PASSINI, M. & PITTAU, D. (1986): The Bletterbach-Butterloch section (Val Gardena Sandstone and Bellerophon Formation). – Field Guide-Book, Brescia Field Conference, 91–110, Pavia.
- DACHROTH, W. (1986): Gesteinsmagnetische Marken im Perm Mitteleuropas. – Geol. Jb., E 10, 71 pp., Hannover.
- DAGIS, A.S. & DAGIS, A.A. (1987): Biostratigrafia drevnejshich otlozhenij triasa i granica paleozoja i mezozoja. – Geol. Geofiz., 1987(1), 19–29, Novosibirsk.
- DYBOVA-JACHOWICZ, S., ORLOWSKA-ZWOLINSKA, T. & WAGNER, R. (1984): Permian/Triassic boundary in the Polish depositional basin (litho- and palynostratigraphical results). – 27. Int. Geol. Congr., Abstracts, 1, sect. 01–03, 37, Moskva.
- Fachbereichsstandard (1980): Stratigraphische Skala der DDR. Perm, 18 pp., Berlin, Minist. Geologie.
- FOSTER, C.B. (1982): Spore-pollen assemblages of the Bowen Basin, Queensland (Australia): Their relationship to the Permian-Triassic boundary. – Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 36, 165–183, Amsterdam.

- FUGLEWICZ, R. (1980): Stratigraphy and palaeogeography of Lower Triassic in Poland on the basis of megaspores. – Acta Geol. Pol., **30**(4), 417–470, Warszawa.
- FURNISH, W.M. & GLENISTER, B.P. (1970): Permian ammonoid *Cyclolobus* from the Salt Range, West Pakistan. In: KUMMEL, B. & TEICHERT, C.: Stratigraphic boundary problems: Permian and Triassic of West Pakistan, 153–175, Kansas.
- GLENISTER, B.F., BOYD, D.W., FURNISH, W.M., GRANT, R.E., HARRIS, M.T., KOZUR, H., LAMBERT, L.L., NASSICHUK, W.W., NEWELL, N.D., PRAY, L.C., SPINOSA, C., WARDLAW, B.R., WILDE, G.L. & YANCEY, T.E. (1992): The Guadalupian. Proposed international standard for a Middle Permian Series. – Intern. Geol. Rev., 34(9), 857–888, Silver Spring-Welwyn.
- GRANT, R.E. (1970): Brachiopods from Permian-Triassic boundary beds and age of Chhidru Formation. – In: KUMMEL, B. & TEI-CHERT, C.: Stratigraphic boundary problems: Permian and Triassic of West Pakistan. – 117–151, Kansas.
- HOLUB, V. & KOZUR, H. (1981): Die Korrelation des Rotliegenden Europas. – Geol. Paläont., Mitt. Innsbruck, **11**(5), 195–242, Innsbruck.
- IRANIAN-JAPANESE RESEARCH GROUP (1981): The Permian and the Lower Triassic systems in Abadeh region, central Iran. – Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyoto Univ., Ser. Geol. Min., **47**(2), 61–133, Kyoto.
- KÄDING, K. (1978a): Die Grenze Zechstein/Buntsandstein in Hessen, Nordbayern und Baden-Württemberg. – Jber. Mitt. oberrh. geol. Ver., N.F. 60, 233–252, Stuttgart.
- KÄDING, K. (1978b): Stratigraphische Gliederung des Zechsteins im Werra-Fulda-Becken. – Geol. Jb. Hessen, 106, 123–130, Wiesbaden.
- KLARE, B. & SCHRÖDER, B. (eds.): Int. Symposium Zechstein 87, Abstracts/Posters, 1–152, Bochum.
- KOTLJAR, G. V., ZAKHAROV, Y.D., KOCZYRKEVICZ, B.V., KROPATCHEVA, G.S., ROSTOVCEV, K.O., CHEDIJA, I.O., VUKS, G.P. & GUSEVA, E.A. (1984): Pozdnepermskie etap evoljucii organicheskogo mira. Dzhulfinskij i dorashamskij jarusy SSSR. – 200 pp., Leningrad ("NAUKA").
