

Evolutionary Trend of the Genus *Lithastrinus* to the Genus *Uniplanarius*

By ANBA FARHAN*)

With 1 Figure and 3 Plates

Alabama
Campanian
Calcareous nannofossils
Evolution
Lithastrinus
Uniplanarius

Contents

Zusammenfassung	57
Abstract	57
1. Introduction	57
2. Previous Works	57
3. Present Study	57
4. Taxonomy	59
5. Summary	59
Acknowledgements	59
References	59

Zusammenfassung

Eine neue kalkige Nannofossilienart, *Lithastrinus quadricuspis*, wird beschrieben. Die nur aus vier Kalzitstrahlen aufgebaute Art, die sich wahrscheinlich aus *Lithastrinus grillii* herleiten lässt, hat sich offensichtlich während des Campans zu *Uniplanarius sissinghii* (PERCH-NIELSEN, 1986) nov. comb. entwickelt.

Abstract

A new calcareous nannofossil species, *Lithastrinus quadricuspis*, is described. This species which has only four calcite rays is assumed to have evolved from *Lithastrinus grillii* and observed to evolve into the species *Uniplanarius sissinghii* (PERCH-NIELSEN, 1986) nov. comb. during the latest Campanian.

1. Introduction

During a study of calcareous nannofossils from the Upper Cretaceous strata of Alabama and Mississippi, USA, a new species of *Lithastrinus* STRADNER 1962, *L. quadricuspis*, was found. Detailed study of this new species and *Uniplanarius sissinghii* (PERCH-NIELSEN, 1986) nov. comb. shows, that the validity of the latter species as a stratigraphic marker is questionable.

2. Previous Work

An evolutionary trend within the genus *Eprolithus* STOVER 1966 which took the form of a progressive reduction in the number of calcite elements was first recognised by PRINS (in PERCH-NIELSEN, 1979). PRINS de-

fined the first species of *Eprolithus* as a cylinder of 15–20 elements constricted by a diaphragm formed of the same number of elements. He recorded an evolutionary trend from *Eprolithus* to *Lithastrinus*, within which he placed forms with 6 and 5 rays. Species with four elements he placed in the genus *Quadrum* PRINS & PERCH-NIELSEN in MANIVIT et al. (1977), which first occurs in the Turonian and later evolved into the genus *Micula* VEKSHINA 1959 in the Coniacian.

The holotype of the type species of *Quadrum*, *Q. gartneri*, was considered by THIERSTEIN (1974) to be a preservational conspecific morphotype of *Micula staurophora* and by ROTH & BOWDLER (1979) as a junior synonym of *Micula stauropora*. The latter idea was followed by HATTNER & WISE (1980), which led them to introduce a new genus *Uniplanarius* using *Tetralithus gothicus* DEFLANDRE 1959 as its type species.

Conversely, CRUX (1982) described in detail the differences between *Micula staurophora* and *Quadrum gartneri* and discussed a morphological trend from *Quadrum gartneri* ssp. 1 to *Micula staurophora* in the Coniacian.

3. Present Study

The genus *Lithastrinus* was originally described by STRADNER (1962) as circular nannofossils with 6 to 11 wall forming elements and a central diaphragm composed of the same number of calcite plates. In the present material a species of *Lithastrinus* (*L. quadricuspis*) with only 4 rays was found. It has been observed in the present study that *L. quadricuspis* developed progressively shorter "proximal" ends of the calcite rays while the "distal" part of the rays became narrower and longer (Fig. 1). The central diaphragm becomes reduced in

*) Author's address: ANBA FARHAN, C/O 155 King's Cross Rd., London WC1 X9BN, England.




Fig. 1: Evolutionary trend between *Lithastrinus quadricuspis* and *Uniplanarius sissinghii*.

size to eventually disappear in the genus *Uniplanarius* (Fig. 1).

The end member of this lineage is assigned to the genus *Uniplanarius* and not the genus *Quadrum*, as this latter genus is thought to have evolved from *Lithastrinus* already in the Turonian.

