Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 16, EGU2014-6102, 2014 EGU General Assembly 2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Higher Methane Emissions in Regions of Sea Ice Retreat

Frans-Jan W. Parmentier (1,2), Wenxin Zhang (1), Yanjiao Mi (3), Xudong Zhu (4), Paul A. Miller (1), Ko van Huissteden (3), Dan Hayes (5), Qianlai Zhuang (4,6), A. David. McGuire (7), Torben R. Christensen (1,2)

(1) Lund University, Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund, Sweden, (2) Arctic Research Centre, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, (3) Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, (4) Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA, (5) Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA, (6) Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, (7) US Geological Survey, Alaska Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, USA

In recent decades, the Arctic has lost more and more sea ice, which has concurrently led to higher temperatures across the high latitudes (Screen et al., 2012). Although increasingly strong evidence exists for this link between sea ice and temperature, the extra step of linking sea ice retreat - through these climatic changes - to a change in greenhouse-gas exchange is much less clear. Recently, however, it has been suggested that methane emissions have increased while sea ice declined (Parmentier et al., 2013).

This initial analysis compared average methane emissions for the Arctic Region with anomalies in the average sea ice extent, which evens out regional differences. Year-to-year variations in sea ice extent are different from region to region and would therefore impact methane emissions - through temperature - differently, too. Our goal is therefore to evaluate, with the use of models, whether methane emissions are more strongly correlated to sea ice in areas of high retreat rather than in areas that have seen little variation in sea ice.

For this use, the output from three regional methane models (LPJ-GUESS WhyMe, Peatland-VU and TEM6), designed to be applied to the Arctic Region, are compared to sea ice decline. A similar spatial response to sea ice retreat by these models will increase our confidence that methane emissions in the Arctic are indeed spatially linked to sea ice decline.

References:

Parmentier, F. J. W., Christensen, T. R., Sørensen, L. L., Rysgaard, S., McGuire, A. D., Miller, P. A., & Walker, D. A. (2013). The impact of lower sea-ice extent on Arctic greenhouse-gas exchange. Nature Climate Change, 3, 195–202. doi:10.1038/nclimate1784

Screen, J. A., Deser, C., & Simmonds, I. (2012). Local and remote controls on observed Arctic warming. Geophysical Research Letters, 39, L10709. doi:10.1029/2012GL051598