- Kotljar, G. V., Zakharov, Y.D., Kropatcheva, G.S., Pronina, G.P., CHEDIJA, I.O., BURAGO, V.I. (1989): Pozdnepermskie etap evoljucii organicheskogo mira. Midijskij jarus SSSR. – 185 pp., Leningrad ("NAUKA")
- KOZUR, H. (1972): Vorläufige Mitteilung zur Parallelisierung der germanischen und tethyalen Trias sowie einige Bemerkungen zur Stufen- und Unterstufengliederung der Trias. – Mitt. Ges. Geol. Bergbaustud., 21, 363–412, Innsbruck.
- KOZUR, H. (1974a): Zur Altersstellung des Zechsteinkalkes (ca 1) innerhalb der "tethyalen" Permgliederung. – Freiberger Forsch.-H., C 298, 45–50, Leipzig.
- KOZUR, H. (1974b): Probleme der Triasgliederung und Parallelisierung der germanischen und tethyalen Trias. Teil I: Abgrenzung und Gliederung der Trias. – Freiberger Forsch.-H., C 298, 139–197, Leipzig.
- KOZUR, H. (1977): Beiträge zur Stratigraphie des Perms. Teil I. Probleme der Abgrenzung und Gliederung des Perms. – Freiberger Forsch.-H., C 319, 79–121, Leipzig.
- Kozur, H. (1978): Beiträge zur Stratigraphie des Perms. Teil II: Die Conodontenchronologie des Perms. – Freiberger Forsch.-H., C **334**, 85–161, Leipzig.
- KOZUR, H. (1980a): Beiträge zur Stratigraphie des Perms. Teil III
 (2): Zur Korrelation der überwiegend kontinentalen Ablagerungen des obersten Karbons und Perms von Mittel- und Westeuropa. Freiberger Forsch.-H., C 348, 69–172, Leipzig.
- KOZUR, H. (1980b): Faunenänderungen nahe der Perm/Trias- und Trias/Jura-Grenze und ihre möglichen Ursachen. Teil II. – Freiberger Forsch.-H., C 357, 111–134, Leipzig.
- KOZUR, H. (1980c): The main events in the Upper Permian and Triassic conodont evolution and its bearing to the Upper Permian and Triassic stratigraphy. – Riv. Ital. Paleont. Strat., 85(3-4), 741-766, Milano.
- KOZUR, H. (1980d): Die Korrelation des Rotliegenden und Zechsteins von Mittel- und Westeuropa mit der marinen Standardgliederung. – Geol. Paläont. Mitt. Innsbruck, 9(10), 353–371, Innsbruck.

- KOZUR, H. (1984a): The Middle and Upper Permian ostracode zonation of the Bükk Mts., northern Hungary, and the problem of the Permian-Triassic boundary. – 27. Int. Geol. Congr., Abstracts, 1, sect. 01–03, 92, Moskva.
- KOZUR, H. (1984b): The stage subdivision of the Permian system and the correlation of the continental Rotliegend facies with the marine Permian stages. – 27. Int. Geol. Congr., Abstracts, 1, sect. 01–03, 93–94, Moskva.
- KOZUR, H. (1985): Biostratigraphic evaluation of the Upper Paleozoic conodonts, ostracods and holothurian sclerites of the Bükk Mts. Part II: Upper Paleozoic Ostracoda. – Acta Geol. Hungar., 28(3-4), 225–256, Budapest.
- KOZUR, H. (1986a): Boundaries, subdivision and correlation of the marine and continental Permian. Abstracts, Brescia Field Conference, 29–31, Brescia.
- KOZUR, H. (1986b): The problem of the Permian-Triassic boundary. – Abstracts, Brescia Field Conference, 32–35, Brescia.
- KOZUR, H. (1987): Stratigraphisch wichtige Fossilfunde in der Werfen-Gruppe des Balaton-Hochlandes (vorläufige Mitteilung). – Geol. Paläont. Mitt. Innsbruck, 14(7), 157–167, Innsbruck.
- KOZUR, H. (1988a): The age of the Central European Rotliegendes. - Z. geol. Wiss., **16**(9), 907–915, Berlin.
- KOZUR, H. (1988 b): The Permian of Hungary. . Z. geol. Wiss., **16**(11/12), 1107-1115, Berlin.
- KOZUR, H. (1989): The Permian-Triassic boundary in marine and continental sediments. – Zbl. Geol. Paläont., **1988**(11/12), 1245–1277, Stuttgart.