The genus *Uniplanarius* is a valid genus although the present author agrees with CRUX (1982) who proved the validity of *Quadrum gartneri* as a separate species from *Micula staurophora*. The species name *U. sissinghii* is adopted for the end member of the evolutionary lineage as the previously used name *Tetralithus nitidus* MARTINI

1961 is a species restricted to the Eocene as reported by AUBRY in PERCH-NIELSEN (1985). AUBRY pointed out that MARTINI's (1961) type material for *T. nitidus* is of Eocene, not Cretaceous age and the holotype does not belong to the same species as the similar Cretaceous forms. PERCH-NIELSEN (1986) renamed these forms as *Quadrum sissinghii*, which here is recombined to *Uniplanarius sissinghii* for the reasons given above.

It is difficult to identify transitional forms between *L. quadricuspis* and *U. sissinghii* under the light microscope. Thus the use of the first occurrence of *U. sissinghii* in biostratigraphy is unreliable.

4. Taxonomy

Genus *Lithastrinus* STRADNER 1962

Lithastrinus quadricuspis sp. nov.

(Pl. 1, figs. 1–4; Pl. 2, fig. 1)

Derivation of the name: quadri = four; cuspis = point.

Diagnosis: A species of *Lithastrinus* with a small central area and two tiers of four rays.

Description: A species with 4 radial rays arising at each side of the diaphragm. In the small central area only 4 small distinctive elements (Pl. 1, Fig. 2) are visible. One side has shorter rays than the other.

Remarks: This species can be easily distinguished from the other species of the genus *Lithastrinus* by having only 4 radial rays per tier. The present material shows a trend that the short rays became shorter with time.

Holotype: UCL-1418-26; Pl. 1, Fig. 1.

Type locality: Sample B-USGS, A-76-10 section; Alabama.

Type level: Upper Campanian – Lower Maestrichtian.

Size: 8–11 µm.

Genus *Uniplanarius* HATTNER & WISE 1980

Uniplanarius sissinghii (PERCH-NIELSEN) nov. comb.

(Pl. 2, fig. 4)

- 1968 *Tetralithus nitidus* MARTINI; GARTNER (partim), p. 42, 43, pl. 13, fig. 3 (non pl. 9, fig. 14; pl. 13, fig. 4)
1977 *Quadrum nitidum* (MARTINI); PRINS & PERCH-NIELSEN in MANIVIT et al., p. 178
1985 *Quadrum sissinghii* PERCH-NIELSEN, p. 390, figs. 9, 13
1986 *Quadrum sissinghii* PERCH-NIELSEN, p. 838, pl. 3, figs. 3–5.

Remarks: Due to the morphological trend between the genus *Lithastrinus* to *Uniplanarius* as discussed above, the generic name *Uniplanarius* should be used for this species.

5. Summary

- 1) The genus *Lithastrinus* shows a trend to reduce the number of elements from 20–15 to 4 elements from the Hauterivian to the Campanian.
- 2) There is a morphological trend between *Lithastrinus quadricuspis* and *Uniplanarius sissinghii*.

- 3) The use of the inception of *U. sissinghii* as a biostratigraphic datum is questionable.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank her supervisor Dr. A. R. LORD. To Dr. K. PERCH-NIELSEN and Dr. J. A. CRUX thanks for valuable comment. Many samples were provided by Dr. C. C. SMITH (formerly U.S. Geological Survey) and this help is gratefully acknowledged.

Finally the author wishes to express her appreciation to M. M. GAY for technical assistance. The work was carried out in University College of London.

