



Scaling of increased dissolved organic carbon inputs by forest clear-cutting - What arrives downstream?

Jakob Schelker (1,2), Karin Öhman (3), Stefan Löfgren (4), and Hjalmar Laudon (2)

(1) Dept. of Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå, Sweden, (2) now at University of Vienna, Dept. of Limnology & Bio-Oceanography, Vienna, Austria (jakob.schelker@univie.ac.at), (3) Dept. of Forest Resource Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå, Sweden., (4) Dept. of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden.

Forest clear-cutting has been found to significantly increase concentrations of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in boreal first-order streams. Here, we address the questions of 1) how the additional inputs of DOC by upstream forest harvesting affect downstream locations within a stream network and 2) what catchment area has to be harvested to cause a significant downstream increase in DOC concentration. We combined the use of primary data from a paired-catchment experiment, clear-cut history of a nested stream network derived from satellite images with a mixing-model approach in order to quantify the importance of upstream clear-cuts on two downstream sites with different catchment sizes. Modeled [DOC] agreed well with the measured concentrations in the smaller, 8.7 km² catchment located above a larger wetland area, but discrepancies occurred for the larger 22.9 km² catchment located downstream of the wetland. Estimates of the critical area ($A_{critical}$) needed to be harvested to cause a significant impact on downstream DOC concentrations was quantified to be 11% for $p < 0.05$ and 23-25% for $p < 0.001$. Our results suggests that (i) increased DOC concentrations induced by forest harvesting affect downstream sites and (ii) additional DOC inputs by harvests have a significant impact on stream water quality, if harvests exceed $A_{critical}$. We suggest that the estimates of $A_{critical}$ could be used in sensitive river networks to provide harvesting-thresholds. The latter could be implemented into forest planning that includes considerations of the negative impact of clear-cutting on water quality.