- KOZUR, H. (1992a): Late Permian Tethyan conodonts from West Texas and their significance for world-wide correlation of the Guadalupian-Dzhulfian boundary. – Geol. Paläont. Mitt. Innsbruck, 18, 179–186, Innsbruck.
- KOZUR, H. (1992b): Dzhulfian and Early Changxingian (Late Permian) Tethyan conodonts from the Glass Mountains, West Texas. – N. Jb. Geol. Paläont., Abh., **187**(1), 99–114, Stuttgart.
- KOZUR, H. (1992c): Boundaries an Stage subdivision of the Mid-Permian (Guadalupian Series) in the light of micropaleontological data. – Intern. Geol. Rev., 34(9), 907–932, Silver Spring-Welwyn.
- KOZUR, H. (1993): Boundaries and subdivision of the Permian System. – Occ. Publ. ESRI, N.S., 9 B, 139–154, Columbia.
- KOZUR, H., LEVEN, E.J., LOZOVSKIJ, V.R. & PJATAKOVA, M.V. (1978): Raschlenenie po konodontam pogranichnych sloev permi i triasa Zakavkazja. – Bjul MOIP, otd. geol., 53(5), 15–24, Moskva.
- KOZUR, H., MOSTLER, H. & RAHIMI-YAZD, A. (1975): Beiträge zur Mikrofauna permotriadischer Schichtfolgen. Teil II: Neue Conodonten aus dem Oberperm und der basalen Trias von Nord- und Zentraliran. – Geol. Paläont. Mitt. Innsbruck, 5(3), 1–23, Innsbruck.
- KOZUR, H. & SEIDEL, G. (1983a): Revision der Conchostracen-Faunen des unteren und mittleren Buntsandsteins. Teil I. . – Z. geol. Wiss., **11**(3), 289–417, Berlin.
- KOZUR, H. & SEIDEL, G. (1983b): Die Biostratigraphie des unteren und mittleren Buntsandsteins unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Conchostracen. . – Z. geol. Wiss., 11(4), 429–464, Berlin.
- KOZUR, H. & SEIDEL, G. (1983c): Zur Ausbildung und grobkeramischen Nutzung des unteren sowie mittleren Buntsandsteins im SW-Teil der DDR. – Wiss. Zeitschr. Hochsch. Architektur Bauwesen Weimar, 29(3/4), 323–328, Weimar.
- LEVEN, E.J. (1975): Jarusnaja shkala permskich otlozhenij Tetisa. - Bjul. MOIP, **50**(1), 5-21, Moskva.
- LOGAN, A. & HILLS, L.V. (eds.) (1973): The Permian and Triassic systems and their mutual boundary. – Canadian Soc. petrol. Geol., Mem., **2**, 766 pp., Alberta.
- MENNING, M. (1986): Die Dauer des Zechsteins aus magnetostratigraphischer Sicht. – Z. geol. Wiss., **14**(4), 395–404, Berlin.
- NERI, C. et al. (1986): The Permian/Triassic boundary and the Early Scythian sequence – Tesero section, Dolomites. – Field Guide-Book, Brescia Field Conference, 123–128, Brescia.
- NASSICHUK, W.W., FURNISH, W.M. & GLENISTER, B.F. (1965): The Permian ammonoids of Arctic Canada. – Geol. Surv. Canada, Bull., **131**, 56 pp., Ottawa.

- NESTELL, M.K. & WARDLAW, B.R. (1987): Upper Permian conodonts from Hydra, Greece. – J. Paleont., 61(4), 758–772, Lawrence.
- OUYANG, Shu (1986): Palynology of Upper Permian and Lower Triassic strata of Fuyuan district, eastern Yunnan. – Palaeont. Sinica, 169, N.S., A 9, 122 pp., Beijing.
- PAKISTANI-JAPANESE RESEARCH GROUP (1985): Permian and Triassic systems in Salt Range and Surghar Range, Pakistan. – In: NAKAZAWA, K. & DICKINS, J.M.: The Tethys. 221–312, Tokyo.
- PASINI, M., (1984): Biostratigrafia con i Foraminiferi delle limite Formazione a Bellerophon Formazione di Werfen fra Recoaro e la Val Badia (Alpi Meridionali). – Riv. Ital. Paleont. Strat., 90(4), 481–510, Milano.