References

- CRUX, J. A.: Upper Cretaceous (Cenomanian to Campanian) Calcareous nannofossils. – In: LORD, R. A. (Ed.): A Stratigraphical Index of Calcareous Nannofossils, 81–135, 6 figs., 10 pls., Chichester 1982.
GARTNER, S.: Coccoliths and Related Calcareous Nannofossils from Upper Cretaceous Deposits of Texas and Arkansas. – Paleont. Contr. Univ. Kans. Art. 1 (Protists 1), 1–56, 5 figs., 28 pls., Kansas 1968.
HATTNER, J. G. & WISE, S. W.: Upper Cretaceous Calcareous Nannofossil Biostratigraphy of South Carolina. – South Carolina Geol., **24**, 41–117, 4 figs., 43 pls., South Carolina 1980.
MANIVIT, H., PERCH-NIELSEN, K., PRINS, B. & VERBEEK, J. W.: Mid-Cretaceous calcareous nannofossils biostratigraphy. – Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet., **80**, 169–181, Amsterdam 1977.
MARTINI, E.: Nannoplankton aus dem Tertiär und der obersten Kreide von SW-Frankreich. – Senckenbergiana lethaea, **42**, no. 1–2, 1–32, 3 figs., 5 pls., 1961.
PERCH-NIELSEN, K.: Calcareous Nannofossils from the Cretaceous between the North Sea and the Mediterranean. – Aspekte der Kreide Europas, IUGS series A, no. 6, 223–272, 24 figs., 2 pls., Stuttgart 1979.
PERCH-NIELSEN, K.: Mesozoic calcareous nannofossils. In: BOLLI, H. M., SAUNDERS, J. B. & PERCH-NIELSEN, K.: Plankton Stratigraphy. – Cambridge Earth Science Series, 329–426, 91 figs., Cambridge (Cambridge University Press) 1985.
PERCH-NIELSEN, K.: New Mesozoic and Paleogene Calcareous Nannofossils. – Eclogae geol. Helv., **79/3**, 835–847, 3 pl., Basle 1986.
ROTH, H. P. & BOWDLER, J. L.: Evolution of the calcareous nannofossil genus *Micula* in the Late Cretaceous. – Micropaleontology, **25**, 272–280, 2 figs., 1 pl., New York 1979.
STRADNER, H.: Über neue und wenig bekannte Nannofossilien aus Kreide und Alttertiär. – Verh. Geol. B.-A., **1962/2**, 363–377, 3 pls., Wien 1962.
THIERSTEIN, H. R.: Calcareous nannoplankton. Leg. 26, Deep Sea Drilling Project. – In: DAVIES, T. A., LUYEDYK, B. P. et al.: Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, **26**, 619–667, 4 figs., 12 pls., Washington (U.S. Government Printing Office) 1974.

Plate 1

Figs. 1–2: *Lithastrinus quadricuspis* sp. nov.

Holotype. Demopolis Formation. Sample no. B. USGS-Alabama-76-10.
1: Distal view, $\times 4900$ (SEM). UCL-1418-26.

2: Same specimen, $\times 9130$ (SEM). UCL-1418-27.

Fig. 3: *Lithastrinus quadricuspis* sp. nov.

Bluffport Marl Member. Sample no. E. USGS-Alabama-76-10.
Distal view, $\times 7890$ (SEM). UCL-1445-7.

Fig. 4: *Lithastrinus quadricuspis* sp. nov.

Demopolis Formation. Sample no. B. USGS-Alabama-76-10.
Side view, $\times 7370$ (SEM). UCL-1418-28.






Plate 2


- Fig. 1: *Lithastrinus quadricuspis* sp. nov.
Bluffport Marl Member. Sample no. E. USGS-Alabama-76-10.
Distal view, $\times 7140$ (SEM). UCL-1418-15.
- Fig. 2: Transitional form.
Bluffport marl Member. Sample no. E. USGS-Alabama-76-10.
Distal view, $\times 6810$ (SEM). UCL-1445-11.
- Fig. 3: Transitional form.
Bluffport Marl. Sample no. F. USGS-Alabama-76-10.
Distal view, $\times 2570$ (SEM). UCL-1445-19.
- Fig. 4: *Uniplanarius sissinghii* (PERCH-NIELSEN) nov. comb.
Bluffport Marl. Sample no. F. USGS-Alabama-76-10.
Distal view, $\times 2660$ (SEM). UCL-1445-6.




4



3



2



1

Plate 3

Fig. 1–2: Transitional form.

Upper Campanian – Lower Maestrichtian. Bluffport Marl. Sample no. D. USGS-Alabama-76-10.
1: Phase contrast with one nicol, $\times 2880$ (LM). UCL-1669-15.
2: \times nicols, $\times 2880$ (LM). UCL-1669-12.

Fig. 3–4: Transitional form.

Upper Campanian – Lower Maestrichtian. Bluffport Marl. Sample no. D. USGS-Alabama-76-10.
3: Phase contrast with one nicol, $\times 3580$ (LM). UCL-1669-16.
4: \times nicols, $\times 2980$ (LM). UCL-1669-13.

