Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 16, EGU2014-9046, 2014 EGU General Assembly 2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



## A comparison of near surface $CO_2$ monitoring methods and their applicability in the CCS context

Ingo Möller and Stefan Schlömer

Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR), Stilleweg 2, 30655 Hannover, Germany (corresponding author: ingo.moeller@bgr.de)

The detection, characterization and quantification of different gas species in the vicinity of the soil-atmosphere interface is considered as one key element of near surface monitoring related to CO<sub>2</sub> storage operations. From a broad range of available techniques (see e.g. Korre et al. 2011 and Rütters et al. 2013), three different methods have initially been selected in order to compare their applicability in the CCS context:

- The eddy covariance method which can compute CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes within the atmospheric boundary layer,
- CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements between soil and atmosphere by means of accumulation chambers,
- Permanent CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurements in the vadose zone of the soil column.

Additionally, basic atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurements were also included in this comparison since they might have a potential as monitoring alternative on a low-cost basis.

Results and lessons learned from practical field experiments were elaborated to point out advantages and disadvantages of the individual methods as well as their integration into an overall monitoring concept of  $CO_2$  storage sites.

Field work has been carried out in different locations of Northern Germany, amongst others in the Altmark region, a formerly proposed CO<sub>2</sub> injection site for enhanced gas recovery (Schlömer et al. 2014).

This study benefits from interim results of the MONACO project (Monitoring approach for geological CO<sub>2</sub> storage sites using an hierarchic observation concept) which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, grant 03G0817A).

## References:

Korre, A., Imrie, C.E., May, F., Beaubien, S.E., Vandermeijer, V., Persoglia, S., Golmen, L., Fabriol, H., Dixon, T., 2011. Quantification techniques for potential CO<sub>2</sub> leakage from geological storage sites. Energy Proc. 4, pp. 3413-3420.

Rütters, H., Möller, I., May, F., Flornes, K., Hladik, V., Arvanitis, A., Gülec, N.,Bakiler, C., Dudu, A., Kucharic, L., Juhojuntti, N., Shogenova, A., Georgiev, G., 2013. State-of-the-art of monitoring methods to evaluate storage site performance. CGS Europe Report D3.3. 109 pp. http://repository.cgseurope.net/eng/cgseurope/knowledge-repository/key-reports/Monitoring.aspx

Schlömer, S., Möller, I., Furche, M., 2014. Baseline soil gas measurements as part of a monitoring concept above a projected CO<sub>2</sub> injection formation - A case study from Northern Germany. Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, Vol. 20, p. 57-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.10.028.