Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 16, EGU2014-12076, 2014 EGU General Assembly 2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Sources and sinks of HONO in a heterogeneous forest landscape

Matthias Sörgel (1,*), Dianming Wu (2), Ivonne Trebs (2,**), and Andreas Held (1)

(1) University of Bayreuth, Junior Professorship in Atmospheric Chemistry, Bayreuth, Germany, (2) Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Biogeochemistry Department, Mainz, Germany, (*) now at: Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Biogeochemistry Department, Mainz, Germany, (*) now at: Centre de Recherche Public - Gabriel Lippmann, Department Environment and Agro-biotechnologies, Belvaux, Luxembourg

Nitrous acid (HONO) profiles were measured on a clearing and at the forest floor in a rural forest environment. For the forest floor deposition was found to be the predominant process. At the clearing net deposition was dominating during night whereas during day net emissions were observed. For selected days it was possible to estimate net fluxes of HONO from the measured profiles by the aerodynamic gradient method. The emission fluxes were in the range of 0.02 to 0.07 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ thus in the lower range of previous results of flux measurements. These fluxes were compared to source strengths of postulated sources. By measuring different soils samples from both sites an upper limit for soil emissions fluxes of 0.025 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ could be derived. HONO formation by light induced NO₂ conversion was calculated to be below 0.03 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for the respective days, thus being comparable to the potential soil fluxes. Due to light saturation at low irradiance this reaction pathway was found to be independent from light intensity most of the day (i.e. only dependent on ambient NO₂).

HONO formation from HNO_3 photolysis was calculated based on measured leaf nitrate loadings and by a) parameterization of HONO formation from photolysis of adsorbed HNO_3 and b) by directly calculating photolysis of surface adsorbed HNO_3 . Fluxes calculated by the empirical approach yielded values of the same order as the estimated fluxes, whereas the fluxes calculated based on kinetic values were much lower.