Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 19, EGU2017-18399, 2017 EGU General Assembly 2017 © Author(s) 2017. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Late-Variscan Tectonic Inheritance and Salt Tectonics Interplay in the Central Lusitanian Basin

Carlos R. Nogueira (1,2) and Fernando O. Marques (1)

(1) Dep. Geology, Fac. Sciences, University of Lisbon, Portugal (crnogueira@fc.ul.pt), (2) Instituto Dom Luiz (IDL), University of Lisbon, Portugal

Tectonic inheritance and salt structures can play an important role in the tectono-sedimentary evolution of basins. The Alpine regional stress field in west Iberia had a horizontal maximum compressive stress striking approximately NNW-SSE, related to the Late Miocene inversion event. However, this stress field cannot produce a great deal of the observed and mapped structures in the Lusitanian Basin. Moreover, many observed structures show a trend similar to well-known basement fault systems. The Central Lusitanian basin shows an interesting tectonic structure, the Montejunto structure, generally assigned to this inversion event.

Therefore, special attention was paid to: (1) basement control of important observed structures; and (2) diapir tectonics (vertical maximum compressive stress), which can be responsible for significant vertical movements.

Based on fieldwork, tectonic analysis and interpretation of geological maps (Portuguese Geological Survey, 1:50000 scale) and geophysical data, our work shows: (1) the Montejunto structure is a composite structure comprising an antiform with a curved hinge and middle Jurassic core, and bounding main faults; (2) the antiform can be divided into three main segments: (i) a northern segment with NNE-SSW trend showing W-dipping bedding bounded at the eastern border by a NNE-SSW striking fault, (ii) a curved central segment, showing the highest topography, with a middle Jurassic core and radial dipping bedding, (iii) a western segment with ENE-WSW trend comprising an antiform with a steeper northern limb and periclinal termination towards WSW, bounded to the south by ENE-WSW reverse faulting, (3) both fold and fault trends at the northern and western segments are parallel to well-known basement faults related to late-Variscan strike-slip systems with NNE-SSW and ENE-WSW trends; (4) given the orientation of Alpine maximum compressive stress, the northern segment border fault should be mostly sinistral strike-slip and the western segment border fault should be a pure thrust; (5) uplift along the northern and central segments may point out to the presence of a salt diapir at depth, aiding vertical movement and local uplift of the structure; (6) geometry of seismic units of the neighboring basins is consistent with halokinesis related to the antiform growth during the Jurassic; (7) sedimentary filling of the neighbouring basins shows relationship to antiform development and growth into a structural high before the Late Miocene Alpine event.

These data suggest that: (1) pre-existing basement faults and their reactivation played important role on the development of Montejunto complex tectonic structure; (2) important vertical movements occurred as the result of regional and local (diapir) tectonics; (3) subsidence in neighbouring basins may have promoted maturation, and possible targets with strong potential for hydrocarbon trapping and accumulation may have also developed; (4) diapir tectonics initiated before the Cretaceous; (5) given the topography, and the geometry and inferred kinematics of all segments, it seems that the Montejunto structure formed in a restraining bend controlled by inherited late-Variscan basement faults.