



Reassessment of the maximum magnitude of strike-slip earthquakes

Arnaud Mignan, Laurentiu Danciu, and Domenico Giardini
ETH Zurich, Switzerland

What is the best approach of estimating the true maximum earthquake magnitude (M_{max})? This worst-case scenario can be defined as the less probable, never foreseen earthquake size but yet physically possible. Some authors have shown that earthquake observations are not sufficient to statistically estimate M_{max} and that some long-term geological constraints should be used. We used as physical constraint the geometry of the fault network and its relation to the regional stress field. Criteria were then defined in a procedure - from the dynamic stress modelling literature - for estimating large cascading known faults into super-size fault lengths and then convert those into refined M_{max} values. We developed an algorithm for multi-segment rupture and tested it on the strike-slip faults of the Anatolian Peninsula as defined in the 2013 European Seismic Hazard Model (ESHM13). We find that M_{max} is increased locally from about 0.5 to 1.5 units along the North Anatolian Fault and the East Anatolian Fault. A number of other faults show an increase from about 0.5 to 1.0. With longer ruptures being characterized by greater slip and a wider shaking spatial footprint, our results infer a significant change in hazard for most of the Anatolian Peninsula once cascades are considered. Our algorithm is straightforward and does not require extensive calculations, which should make it a simple add-on to consider for improving future stress tests and other seismic hazard analyses.