- RADZINSKI, K.-H. (1967): Gliederung und Paläogeographie des Unteren und Mittleren Buntsandsteins im südöstlichen Harzvorland. – Geologie, **16**(6), 637–659, Berlin.
- RAMOVŠ, A. (1982): Unterperm-Conodonten aus den Karawanken (Slowenien, NW Jugoslawien). – N. Jb. Geol Paläont., Abh., **164**(3), 414–427, Stuttgart.
- REICHENBACH, W. (1963): Zechstein der Scholle von Calvörde. Exkursionsführer zur 10. Jahrestagung Geol. Ges. DDR, 5–12, Berlin.
- REICHENBACH, W. (1970): Die lithologische Gliederung der rezessiven Folgen von Zechstein 2–5 in ihrer Beckenausbildung. Probleme der Grenzen und Parallelisierung. – Ber. deutsch. Ges. geol. Wiss., A, Geol. Paläont., **15**(4), 555–563, Berlin.
- RICHTER-BERNBURG, G. (1955): Stratigraphische Gliederung des deutschen Zechsteins. – Z. dt. geol. Ges., 105, 843–854, Hannover.
- ROSTOVCEV, K. O. & AZERJAN, N. R. (1971): Granica paleozoja i mezozoja v Zakavkaze. – Dokl. AN SSSR, **199**(2), 418–421, Moskva.
- Rui, Lin (1979): Upper Permian fusulinids from eastern Guizhou. Acta Palaeont. Sinica, **18**(3), 271–297, Beijing.
- SCHENK, H.G., CHILDS, T.S., HEDBERG, C. et al. (1941): Stratigraphic nomenclature. – Bull. Amer. Assoc. Petrol. Geol., **25**(12), 2195–2202, Tulsa.
- SCHRÖDER, B., KÄDING, K.-Ch., KULICK, J. & PAUL, J. (eds.)(1991): Zechstein 1987. – Zbl. Geol. Paläont., **1991**(4), 807–1273, Stuttgart.
- SCHULZE, G. (1969): Der Untere Buntsandstein der Scholle von Calvörde und benachbarter Gebiete. Geologie, **18**(1), 5–20, Berlin.
- SEIDEL, G. (1965): Zur geologischen Entwicklungsgeschichte des Thüringer Beckens. – Geologie, Beih., 50, 115 pp., Berlin.
- SEIDEL, G. (1969): Zur Ausbildung des Unteren Buntsandsteins in Nordthüringen. – Ber. deutsch. Ges. geol. Wiss., A. Geol. Paläont., 14(2), 185–188, Berlin.
- SHENG, Jin-Zhang et al. (1984): Permian-Triassic boundary in middle and eastern Tethys. – J. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ., Ser. IV, 21(1), 133–181, Sapporo.
- STEPANOV, D.L. (1984): Stage subdivision of the Permian from USSR in the light of recent studies. – Proc. 27th Int. Geol. Congr., 1, 289–303, Moskva.
- STROK, N. S. et al. (1984): Verchnepermskie i nizhnetriasovye otlozhenija Moskovskoj sineklizy. – 139 pp. Moskva ("NAUKA").
- SWIFT, A. & ALDRIDGE, R.J. (1986): Conodonts of the Permian System from Great Britain. – In: HIGGINS, A.C. & AUSTIN, R.C. (eds.): A stratigraphical index of conodonts, 228–236.
- SWEET, W.C. (1976): Conodonts from the Permian-Triassic boundary beds at Kap Stosch, East Greenland. – Medd. Grønl., 51–54, København.
- TARAZ, H. (1971): Uppermost Permian and Permo-Triassic transition beds in central Iran. – Amer. Assoc. Petrol. Geol., Bull., 55(8), 1280–1294, Tulsa.

- TUZHIKOVA, V.I. (1985): Miospory i stratigrafija opornych razrezov triasa Urala. Nizhnij trias, pogranichnaja verchnaja perm. – 231 pp., Sverdlovsk.
- VISSCHER, H. (1971): The Permian and Triassic of the Kingscourt outlier, Ireland. – Geol. Surv. Ireland, Spec. Paper, 1, 114 pp., Dublin.
