



## **Water management reduces greenhouse gas emissions in a Mediterranean rice paddy field**

Carsten Gruening (1), Ana Meijide (2), Giovanni Manca (1), Ignacio Goded (1), Guenther Seufert (1), and Alessandro Cescatti (1)

(1) European Commission, Joint Research Center, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Ispra (VA), 21027, Italy, (2) University of Göttingen, Faculty of Forest Sciences and Forest Ecology, Bioclimatology Group, Göttingen, Germany (ameijid@gwdg.de)

Rice paddy fields are one of the biggest anthropogenic sources of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), the second most important greenhouse gas (GHG) after carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). Therefore most studies on greenhouse gases (GHG) in these agricultural systems focus on the evaluation of CH<sub>4</sub> production. However, there are other GHGs such as CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) also exchanged within the atmosphere. Since each of the GHGs has its own radiative forcing effect, the total GHG budget of rice cultivation and its global warming potential (GWP) must be assessed. For this purpose a field experiment was carried out in a Mediterranean rice paddy field in the Po Valley (Italy), the largest rice producing region in Europe. Ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes were assessed using the eddy covariance technique, while soil respiration and soil CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were measured with closed chambers for two complete years. Combining all GHGs measured, the rice paddy field acted as a sink of -368 and -828 g CO<sub>2</sub> eq m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> in the first and second years respectively. Both years, it was a CO<sub>2</sub> sink and a CH<sub>4</sub> source, while the N<sub>2</sub>O contribution to the GWP was relatively small. Differences in the GHG budget between the two years of measurements were mainly caused by the greater CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in the first year (37.4 g CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> compared to 21.03 g CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> in the second year), probably as a consequence of the drainage of the water table in the middle of the growing season during the second year, which resulted in lower CH<sub>4</sub> emissions without significant increases of N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. However, midseason drainage also resulted in small decreases of yield, indicating that GHG budget studies from agricultural systems should consider carbon exports through the harvest. The balance between net GWP and carbon yield indicated a loss of carbon equivalents from the system, which was more than 30-fold higher in the first year. Our results therefore suggest that an adequate management of the water table has the potential to be an effective GHG mitigation strategy to increase the carbon sequestration capacity of rice paddy fields and confirm that GHG budgets should be assessed in combination with yield in order to develop and evaluate mitigation strategies.