



Characterization of *Acremonium* and *Isaria* ice nuclei

Bernhard G. Pummer, Ulrich Pöschl, and Janine Fröhlich-Nowoisky

Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Multiphase Chemistry, Mainz, Germany (b.pummer@mpic.de)

Until recently, the only known fungal ice nuclei (IN) were a few exponents of lichen mycobionts and *Fusarium* spp. [Kieft and Ruscetti 1990, Pouleur et al. 1992, Hasegawa et al. 1994, Tsumuki et al. 1995], as well as two strains of mold [Jayaweera and Flanagan 1982]. Other investigated species did not show any IN activity [Pouleur et al. 1992, Iannone et al. 2011, Pummer et al. 2013]. In the last few years, IN-activity has been discovered in some rust and smut fungi [Morris et al. 2013, Haga et al. 2013], *Acremonium implicatum* (*Acr.*) and *Isaria farinosa* (*Isa.*) [Huffman et al. 2013] and a handful of other airborne and soil fungi [unpublished data].

We started characterizing the IN of *Acr.* and *Isa.*: Like other non-bacterial biological IN, they can be easily separated from the cells in aqueous suspension, and keep their activity. The IN-active aqueous suspensions were processed by filtration (5 μm , 0.1 μm , 300 kDa, 100 kDa) and exposure to heat (60°C) or guanidinium chloride (6 M). The IN activity of the processed samples was measured by a freezing assay of droplets, as described by Pummer et al. [2013]. Via the Vali formula, we calculated the amount of IN per gram of mycelium, which is higher than 10⁵ g⁻¹.

The initial freezing temperature was -4°C for *Isaria* and -8°C for *Acremonium* IN. Both were completely knocked out by 60°C or guanidinium chloride. The *Acremonium* IN are in a mass range between 100 and 300 kDa. The *Isaria* IN seem to be either a bit larger, or more attached to larger particles, since not all of them pass through the 300-kDa-filter. It is likely that both of these new IN are proteinaceous like the IN of *Fusarium* spp. and lichen mycobionts, which belong to the *Ascomycota* phylum. Since the *Isaria* IN show a high onset freezing temperature and are rather large for single molecules, they might be agglomerates.

Haga D.I. et al. (2013) *J. Geophys. Res.: Atm.* 118, 7260-7272

Hasegawa Y. et al. (1994) *Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.* 58, 2273-2274

Huffman A.J. et al. (2013) *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 6151-6164

Iannone R. et al. (2011) *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 1191-1201

Jayaweera K. and Flanagan P. (1982) *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 9, 94-97

Kieft T.L. and Ruscetti T. (1990) *J. Bacteriol.* 172, 3519-3523

Morris C.E. et al. (2013) *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 4223-4233

Pouleur S. et al. (1992) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 58, 2960-2964

Pummer B. et al. (2013) *Biogeosci.* 10, 8083-8091

Tsumuki H. et al. (1995) *Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Jpn.* 61, 334-339