- VISSCHER, H. (1974): The impact of palynology on Permian and Triassic stratigraphy in Western Europe. – Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., **17**, 5–19, Amsterdam – London – New York.
- WAGNER, R. (1987): Stratigraphy of the uppermost Zechstein in north-western Poland. – Bull. Polish Acad. Sci., Earth Sci., 35(3), 265–273, Warsaw.
- WANG, Cheng-Yuan & WANG, Zhi-Yao (1979): Permian conodonts from the Longtan Formation and Changhsing Formation of Changxing, Zheijiang and their stratigraphical and paleoecological significance. – Selected Papers 1st Convention Micropaleont. Soc. China, 114–120, Beijing.
- WANG, Yi-Gang (1984): Earliest Triassic ammonoid faunas from Jiangsu and Zheijiang and their bearing on the definition of Permo-Triassic boundary. – Acta Palaeont. Sinica, 23(3), 257–269, Beijing.
- WARDLAW, B.R. & COLLINSON, J.W. (1986): Paleontology and deposition of the Phosphoria Formation. – Contrib. Geol., Univ. Wyoming, 24(2), 107–142, Laramie.
- WATERHOUSE, J.B. (1972): The evolution, correlation, and paleogeographic significance of the Permian ammonoid family Cyclolobidae. – Lethaia, **5**, 251–271, Oslo.
- WATERHOUSE, J.B. (1976): World correlations for Permian marine faunas. Papers, Dept. Geol., Univ. Queensland, 7(2), 232 pp., Brisbane.
- WIEGANK, F. & MENNING, M. (1984): Die Variationen des Paläomagnetfeldes im Phanerozoikum und ihre Beziehungen zu anderen planetaren, geophysikalischen und geologischen Problemen. – Z. geol. Wiss., **12**(1), 35–64, Berlin.
- YAO, Zhao-Qil et al. (1980): Western Guizhou and eastern Yunnan provinces Late Permian stratigraphy and the question of the Permian-Triassic boundary. – In: Western Guizhou and eastern Yunnan provinces Late Permian coal-bearing beds and fossils, 1–69.
- YIN, Hongfu (1985): On the Transitional Beds and the Permian-Triassic boundary in South China. – Newsl. Strat., 15(1), 13–27, Berlin-Stuttgart.
- ZACHAROV, Y.D. (1983): Novye permskie ciklolobidy (Goniatitida) juga SSSR. – Paleont. Zh., **1983**(2), 126–130, Moskva.
- ZACHAROV, Y.D. & PAVLOV, A.M. (1986): Permskie cefalopody Primorja i problema zonalnogo raschlenenija permi teticheskoj oblasti. – In: Korreljacija permo-triasovych otlozhenij vostoka SSSR, 5–32, Vladivostok.
- ZHANG, Jinghua, DAI, Jinye & TIAN, Shugang (1984): Biostratigraphy of Late Permian and Early Triassic conodonts in Shangsi, Guangyuan county, Sichuan, China. – Scientific Papers on Geology for International Exchange, 27th Intern. Geol. Congr., 1, 163–178, Beijing.
- ZHANG, Kexin (1984): New data of conodont fauna from the *Otoceras* bed of the Baoqing section, Changxing, Zhejian. – Earth Sci., Journ. Wuhan Coll. Geology, **9**(1), 83–84.
- ZHANG, Kexin (1987): The Permo-Triassic conodont fauna in Changxing area, Zhejian province and its stratigraphic significance. – Earth Sci., Journ. Wuhan Coll. Geology, **12**(2), 193–200, 2 Figs., 1 Tab., 1 Pl.
- ZHAO, Jin-Ke, LIANG, X.L., & ZHENG, Z.G. (1978): Late Permian cephalopods of South China. – Palaeontogr. Sinica, N. S. B 154(12), 194 pp., Beijing.
- ZHAO, Jin-Ke, SHENG, Jin-Zhang, YAO, Zhao-Qi, LIANG, Xi-Luo, CHEN, Chu-Zhen, RUI, Lin & LIAO, Zhuo-ting (1981): The Changhsingian and Permian-Triassic boundary of South China. – Bull. Nanjing Inst. Geol. Palaeont., Acad. Sinica, 2, 1–112, Nanjing.

Manuskript bei der Schriftleitung eingelangt am 9. Juli 1993