

## CARBONATE FORMATION AT ALKALINE CONDITIONS

Purgstaller, B.<sup>1</sup>, Niedermayr, A.<sup>2</sup> & Dietzel, M.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Technology, Institute of Applied Geosciences, Rechbauerstraße 12, 8010 Graz, Austria

<sup>2</sup>Ruhr University Bochum, Institute of Geology, Mineralogy and Geophysics, Universitätsstraße 150,

44801 Bochum, Germany

e-mail: purgstaller@student.TUGraz.at

Calcium Carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) can be induced by CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in Ca<sup>2+</sup> ion bearing alkaline solutions as it is valid for many natural and man-made environments, e.g. formation of travertine and scaling (CLARK et al., 1992 and DIETZEL et al., 1992). However, significant gaps of knowledge exist with respect to reaction kinetics (CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation) in alkaline solutions as well as in respect to distinct CaCO<sub>3</sub> polymorph formation.

In the present study CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation were experimentally studied by diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> through a polyethylene membrane from an inner into an outer solution containing 10 mM of CaCl<sub>2</sub> (25 °C). The pH was kept constant during two analogous sets of experiments at 8.30, 9.00, 10.00 11.00 or 11.50 by titration using diluted NaOH solution.

By exceeding an IAP (Ion Activity Product) threshold CaCO<sub>3</sub> is formed in the outer solution. (Micro)Raman and XRD pattern indicate the CaCO<sub>3</sub> polymorphs calcite, vaterite and aragonite. Calcite and vaterite were precipitated at all pH values, whereas aragonite was only present at pH ≥ 11.00. The evolution of the NaOH titration curve and Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration reflects parameters like the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rate ( $\propto$  ACAR: aqueous CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> accumulation rate; NIEDERMAYR et al., 2013) and the overall precipitation rate of CaCO<sub>3</sub> (R<sub>CaCO3</sub>). At elevated pH of the outer solution the ACAR is significantly higher and less time for nucleation of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, t<sub>fc</sub>, is required compared to lower pH conditions (e.g. pH 8.30 and 10.00 result in ACAR = 9.2 ± 0.1 and 121 ± 0.6 μM h<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-1</sup> and t<sub>fc</sub> = 8.3 ± 0.3 and 1.6 ± 0.5 h, respectively). At pH ≥ 11.00 the CaCO<sub>3</sub> nucleation and precipitation begins instantaneously after starting the experiment. Interestingly, at the given total experimental time of 20 h the relative Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration decrease (equivalent to the amount of precipitated CaCO<sub>3</sub>) was similar (22 ± 4 mol% in respect to initial Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentrations) for all experiments. This can be explained by the significantly higher R<sub>CaCO3</sub> values subsequent to nucleation at low versus high pH (e.g. pH 8.30 and 10.00 with R<sub>CaCO3</sub> = 645 ± 64 and 178 ± 15 μM h<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) which correlates with a general decrease of the surface concentration of >CaHCO<sub>3</sub><sup>0</sup> at the CaCO<sub>3</sub> surfaces (e.g. RUIZ-AGUDO et al., 2011 for calcite). Thus, the combined effect of the aqueous carbonate accumulation rate and the precipitation rate of CaCO<sub>3</sub> controls the quantity of CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation in alkaline environments.

CLARK, I.D., FONTES, J.-C., FRITZ., P. (1992): *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 56, 2041-2050.

DIETZEL, M., USDOWSKI, E., HOEFS, J. (1992): *Applied Geochemistry*, 7, 177-184.

NIEDERMAYR, A., KÖHLER, S.J., DIETZEL, M. (2013): *Chemical Geology*, 340, 105-120.

RUIZ-AGUDO, E., PUTNIS, C.V., RODRIGUEZ-NAVARRO, C., PUTNIS, A. (2011): *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 75, 284-296.